



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_64306
Title of the Manuscript:	Alma-Ata Declaration: Landmark Achievement of Primary Eye Care Promotion in Nigeria
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1..Present Title “ Alma-Ata Declaration: Landmark Achievement of Primary Eye Care Promotion in Nigeria “</p> <p>Title may be revised as “ Identifying Landmark achievements in Primary Eye Care Promotion in Nigeria in accordance with Alma – Ata Declaration of 1978: A review“</p> <p>2. In Abstract: A)..In the Results the author talks about achievement in Sokoto State. What about the whole of Nigeria? B)..Conclusion maybe revised to reflect the aim and results of this study.</p> <p>3. Main body: Discussion, and conclusion may be revised to be more direct to the point taking Nigeria as a whole.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Few grammatical errors are tobecorrected.	
General comments	The concept and idea behind this study is good. However the writing is to be revised focusing on objectives and goals of the Alma Ata declaration relevant to Nigeria as a whole, against this what are actual achievements and what are landmark achievements, what are inadequate achievements and recommendations on what may be done in the country going forward.	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Venkata Satyanarayana Nanduri
Department, University & Country	Yoga Prana Vidya Ashram, Tamilnadu, India