



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_54893
Title of the Manuscript:	The relationship between Electric Power Consumption, Foreign Direct Investment and Economic Growth in Sri Lanka
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There are inconsistencies in the mentioned "period" as regards the data subjected for analysis. Stated period in the Abstract, Introduction and Conclusion is 1970-2017; the data in Table 1 has 2010-2016; Figure 1 has 1980-2016; and in the Research Methodology and Results and Discussion is 1971-2017. Do the necessary corrections. 2. All references cited in the text should be included in the References section at the end of the paper. In particular, the following references should be listed in the References: Pokharel 2006 (cited in p. 2); Wang 2015 (p. 2); Shahbaz 2012 (p. 2); CEB 2016 (p. 4); CEB 2018 (p. 5); Central Bank 2018 (p. 5); WDI 2018 (p. 7); World Bank (p. 9); Borensztein et al. 1998 (p. 15); Pesaran and Pesaran 1997 (p. 15); Mohsen et al. 2002 (p. 15); Suleiman 2005 (p. 15). 3. If the citation is at the end of a sentence, both author's surname and year should inside the parenthesis. For example in page 2, citations should be "(Shahbaz, 2012)."; "(Khatun & Ahamad, 2015)."; etc. 4. The citation Dalia 2015 (pp. 6 and 13) should be Ibrahiema 2015 (see the last reference in page 16). 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In the Abstract (Purpose), instead of "tries to investigate" use the verb "investigates". 2. In the Abstract (Findings), "impacts of relationship" should be "relationships". 3. There are some grammatical errors in the paper. Have it edited by an expert English language editor. 4. Do not start a sentence with a numeral. For example in the second sentence of Results and Discussions, "47" should be "Forty-seven". 5. The "Table 1" mentioned in the Unit Root Test (3rd sentence) should be "Table 3". 6. In the last sentence of page 14, the statement "the p values of the diagnostics tests are greater than 5%" was inconsistent with what was included in Table 7. Do the necessary corrections. 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Romer C. Castillo</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Batangas State University, Philippines</i>