



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_62203
Title of the Manuscript:	Refutation of the quantum theory principles: Theorem
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>I agree with the author that quantum mechanical calculations are not similar to the classical physics. For example, we first add complex probability amplitudes and then take the square of final amplitude to make predications on physical observables. In classical mechanics, we add probabilities for all possibilities.</p> <p>But, we call a theory correct only when it correctly predicts experimental results. In terms of predictability, quantum mechanics has won over classical mechanics. Quantum mechanical predictions have been found to be always correct. Of course, its foundational interpretation is controversial and philosophical principle governing quantum mechanics is not clear at present. So, author can at best call quantum mechanics incomplete, but not "incorrect".</p> <p>I suggest, author should revise the manuscript identifying the (present) lack of understanding in quantum mechanics and should not call it "wrong".</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Biswaranjan Dikshit</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Bhabha Atomic Research Centre (BARC), India</i>