



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_59326
Title of the Manuscript:	Economic Valuation of Santolo Beach Tourism in Garut Regency West Java
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In the first line of the methodology part "The method used in this research is a survey method with questionnaires as the research instrument" What is the sampling method? What is the targeted sample size? The most ideal number for such a study is not discussed? What are the criteria used to qualify the respondents?</p> <p>In the six line of the methodology part "Primary data were obtained through interviews with Santolo Beach visitors" No data shown in the research regarding the interviews.</p> <p>In conclusion part after reading the whole paper, the question "So what?" comes through the mind? Please give your recommendations and future implications very clearly.</p> <p>In section 3.1.1 Factors that influence the number of visit: The author present the results of the regression analysis but do not discuss why one dimension has more significance than others or why some dimensions resulted in positive or negative relation. In addition, the comparison with the past studies is not strong enough to show how the findings of this study are striking.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>More discussion of the differences of the findings from the studies made in other countries could make the contribution robust.</p>	
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Emad Masoud</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>UAE</i>