



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Physical Science International Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_63173
Title of the Manuscript:	Applications of a generalized singular boundary value problem for the exact solutions of some temperature/concentration equations
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>My concerns and suggestions are summarized in the following six points:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The abstract is very poorly drafted. In the abstract, it is necessary to clearly say what you develop in the work and not to speak about the difficulty that you encountered in your study. This precision, if it is necessary, can be inserted at the introduction and especially at the conclusion. So I strongly recommend that you rewrite your abstract.</li> <li>2. At the end of your conclusion, the paragraph going from "The current paper is of great benefit, not only for researchers, ... instead of handling each model separately." must be sent to the conclusion.</li> <li>3. On the other hand, at the end of your conclusion, you must give the organization of your work, in particular by indicating the main sections of your article.</li> <li>4. At the level of equations (12) and (13), the notation 1F1 must be clearly explained.</li> <li>5. I do not finally see the solutions obtained by you, since you cite all the time the solutions obtained by the other authors. I invite you to focus on the method used while highlighting your solutions obtained.</li> <li>6. It is necessary to enrich your references by new work on differential equations, linear and nonlinear partial differential equations as well as novelties in the field.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Yes, this work requires revisions as per my observations	
	<p><b>Reviewer's comment</b></p> <p>The article as a whole is of scientific and mathematical interest. But the author must put a lot of effort in writing for the article to be worthy of the great scientific journals.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Bogning Jean Roger</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Bamenda, Cameroon</b>