

## Original Research Article

### Comparative study of nebulization with salbutamol vs. saline solution at the acute phase of bronchiolitis of 100 children aged 1 to 23 months

Comment [LA1]: An infant is aged 0-12 months

#### Abstract

**Introduction:** Infant bronchiolitis is the most common acute viral infection of the lower respiratory tract in children. Many pharmacological interventions have been suggested, including bronchodilators, yet the efficacy of bronchodilators in the treatment of this infection is still controversial.

**Objectives:** Evaluate the effect of nebulization of salbutamol vs. a saline solution in the acute phase of bronchiolitis.

**Materials and Methods:** Using a randomized selection process, 52 infant patients received salbutamol, and 48 received a saline solution. The patients included in the study were aged 1 to 23 months and presented with their first episode of wheezing. During patient selection, we excluded children who were asthmatic or had other pulmonary issues prior to their bronchiolitis episode. Three nebulizations were performed for each patient, at one-hour intervals, after admission to the service. Wang's score and oxygen saturation were recorded for each patient on arrival, then at 30 minutes after each nebulization.

**Results and Conclusion:** During the treatment period (which lasts three hours), the mean Wang score decreased from 7 to 3.5, and the mean oxygen saturation increased from 92.5% to 95.6%. Statistical analysis of the data, based on a parametric statistical test of the Student type (T-test), shows that there is no significant difference between nebulization with salbutamol and those given saline saline solution. The evolution of the clinical scores leads to the general conclusion that we cannot recommend using salbutamol nebulization over saline solution for the treatment of bronchiolitis.

**Keywords :** bronchiolitis, bronchodilators, nebulization, Wang score.

## Introduction

Infant bronchiolitis is an acute viral infection of the lower respiratory tract [1, 2]. It is the most common viral infection in children and is mainly caused by the respiratory syncytial virus [3]. The condition is defined by predominantly expiratory dyspnea, and can be associated with polypnea and signs of respiratory struggle. At auscultation, there are cracklings or sibilants, sometimes audible from a distance (wheezing) [2]. Bronchiolitis is generally preceded (48 to 72 hours before) by a picture of nasopharyngitis [1, 2].

**Comment [LA2]:** Is it possible to clinically differentiate between these 2 abnormal sounds ?

In Morocco, bronchiolitis occurs in autumn-winter as epidemics, usually starting in mid-October, peaking in December, and ending in late winter. The evolution is very variable: ranging from the mild form treated on an outpatient basis to the more serious form requiring hospitalization in the pediatric ward, or even in intensive care [2].

**Comment [LA3]:** Do you mean bronchiolitis occurs in autumn-winter as epidemics or in autumn winter epidemics ? please clarify

The treatment of bronchiolitis is currently controversial [1]: despite the number of studies reported in the literature in recent years, the therapeutic role of bronchodilators in the treatment of bronchiolitis is questioned by many practitioners. Some studies conclude that bronchodilators have no special clinical and functional efficacy [4]. Gadomski et al's extensive literature review [3] argued that, in order to answer completely the question of efficacy, there is a need for treatment trials using saline solution controls, and for randomized controlled trials with large sample size and standardized methodology. They recommended that exclusion criteria be consistently applied to exclude children with recurrent wheezing, asthma or other pulmonary disease. They surmised that widespread use of bronchodilators in bronchiolitis is likely due to the similarity between symptoms and signs of bronchiolitis and asthma.

Our study followed the above recommendation, and consisted of a double-blind study that compared the evolution of the oxygen saturation and Wang score of 100 children, half of whom received salbutamol nebulization, and the other half (the control group) received a saline solution. The aim of our study was to determine if there is a difference in efficacy between the two types of nebulization. We consider that a

**Comment [LA4]:** Past tense please

**Comment [LA5]:** Past tense

**Comment [LA6]:** The aim of study should come with the introduction at its end.

difference of 1 point in the Wang score between the two intervention groups to be clinically significant.

## **Materials and Methods**

### **Inclusion and exclusion criteria**

The study was carried out at the pediatric pulmonology unit at the University Hospital of Rabat, during the local bronchiolitis peak season between September and January. The inclusion criteria were:

1. Age 1 to 23 months
2. First episode of wheezing
3. The patient was diagnosed with bronchiolitis

The exclusion criteria were:

1. Previous episode of wheezing
2. Pathology associated with the first episode of wheezing (sequelae of virus of infections, bronchial dilatation, heart disease, etc.)
3. Prior steroid treatment within 48 hours of admission
4. Bronchodilator treatment within 4 hours of admission
5. Respiratory rate greater than 80 cycle per minute
6. Disturbance of consciousness
7. Heart rate greater than 180 beats per minute
8. Low oxygen saturation (85% or less)

These criteria were inspired by previous studies [1,2,5-11].

### **Preparation of the nebulization solution**

As soon as an infant was selected for inclusion in the study, an assistant not otherwise involved in the study decides, based on a random draw, to fill a syringe with a salbutamol solution (0.03 ml/kg of the 0.5% solution) or with a saline solution (0.03 ml/kg of 0.9% sodium chloride solution). In both cases, 0.9% sodium chloride was added to the syringe to obtain a total solution volume of 4 ml. The person who administered the solution did not know which type of solution was in the syringe until after nebulizations were performed.

Comment [LA7]: Past tense

### Administration of the nebulization solution

The solution prepared in the syringe was administered to the patient in three nebulizations: the first at  $H_0$ , the second at  $H_1 = H_0 + 60$  minutes, and the third at  $H_2 = H_0 + 120$  minutes. Each nebulization was delivered for 10 minutes by means of an aerosol equipped with a facial mask and propelled by oxygen with a flow rate of 6 liters per minute. No other treatment was administered during the study; only nasopharyngeal obstruction was performed just before each nebulization. This approach was similar to what has been described in other work [1,2,7].

### Follow-up

The clinical evaluation according to the Wang score [12] and oximetric score was performed on admission and 30 minutes after each nebulization ( $H_0 + 30$  mins;  $H_1 + 30$  mins;  $H_2 + 30$  mins). The study included patients from each severity level defined by range of Wang score:

- Score from 0 to 3: bronchiolitis with low or no severity
- Score 4 to 7: bronchiolitis of moderate severity
- Score 8 to 12: severe bronchiolitis

A favorable response to treatment was defined by the transition from one class of severity to another class of lesser severity [13].

## Results

### Patients at admission

Using the same statistical design as Khanal et al [4], we determined that having 67 patients in the study would provide information with sufficient statistical significance for the comparison:

$$N = [(z_1 + z_2)^2 (O_1^2 + O_2^2)] / (u_1 - u_2)^2 \text{ where}$$

- $z_1 = 1.96$  (confidence level for  $p$  value = 0.05)
- $z_2 = 1.64$  (confidence power of 95%)
- $O_1 = O_2 = 1.7$  (standard deviation of the Wang score [5])

- $u_1 - u_2 = 1$ : we consider a difference of 1 in the Wang score as significant

To allow for some contingency, we run the study until we gather results 100 patients. The characteristics of the study population at admission are reported in Table I. Of the 100 children in the study, 61 were boys and 39 were girls, and all were 1 to 23 months of age. The randomized selection resulted in almost three times as many boys as girls in the saline solution group (35 boys and 13 girls), but the number of girls and boys are the same in the salbutamol group (26 patients each). The median age in the two groups were similar (9 months vs. 8.2 months). The other epidemiological, clinical and oxymetric parameters at admission are comparable for the two groups.

**Table I : Parameters at admission (mean  $\pm$  stdv)**

	Salbutamol	Saline solution
Number of patients	52	48
Sex (F/M)	26/26	13/35
Age (months)	9.0 $\pm$ 6.1	8.2 $\pm$ 5.72

**Comment [LA8]:** The title is usually above the table.  
All the borders/lines except the upper 2 horizontal and bottom lines should be deleted. This should be done for all tables.

### Nebulization of salbutamol

Tables II summarises the evolution of the means of the scores during the treatment with salbutamol. In addition to the Wang score, the table shows the respiratory rate score, the sibilant score, and the retraction score. The respiratory rate score is defined as 0 for a respiratory rate less than 30 breaths/min; 1 for a rate between 31 to 45; 2 for a rate between 46 to 60; and 3 for a respiratory rate greater than 61 breaths/min. The retraction score is defined as: 0 for Normal; 1 for intercostal; 2 for tracheosternal, and 3 for Severe with nasal flaring irritable, lethargic, poor feeding.

The table shows that all four scores improved steadily from admission to the last nebulisation with salbutamol. The oxygen saturation increased. These changes correspond to an improvement in the children's condition throughout the treatment.

**Table II: Evolution of the patient group nebulized with salbutamol (mean  $\pm$  stdv)**

	Admission	H <sub>0</sub> +30 mins	H <sub>0</sub> +90 mins	H <sub>0</sub> +150 mins
Respiratory rate score	2.4 $\pm$ 0.5	2.4 $\pm$ 0,5	1.8 $\pm$ 0,5	1.3 $\pm$ 0,4
Sibilant score	2.0 $\pm$ 0.3	1.8 $\pm$ 0,4	1.2 $\pm$ 0,4	1.0 $\pm$ 0,2
Retraction score	2.2 $\pm$ 0.4	2.1 $\pm$ 0,4	1.5 $\pm$ 0,5	1.1 $\pm$ 0,4
Wang score	7.0 $\pm$ 1.5	6.6 $\pm$ 1.7	4.8 $\pm$ 1.6	3.4 $\pm$ 0.9
Oxygen saturation (%)	92.3 $\pm$ 2.2	92.8 $\pm$ 2.4	94.4 $\pm$ 1.6	95.6 $\pm$ 1.2

**Comment [LA9]:** Describe the contents of the table in a text.

**Comment [LA10]:** This is not clear ; how can the respiratory rate be 2.4 ?

**Comment [LA11]:** Are these decimal points (.) or comma (,) ?

### Nebulization of the saline solution

Tables III summarises the evolution of the means of the scores during the treatment with the saline. The table shows that all four scores improved steadily from admission to the last nebulisation. The oxygen saturation increased. These changes correspond to an improvement in the children's condition throughout the treatment.

Figures I to V shows the evolution of the four scores and the oxygen saturation percent for the two treatments. Visually, we observe that both treatments result in similar rates of improvement from admission to end of treatment.

**Comment [LA12]:** Describe the contents of the table in a text.


**Table III: Evolution of the patient group nebulized with saline solution (mean  $\pm$  stdv)**

	Admission	H <sub>0</sub> +30 mins	H <sub>0</sub> +90 mins	H <sub>0</sub> +150 mins
Respiratory rate	2.3 $\pm$ 0.5	2.3 $\pm$ 0.5	1.7 $\pm$ 0.5	1.3 $\pm$ 0.5
Sibilant score	2.0 $\pm$ 0.3	1.8 $\pm$ 0.5	1.3 $\pm$ 0.4	1.0 $\pm$ 0.3
Retraction score	2.2 $\pm$ 0.4	2.1 $\pm$ 0.4	1.4 $\pm$ 0.5	1.1 $\pm$ 0.3
Wang score	6.7 $\pm$ 1.7	6.5 $\pm$ 1.5	4.6 $\pm$ 1.1	3.4 $\pm$ 0.8
Oxygen saturation (%)	92.7 $\pm$ 2.1	93.0 $\pm$ 2.1	94.7 $\pm$ 1.7	95.8 $\pm$ 1.2


Comment [LA13]: Comma or decimal point ?

UNDER PEER REVIEW


**FIGURE 1 : RESPIRATORY RATE SCORE**



**FIGURE 2 : SIBILANT SCORE**



**FIGURE 3 : RETRACTIONSCORE**



**FIGURE 4 : WANG SCORE**





FIGURE 5 : OXYGEN SATURATION (%)



### Statistical Analysis

To analyze our results, we used a T-test statistical method for comparing two proportions with large independent samples (since we have more than 30 patients) [14]. To determine the effectiveness of a treatment method, the rate of change (ratio) of each monitored parameter (respiratory rate, wheezing, retraction score, and Wang score) is calculated between admission and discharge. The smallest p-value statistic [14] corresponding to these ratios is p-value = 0.901. This value being higher than the standard value of the risk threshold = 0.05, confirming that the hypothesis that the two treatments cannot be differentiated.

Comment [LA14]: This is part of results and should not be here.

**Table IV: Change in each monitored parameter between admission and discharge and corresponding p-values.**

Paramètre	Ratio		Z	p-value
	Saline solution	Salbutamol		
Respiratory rate score	53.57%	52.38%	0.119	0.906
Sibilant score	48.98%	50.00%	0.102	0.919
Retraction score	50.48%	51.33%	0.085	0.933
Wang score	50.16%	48.90%	0.125	0.901

**Comment [LA15]:** This table is not in the proper position.it should come before the discussion.

**Comment [LA16]:** 53.57% not 53,57% !!

## Discussion

Bronchodilators, which are known to reverse bronchoconstriction induced by asthma, have not proven to be as effective for children with bronchiolitis. Bronchodilators such as albuterol or salbutamol have not improved oxygen saturation or any of the Wang Score parameters [4, 12]. This could be because the pulmonary beta-agonist receptor sites of children appear inadequate, and because the potential effectiveness of  $\beta_2$ -adrenergic agonists is limited by the smooth muscles of their bronchiolar walls. Given the adverse side effects and the expense associated with these treatments, bronchodilators are not effective in the routine management of bronchiolitis. As asthmatic children are known to respond to bronchodilators, their exclusion from our study prevented a false increase in the apparent level of efficacy of bronchodilators in patients with bronchiolitis.

**Comment [LA17]:** This section is to discuss the findings of the study and relate it with relevant literature.

This result is consistent with the results of other studies [3, 12].

**Comment [LA18]:** Third paragraph of Discussion (no 3)

**Comment [LA19]:** Not part of conclusion. delete

## **Conclusion**

Our study was designed to minimize the biases and limitations described in Gadomski et al's extensive literature review [3]. The sample size was significant (100 children), and the strict inclusion and exclusion criteria were used to minimize possible confounding effects. Blinding was maintained each patient from admission to release.

**Comment [LA20]:** This is not part of study conclusion and should be taken to Discussion as first paragraph. (1)

The results show that salbutamol nebulization is not more effective than saline solutions in the routine management of bronchiolitis. The use of bronchodilators in the management of the first bronchiolitis cannot be not recommended.

## **Ethical approval**

The Ethics Committee has been informed that the study is about a comparative evaluation of two common treatment methods.

## **Consent**

Informed verbal consent was taken from the parents of all children.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

## References

**Comment [LA21]:** The references should be written as follows : Names of Authors (if more than 6, write et al after the 6th name), title of Article, Year of publication;Volume(number);page number

- 1 Chevallier B, Aegerter P, Parat S, Bidat E, Renaud C, Lagardere B. Etude comparative des nébulisations de salbutamol contre placebo à la phase aiguë d'une bronchiolite chez 33 nourrissons de 1 à 6 mois. Archives de pédiatrie. 1995 Jan 1;2(1):11-7.
- 2 Verstraete M, Cros P, Gouin M, Oillic H, Bihouée T, Denoual H, et al. Update on the management of acute viral bronchiolitis: proposed guidelines of Grand Ouest University Hospitals. Archives de Pédiatrie: Organe Officiel de la Société Française de Pédiatrie. 2013 Dec 8;21(1):53-62.
- 3 Cheron, G., Patteau, G. and Nouyrigat, V. Bronchiolitis in Infants. EMC (Elsevier BV), Emergency Medicine, (2009) 25-140-C-20.
- 4 Khanal A, Sharma A, Basnet S, Sharma PR, Gami FC. Nebulised hypertonic saline (3%) among children with mild to moderately severe bronchiolitis-a double blind randomized controlled trial. BMC pediatrics. 2015 Dec 1;15(1):115.
- 5 Gadomski AM, Scribani MB. Bronchodilators for bronchiolitis. Cochrane database of systematic reviews. 2014(6). Art. No .: CD001266. DOI: 10.1002 / 14651858.CD001266.pub4
- 6 Pailhous S, Bresson V, Loundou A, Gras-Le-Guen C, Marguet C, Chabrol B, et al. Acute viral bronchiolitis: a national study in pediatric emergency departments. Archives de Pédiatrie: Organe Officiel de la Société Française de Pédiatrie. 2015 Feb 26;22(4):373-9.
- 7 Labbé A. Traitement de la bronchiolite aiguë du nourrisson. Place des bronchodilatateurs et des corticoïdes. Archives de pédiatrie. 1996 Apr 1;3(4):383-9.
- 8 Fily A. Place des bronchodilatateurs dans le traitement de la bronchiolite aiguë du nourrisson. Archives de pédiatrie (Paris). 2001;8(1):149s-56s.

- 9 Sebban S, Pull L, Smail A, Menier I, Berthaud C, Boukdedid R, et al.. Influence de la kinésithérapie respiratoire sur la décision d'hospitalisation du nourrisson de moins d'un an atteint de bronchiolite aux urgences pédiatriques. *Kinésithérapie, la Revue*. 2017 Mar 1;17(183):3-8.
- 10 Sardet A. Bronchiolite et bronchodilatateurs. *Médecine et maladies infectieuses*. 1993 Nov 1;23:880-2.
- 11 Gajdos V. Bronchiolite aiguë du nourrisson Les traitements sont-ils vraiment nécessaires? État actuel et perspectives. *Archives de Pédiatrie*. 2014 May 1;21(5):232-3.
- 12 Wang EE, Milner R, Allen U, Maj H. Bronchodilators for treatment of mild bronchiolitis: a factorial randomised trial. *Archives of disease in childhood*. 1992 Mar 1;67(3):289-93.
- 13 Chin HJ, Seng QB. Reliability and validity of the respiratory score in the assessment of acute bronchiolitis. *The Malaysian journal of medical sciences: MJMS*. 2004 Jul;11(2):34.
- 14 [http://cerim.univ-lille2.fr/fileadmin/user\\_upload/statistiques/michael\\_genin/Cours/Tests\\_statistiques/Tests\\_parametriques\\_printable.pdf](http://cerim.univ-lille2.fr/fileadmin/user_upload/statistiques/michael_genin/Cours/Tests_statistiques/Tests_parametriques_printable.pdf)
- 15 Friedman JN, Rieder MJ, Walton JM, Canadian Paediatric Society, Acute Care Committee, Drug Therapy and Hazardous Substances Committee. Bronchiolitis: recommendations for diagnosis, monitoring and management of children one to 24 months of age. *Paediatrics & Child Health*. 2014 Nov 3;19(9):485-91.