



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_62515
Title of the Manuscript:	A Critical Examination of QCD and the Regular Charge-Monopole Theory
Type of the Article	A critical review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The paper is useful. It gives a critical review of modern theories with their comparison with the newest experimental data. But the role of the authors is not clear. The review part should be clearly announced and the own authors' conclusions should be collected in a separate section or sections. For example, the first equation in the paper is given in a such manner, as if it were the authors' equation.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The paper may be published, if the authors clearly separate their own considerations and results from the texts being reviewed.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Boris Sedunov
Department, University & Country	Russian New University, Russia