



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_64306
Title of the Manuscript:	Alma-Ata Declaration: Landmark Achievement of Primary Eye Care Promotion in Nigeria
Type of the Article	Review Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The manuscript, "Alma-Ata Declaration: Landmark Achievement of Primary Eye Care Promotion in Nigeria," is current and relevant, has social and scientific relevance, representing advancement of knowledge. The content of the manuscript is organized in a coherent way, presents a chronological evolution of the literature cited. The authors presenting a well-written manuscript and interesting to read. The title adequately illustrates the content of the article. The abstract presents the theoretical basis, objective, method, results and conclusion, consistent with the content of the article. The translations of the abstract are adequate. Five keywords were presented, adequately representative of the article content. The introduction is clear and objective. The objective is pertinent, clearly formulated and presented at the end of the introduction. With regard to the method, this reviewer feels that too little information is provided with regard to the method. The results presented respond to the objective of the study, and are presented in a descriptive, clear and objective manner. The figures and tables complement the results discussed in the text, are in sufficient number and are in accordance with the norms of the journal. In the discussion section, the results were discussed based on the literature consulted. However, with regard to the discussion, this reviewer feels that it needs to be more in-depth, citing all the studies found. In the conclusion, the objective of the study is resumed. The references are presented in the text according to the Vancouver norms, however some need to be revised.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Maykon dos Santos Marinho</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University Center Maurício of Nassau, Brazil</b>