



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_54499
Title of the Manuscript:	CONDUIT MENTALITY AND THE ECONOMY: THE NIGERIAN EXPERIENCE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This research is very interesting because it highlights the importance of the performance of managers in the economy. The level of performance also attracts an appropriate remuneration to achieve the projected objectives. Managers risk being affected by corruption and for this reason a correct remuneration is very important but also a monitoring of their mode of action in relation to the programmed objectives. The research is based on a scientific methodology. The paper highlights that individual and public conduit mentality is occasioned by social factors and for an economy to maintain check and balance in income distribution such mentality should be neutralised. The conclusions are based on scientific arguments and the recommendations are adequate.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Matei Adrian Ducu
Department, University & Country	Athenaeum University Bucharest, Romania