

**EFFECT OF NITROGEN FERTILIZER AND INTER ROW SPACING ON HERBAGE YIELD OF RHODES GRASS (*Chloris gayana* Tan) IN THE DRY SUB HUMID ZONE OF SOKOTO NIGERIA**

---

**ABSTRACT**

*A field experiment was conducted during the 2016 and 2017 rainy seasons at Centre for Agriculture and Pastoral Research (CAPAR) of the Usmanu Danfodiyo University Sokoto, Nigeria to study the effect of nitrogen fertilizer and inter-row spacing on herbage yield of Rhodes grass. A factorial combination of five fertilizer levels (0, 100, 120, 140 and 160 kgNha<sup>-1</sup>) and three inter row spacing (30, 50 and 70cm) were used, making fifteen treatments combinations, which were laid out in a RCBD replicated four times. Determination of herbage yield at the end of 12 weeks post planting was carried out using a 0.25m<sup>2</sup> (0.5m x 0.5m) area metallic frame (Quadrat). The herbage was harvested at 5cm above ground level using hand Sickle from the four plots for each treatment. The samples collected were oven dried for the estimation of dry matter yield. The result revealed that, Application of 160KgNha<sup>-1</sup> generally produced higher ( $P < 0.05$ ) dry matter yields compared to the rest of the treatments, however there was generally no significant ( $P > 0.05$ ) effect of inter row spacing in both 2016, 2017 and years combined inter-row spacing of 70cm showed superiority among the treatments in the herbage yield compositions investigated compared to 50 and 30cm spacing.*

---

*Keywords: Centre of agricultural and pastoral research, herbage yield, nitrogen fertilizer, inter row spacing and Rhodes grass*

**INTRODUCTION**

Ruminant livestock in Nigeria which includes 52.5 million Cattle, 33 million Sheep and 16.2 million Goat, in Nigeria account for about 85% of the domestically produced meat in the country (FAO 2009). These animals form an important part of the nation's agricultural production system there by providing income to the farmer and foreign exchange to the nation. In addition to providing manure for arable farming, hides and skins, for leather and tanning

35 industries social security and as insurance for food security, to mention but just a few (Tarowili  
36 *et al.*, 2004; Olson *et al.*, 2004, Peden *et al.*, 2005).

37 Land which was previously used for grazing is gradually brought into cultivation to satisfy the  
38 food needs of the increasing human population. Livestock are forced to graze on marginal land  
39 and use crop residues which are often low in both quantity and quality, which results in poor  
40 livestock performance. (Isa *et al.*, 2019; Schaar *et al.*, 1981).

41 The major problem facing the livestock producers in the savanna zones of Nigeria is provision  
42 of adequate feeding to the animals during the dry season. This challenge is most severe in the  
43 dry sub humid zone of the Savanna, where the dry season is longer (from October-may/June)  
44 and crops and pasture productivity are also low due to lower annual rainfall and poorer soils  
45 (Umunna and Iji, 1993; Adegbola 2004; Babayemi and Bamikole, 2006, Ogunbosoye and  
46 Babayemi, 2010). During dry season the decreased quantity and quality of the natural pasture  
47 and crop residue makes it impossible for the animal to meet their nutritional requirements.  
48 Supplementary feed stuffs such, as Cotton Seed Cake, Groundnut Cake etc are also very  
49 expensive during this period. This problem results to loss of body, high rate of reproductive  
50 failures, incidences of diseases and mortality of young animals amongst other things (FAO,  
51 1988). Therefore there is need to increase the forage production to meet the feed requirements of  
52 livestock in the Savanna region of the country.

53 However about 90% of Cattle and 70% of the Sheep and Goat in Nigeria are under extensive  
54 system of production. Over 80% of these animals are found in the savannah zones of the country  
55 where extensive area for growing and or forage feed availability are the severe limiting factors  
56 of production (FDLPCS, 1992; Aregheore 2009).

57 According to FDLPCS (1992), Umunna and Iji (1993), Adebawale and Taiwo (1996),  
58 Mortmoore (2000) and Aregheore (2009), the bulk of the feed resources used for the ruminant

59 livestock production in Nigeria include; natural pasture in the native range lands, crop residue  
60 and agricultural by-products. The increasing demand for animal and animal related products can  
61 be met through the use of improved pasture species supplementation to satisfy animal's dietary  
62 requirements (Yakubu et al., 2019). It is therefore more economical to use grassland as a source  
63 of meat and milk because grass herbage cannot be used directly by man but can be used  
64 indirectly through animals that convert it to edible products. In Nigeria, pasture production and  
65 utilization has not been developed except on government farms, university experimental,  
66 teaching and demonstration farms. Ruminant livestock in Nigeria depends largely on natural  
67 grasslands that are nutritionally poor. The savanna zone characterised by low annual rainfall of  
68 shorter duration, lighter sandy soils and longer dry season, has low potential for natural forage  
69 production (Umunna and Iji, 1993; Adamu and Odioun, 2002).

70 Therefore, in order to meet the feed requirements of the ruminants animals in Nigeria; there is  
71 need to increase the forage production in the savanna region of the country. This requires  
72 production of improved pasture species with potential to high herbage production and nutritive  
73 value in the different sub regions of the savanna. Thus dependence on natural pasture that  
74 provides the cheapest source of nutrients for ruminants has resulted in failure to meet the  
75 nutritional demands of livestock throughout the year. Native pasture are mostly composed of  
76 low quality grasses which the nutrient content of the herbage is only sufficient during the  
77 developmental period of the herbage following rainfall in Nigeria (Amodu 2004).

78 Nuru (1996) reported that with the current increase in crop production through massive land  
79 clearing, coupled with population growth and hence the development of more and larger towns  
80 and cities, the land use patterns is changing and less land is available for extensive livestock  
81 production. These has led to the introduction of improve pasture production which yields more

82 dry matter of high nutritive value, leading to greater animal productivity than do native pastures  
83 as part of the technologies to improve animal husbandry/production (Nuru, 1996).

84 Nuru (1996) reported that with the current increase in crop production through massive land  
85 clearing, coupled with population growth and hence the development of more and larger towns  
86 and cities, the land use patterns is changing and less land is available for extensive livestock  
87 production. These has led to the introduction of improve pasture production which yields more  
88 dry matter of high nutritive value, leading to greater animal productivity than do native pastures  
89 as part of the technologies to improve animal husbandry/production (Nuru, 1996).

90 Excess fertilizer application, on the other hand, can be detrimental as ‘fertilizer burn’ may occur  
91 when too much fertilizer is applied resulting to drying out of leaves and damage or even death of  
92 the plant (FAO 2006). Therefore determination of Optimum fertilization level is important for  
93 better crop production. (Peacock *et al.* 1991) reported that Rhodes grass responds well to  
94 nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizer application and higher yields are obtained when N is given  
95 after a basic application of Phosphorus. Fertilizer also increases the proportion of leave in the  
96 herbage, but when applied after flowering it can increase the proportion of stem (Bogdan 1977).  
97 Khair (1999) noted that Rhodes grass responded well to nitrogen fertilizer when applied in  
98 separate doses.

99 Inter-row spacing is also an important cultural practice that affects crop productivity. The close  
100 spacing produces thin, slow growing and weaker plants. The wide spacing, on the other hand  
101 produces crop with lose canopy resulting to poor absorption of solar radiation, leading to low  
102 photosynthesis rate resulting to poor productivity of the crop. Wide spacing also expose crop to  
103 high weed infestation and production of low quality herbage. Optimum spacing is therefore

104 necessary for effective growth, yield and quality of crops. If seedlings are widely scattered  
105 (spaced) Rhodes grass can quickly produce a dense stands that means that close spacing  
106 produces thin, slow growth and weaker sword (Mannetja and Kersten, 1992).

## 107 **Materials and Methods**

### 108 **Experimental Site**

109 This study was conducted at the Center for Agriculture and Pastoral Research (CAPAR),  
110 formally Dabagi Farm, of Usmanu Danfodiyo University Sokoto, during the 2016 and 2017  
111 raining season. The farm is geographically located on latitude  $12^{\circ}45'N$  and longitude  $5^{\circ}25'E$  and  
112 on 350m altitude. The farm is situated at 33 kilometers away from Sokoto metropolis, along the  
113 Sokoto-Gusau road, in Dange shuni local government area of Sokoto State, Nigeria. The farm  
114 has a total land area of about 512 ha, (CAPAR 2010). Dabagi farm falls within the Sudan-  
115 Savanna vegetation zone. Isah and Shinkafi (2000), the climate is characterized by alternating  
116 wet and dry seasons. The rainy season starts normally in June/July and ends in September with  
117 approximate annual rainfall of 500 - 900 mm with wide inter annual variations. (SERC, 2017).  
118 The total annual rainfall during the 2016 and 2017 were 663.42 mm and 606.18 mm  
119 respectively. The soil texture was sandy loam; with sand, silt and clay represented at 92.7%,  
120 5.9% and 1.4% respectively.

121 The treatments for this research consisted of five Nitrogen fertilizer levels (0, 100, 120, 140, and  
122 160Kg/ha) and three inter row spacings (30, 50, and 70cm), which were combined factorially  
123 and laid out in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) replicated four times. The forage  
124 was sown on 15th and 8th of July 2016 and 2017 respectively. The fertilizer treatments were  
125 applied at three (3) weeks after sowing. Dry weight was completely determined by Oven drying.  
126 The herbage sample from each plot was bulked for each treatment to form a representative  
127 sample.

128 The data were statistically analyzed by analysis of variance (ANOVA), using the GenStat 64-bit  
129 Release 17.1

## 130 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

131 **Herbage dry matter yield (DMY)**

132 Results on herbage dry matter yield as influenced by nitrogen fertilizer application and inter row  
133 spacing during 2016, 2017 and the years combined is presented in table 1. Significant ( $P < 0.05$ )  
134 effect of nitrogen fertilizer application on the dry matter yield of Rhodes grass was observed in  
135 2016, 2017 and the years combined. Application of  $160\text{KgNha}^{-1}$  generally produced higher ( $P <$   
136  $0.05$ ) dry matter yields compared to the rest of the treatments. Inter row spacing had not  
137 significant ( $P > 0.05$ ) on the herbage DMY  $\text{ha}^{-1}$  of Rhodes grass in both 2016, 2017 and the  
138 years combined however there was generally no significant ( $P > 0.05$ ) interaction between  
139 nitrogen fertilizer application and inter row spacing. The significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) higher DMY of  
140 Rhodes grass recorded from application of  $160\text{ kgNha}^{-1}$  at 12 WAS in the 2016, 2017 and the  
141 years combined results (table 1) indicated that Rhodes grass requires at least  $160\text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  of  
142 nitrogen fertilizer to produce high herbage dry matter yield in the study area. This result is  
143 similar to the findings by Rains (1963), Frankow-Lindberg, (1987), Akinola and Olarunju  
144 (1990), Rasmussen *et al.*, (1996), Burhan and Hago (2000), Muhammad and Abubakar (2004)  
145 Yakubu and Magaji (2004) Muhammad *et al.*, (2005), Abdelrahman (2007), Aderinola et al.,  
146 (2009) and Na-Allah (2015), who also reported significant increase in herbage dry matter yield  
147 of Rhodes grass with increase in nitrogen fertilizer levels. The non-significant ( $P > 0.05$ ) effect  
148 of inter row spacing recorded from the varying inter row spacing (30, 50 and 70 cm) during 3 –  
149 12 WAS in the 2016, 2017 and the years combined results (table 1) may be explained by the  
150 Rhodes grass ability to withstand competition and suppress weeds under both close and wide  
151 row spacings (Duke, 1978).

152 Growth and development of crops is determined by row spacing and nitrogen levels (DAOFW,  
153 1999).

154  
155  
156

Table 1: Herbage dry matter yield of Rhodes grass as influenced by nitrogen fertilizer and inter row spacing, during the 2016/2017 rainy season and the years combined in Sokoto Nigeria.

Treatment	2016	2017	Combined
<b>Fertilizer (Kg/ha<sup>-1</sup>)</b>			
0 (F0)	5500 <sup>d</sup>	4877 <sup>c</sup>	5189 <sup>c</sup>
100 (F1)	9060 <sup>c</sup>	7173 <sup>d</sup>	8123 <sup>d</sup>
120 (F2)	9683 <sup>c</sup>	8333 <sup>c</sup>	9018 <sup>c</sup>
140 (F3)	10660 <sup>b</sup>	9780 <sup>b</sup>	10221 <sup>b</sup>
160 (F4)	11322 <sup>a</sup>	11100 <sup>a</sup>	11215 <sup>a</sup>
LSD	866.4.	957.67	422.91
Significance	*	*	*
<b>Spacing (cm)</b>			
30 (S1)	9703	8836	48948
50 (S2)	9600	8090	50603
70 (S3)	9600	770.50	51779
LSD	583.59	788.90	4670.53
Significance	NS	NS	NS
<b>Interaction</b>			
F * S	NS	NS	NS

157 Means within a column for a factor followed by the same letters are statistically not significant at  
158 5% level probability,  
159 LSD ( $t < 0.05$ ) Least Significant Difference at 5% probability level.  
160 \*=Significant at 5%, NS = not significant at 5%, of probability level  
161 F\*S= Interaction, F= Fertilizer  
162 S = Spacing, WAS = Weeks after Sowing.

## 164 Conclusion

165  
166 The study concluded that application of 160KgNha<sup>-1</sup> generally produced higher ( $P < 0.05$ ) dry  
167 matter yields compared to the rest of the treatment, however there was generally no significant  
168 ( $P > 0.05$ ) effect of inter row spacing in both 2016, 2017 and years combined inter-row spacing  
169 of 70cm showed superiority among the treatments in the herbage yield compositions  
170 investigated compared to 50 and 30cm spacings.

## 171 172 173 REFERENCES

- 174 Abdelrahman, F.I. (2007). Effect Seed Rate and NPK Fertilization on Growth and Yield of  
175 Forage Quality of Rhodes Grass (*Chloris gayana* L. Kunth). M.Sc. Thesis. Faculty of  
176 Agriculture, University of Khartoum, Sudan.
- 177 Adamu, A. M. and E. C. Odion (2002). Improving crop-livestock systems in the dry Savanna  
178 zone of Nigeria. In: Improving Crop-Livestock Systems in West and Central Africa.  
179 Accessed online at <http://www.iita.org/info/crop-livestock/arti15.pdf>.
- 180 Adebowale, E. A. and A. A. Taiwo (1996). Utilization of crop residues and agro-industrial by-  
181 products as complete diets for West African Dwarf sheep and goats. *Nigerian Journal of*  
182 *Animal Production*. 23: (1). 153 – 160.
- 183 Adegbola, T. A. (2004). Utilizing proven alternative feed ingredients in livestock industry. In  
184 Tukur, H. M. Hassan, W. A.; Maigandi, S.A.; Ipinjelu, J.K; Daneji, A.I; Baba, K. M and  
185 Oloredo, B.R, (Eds): Sustainable livestock production under changing economic  
186 fortunes. Proceedings of the 29<sup>th</sup> NSAP Conference held at Usmanu Danfodiyo  
187 University Sokoto,.
- 188 Aderinola, O.A, Akinlade, J.A., Akingbade, A.A., Binuomote, R and Alade J.A. (2011).  
189 Performance and nutritional composition of *Andropogon tectorum* during a minor wet  
190 season as influence by varying level of inorganic fertilizer. *J Agriculture Forestry and*  
191 *Social sciences* vol. 9 No 1. 129-142.
- 192 Aderinola, O.A., Akinlade, J.A Akingbade, A.A Ajebiyi, O.O Okunola, D.O and Akinyinka O.O  
193 (2009). Effect of varying level of inorganic nitrogen fertilizers on the nutrient  
194 composition of *Andropogon tectorum* during a minor wet season. Proc. 34<sup>th</sup> annual  
195 conference of the Nigerian society for animal production faculty of agriculture.  
196 University of Uyo, Oyo Akwa Ibom state Nigeria March 15<sup>th</sup>-18<sup>th</sup> 2009. 274-276.
- 197 Amodu, J. T. (2004). *Stylosanthesis: A promising legume for Africa*. In chakraborty. (ed.) High  
198 yielding anthracnose resistant *Stylosanthesis* for Agricultural systems. ACIAR  
199 Monograph No III Pp.225-232.
- 200 Aregheore, E. M. (2009). Nigeria: Country Pasture/Forage Resource Profiles. Accessed at;  
201 <http://www.fao.org/AGP/agpc/doc/Counprof/region/index.htm>. Retrieved; June 9,  
202 2010.to Nigeria. March 21st-25th, 2004. Pp. 370-373.
- 203 Babayemi, O. J and M.A Bamikole (2006). Supplementary value of *Tephrosia bracteolate*,  
204 *Tephrosia candida*, *Leucaena leucocephala* and *Gliricidia sepium* hay for West African  
205 dwarf goats kept on range. *Journal of central European Agriculture*, 7(2):323-328.
- 206 Berhan, T (2006). Forage yield and economic viability of grass (*Chloris gayana*) and (*Trifolium*  
207 *prantese*) mixtures under variable seed rates in eastern highlands of Ethiopia. *Tropical*  
208 *Science*, 46(4):209-212.
- 209 Bogdan, A.V 1977. *Tropical pasture and fodder plants*. Longman Inc, New York Pp77-86.



- 210 CAPAR, (2010). Statute Establishing the Centre for Pastoral and Agricultural Research,  
211 (CAPAR) Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Sokoto, Nigeria. Pp11.
- 212 Duke, J. A. (1978). The quest for tolerant germplasm. P 1-61. In: ASA special symposium 32,  
213 crop tolerance to sub optimal learn conditions. AM. SOC, Agron Madison, WI
- 214 FAO (2006). Fertilizer use by crops. Food and agriculture organization of the United Nations.  
215 Vol 17. Pp 5-17.
- 216 FAO (2009). Rhode grass (*Chloris gayana* kunth) food and agricultural organization of the  
217 united nation. Retrieved from  
218 <http://www.fao.org/ag/agp/agpc/doc/gbase/data/pf000199.htm>
- 219 FAO. (Food and agriculture organization of the united nations). (1988). *Agricultural*  
220 *development in Nigeria 1965-1980*. FAO Rome Italy.
- 221 FDLPCS (1992). *Nigerian Livestock Resources*. Federal Department of Livestock and Pest  
222 Control Services (FDLPCS), National Synthesis Vol. II. Resource Inventory and  
223 Management Ltd. Jersey, UK. 287 pp.
- 224 Frankow-Lindberg, B.E. 1987. Lucerne-grass swards with different nitrogen application and  
225 grass components. 2. Competition. Swedish J. agric. Res. 17: 185-191.
- 226 John L.H (2006). Relationship between growth, growth response to nutrient supply and ion  
227 content using a recombinant in-breed line population in Arabidopsis. In plant physiology  
228 154:1361-1371.
- 229 John L.H (2006). Relationship between growth, growth response to nutrient supply and ion  
230 content using a recombinant in-breed line population in Arabidopsis. In plant physiology  
231 154:1361-1371.
- 232 Khair, M.A.M. (1999). Principles of forage crop production. Agricultural Research corporation  
233 ARC, Training and publication administration, Ward madani Sudan (In Arabic).
- 234 Kutu, F. R., Asiwe Gan 2009. Interactive effect of row spacing on weed infestation and yield of  
235 four cowpea variety. African crop science conferences proceedings, volume 9. Pp 293-  
236 297. Available online at <http://www.ACSJ.info/website/index497.htm>
- 237 Mannetje, T. L & Kersten S.M.M. (1992). *Cloris gayana* kunth. *Plant Resource of East Asia* In  
238 Mannetje, T' L. & Jones, R.M (Eds).
- 239 Martin, M. P. and R. WSnaydon (1982). Intercropping barley and beans. Effects of planting  
240 pattern. Experimental agriculture 19: 139 – 148.
- 241 Mortimore, M. (2000). Hard questions for pastoral development: a northern Nigerian  
242 perspective. In: Hiernaux, P. and E. Tiekens (eds). *Atelier Regional*. Les approches de la

- 243 gestion des pasturages et les projets de developpement, quelles perspective, Niamey,  
244 Niger. October 2 – 6, 2000.
- 245 Muhammad I. R and Abubakar S.A.; (2004). Forage production and management in Nigeria.  
246 A training manual, National animal production research institute, Shika, Zaria.
- 247 Muhammad, I. R., Abdullahi, B., Mohammed, A. K., Tanko, R. J., Kallah, M. S. and J. P. Alawa
- 248 Murtagh, G. J. and Dougherty, A. B. (1968). Relative yields of lablab and Velvet bean. Tropical  
249 grassland 2: 57 – 63.
- 250 Na-Allah Yakubu.,(2015).Comparative evaluation of herbage productivity of introduced  
251 grasses and legumes in Dabagi farm Sokoto, Nigeria. A Phd thesis (unpublished),  
252 Department of animal science, Faculty of Agriculture, Usmanu Dan-Fodiyo University  
253 Sokoto, Nigeria.
- 254 Nuru, S. (1996). Agricultural development at the age of sustainability: livestock production: in  
255 sustaining the future economic, social and environmental change in sub-saharan Africa  
256 (Edited by George Benneh, William B. Margan and Juha i. Uilto). The united nation  
257 university, 1996.
- 258 Obi, I. U. (1991). Maize, its agronomy, disease, pest and food values. Optimal computer  
259 solution Ltd. Enugu Pp207.
- 260 Ogumbosoye, D. O. and O. J. Babayemi (2010). Potential values of some non-leguminous  
261 browse plants; as dry season feed for ruminants in Nigeria. *African Journal of*  
262 *Biotechnology*;9(18):2720-2726.Retrieved,from <http://www.academicjournals.org/AJB>  
263 [December 27, 2015](http://www.academicjournals.org/AJB).
- 264 Olson, J.M; Misana, S.; Campbell, D. J.; Mbonile, M. and Mugisha, S. (2004). Spartial pattern  
265 and root cause of land use change east Africa. LUCID Working paper 47. ILRI  
266 (*International livestock research institute*), Nairobi Kenya.
- 267 Peacock, W. L., Christensen, L. P. and Hirschfelt, D. J. (1991). Influences of the timing of SS  
268 Nitrogen Fertilizer Application on grapevines in the San Joaquin Valley. *Am. J. Enol.*  
269 *Vitis* 44,322-326. Retrieved at [www.sawislibrary.co.za/177145](http://www.sawislibrary.co.za/177145) a pdf.
- 270 Peden, D., Freeman, A. Abiye, A. and Notembaert, A. (2015). Investment options for integral  
271 water-livestock-crop production in Sub Saharan Africa. ILRI (*International livestock*  
272 *research institute*), Adis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- 273 protein, calcium and phosphorous in *Lablab purpureus* and *Sorghum almum* fodder in the
- 274 Rains, B. A. (1963). Grassland research in northern Nigeria, 1952-62. *Samaru Miscellaneous*  
275 *Paper No. 1*. 69 pp.

- 276 Rasmussen, K. J., Rasmussen, and J. Petersen (1996). Effect of fertilizer placement on weed  
277 harrowed spring barley. *Acta. Agric. Scand, sect. B* 45: 1-5
- 278 Schaar, J., Brännäng, E. & Meskel, L.B. 1981. Breeding activities of the Ethio-  
279 Swedish integrated rural development project. Part II: Milk production of Zebu and  
280 cross-bred cattle. *Wld Anim. Rev. (F.A.O)* 37: 31-37.
- 281 SERC (2017). Meteorological Data of Sokoto: 2016 – 2017 (Unpublished). Department of  
282 Meteorology, Sokoto Energy Research Centre, Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Sokoto,  
283 Nigeria.
- 284 Sodehinde, F.G; Asaolu, V.O; Adeleye, I.O.A; Adewumi, M.K; Oyebanji B and Adeniya S.A,  
285 (2006). Effect of nitrogen on the dry matter productivity of *pannicum maximum* and soil  
286 copper manganese content in the derieved savanna zone of Nigeria. Proceedings of the  
287 31<sup>st</sup> annual conference of Nigerian society for animal production 12<sup>th</sup>-15<sup>th</sup> March 2006.  
288 Bayero University Kano 399- 402.
- 289 Sudan Savanna zone of Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Animal Production* 32(2): 280 – 286.
- 290 Tarawili, S.A., Keating, J.D.H., Powell. J.M., Hiernaux, P., Lyasse, O .and Sanginga, N.  
291 (2004). Integrated natural resource management in West African crop-livestock  
292 production for improved livelihood and natural resources management in West Africa.  
293 IITA (International institute of tropical agriculture), Ibadan, Nigeria. Pp., 349-370.
- 294 Umunna, N .N and P.A, Iji (1993). The natural feed resources in Nigeria. In Adamu, A.M.A,  
295 Mani, O.A Osinowo; K.B. Adeoye and E. O Ajileye, (Eds), Forage production and  
296 utilization in Nigeria. Proceedings of national workshop held in Kaduna Nigeria, by the  
297 national livestock project division (NLPD), Kaduna, Nigeria. Federal ministry of  
298 agriculture and natural resources, Nigeria June; 1993.Pp, 16-31.
- 299 Yakubu, A. I. and M. D. Magaji (2004). Evaluation of productivity of some grass pasture  
300 species in Zamfara grazing reserve. *In: Tukur, H. M., W. A. Hassan, S. A. Maigandi, J.*  
301 *K. Ipinjolu, A. I. daneji, K. M. Baba, and B. R. Olorede (eds.): Sustainable Livestock*  
302 *Production Under Changing Economic Fortunes*. Proceedings of the 29<sup>th</sup> NSAP Annual  
303 Conference; held 21 – 25 March, 2004 at Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Sokoto,  
304 Nigeria. Pp 318 – 321.
- 305 Isa, M. M., Musa, D. G., & Usman, B. S. (2019). Effect of Nitrogen Fertilization and  
306 Spacing on Chemical Composition of Rhodes Grass (*Chloris gayana* Tan) in the  
307 Dry Sub Humid Zone of Sokoto, Nigeria. *International Journal of Plant & Soil*  
308 *Science*, 31(1), 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ijps/2019/v31i13019>
- 309 Yakubu, D. H., Nwolisa, N., Kehinde, E. A., Muhammad, M. B., Shuaibu, H., & Usman, T.  
310 (2019). Perceived Effect of Dry Season Farming on Household Food Security in  
311 Goronyo Local Government Area of Sokoto State. *Asian Journal of Agricultural*  
312 *Extension, Economics & Sociology*, 1-8.

UNDER PEER REVIEW