



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_61742
Title of the Manuscript:	Dynamics of the optical pulse in a nonlinear medium: approach of moment method coupled with the fourth order Runge-Kutta method
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- The abstract and conclusion are not clear enough. It would be better if the author can describe it more clearly. 2- The paper can be improved if it includes some comparing result with existing methods. 3- In introduction part, the literature review is not in strong. What is the strength of this article? You didn't noted in any place of this part. The way of presenting is very poor. 4- The analysis method in this paper lacks of novelty. 5- Most of the references in this paper are too old. 6- All Figures should be indicated in the text. 7- The resolution of the Figures are to bad. 8- Put dot (.) at the end of the sentences in the citation part of the all figures and tables. 9- The references are not in the journal format. 10- References must be numbered in the order that they appear in the text. And all citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets []. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saad Fawzi Al-Azzawi
Department, University & Country	University of Mosul, Iraq