



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_53421
Title of the Manuscript:	ISOLATION AND MOLECULAR IDENTIFICATION OF BIODETERIOGENS ISOLATED FROM PAINTED CLASSROOM WALL SURFACES IN UNIVERSITY OF PORT HARCOURT, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1°. A negative control of a non-deteriorated area of the painted wall should be included to compare whether all, part or none of the bacterial or fungal isolates from deteriorated areas, are growing in the non-deteriorated areas of the wall. If some microorganisms are growing in areas of the intact wall, they may not be the cause of the deterioration but the remaining. 2°. Describe how many wall samples were analysed and whether there were several microorganisms in the same sample or only one microorganism grew per sample. 3°. A description of the procedure to obtain the Tentative Identity, the Closest Relative and the Percentage of Similarity of the Table 1 should be described in material and methods, including the database used.	
Minor REVISION comments	Provide references for the "few studies that have been conducted in Nigeria on the microbiota of painted classroom", for the primers ITS4 and ITS5, as their sequences differ from the standards, and for Jeon <i>et al.</i> in the seventh page.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pedro José Gómez de Nova
Department, University & Country	University of León, Spain