

**IDENTIFICATION AND BIOCHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF PATHOGENIC *Escherichia coli* IN RAW BEEF SOLD IN OTUOKE MARKET, BAYELSA STATE, NIGERIA**

**ABSTRACT**

Meat and meat products are a very important category of food consumed widely to meet the nutritional requirements of humans. Due to the high nutrient and moisture content of meat, they readily support the growth of diverse microorganisms. The consumption of these products, when contaminated by pathogenic microorganisms, can pose a risk to health leading to possible food poisoning, with *Escherichia coli* being the most implicated organism. Thus, this research focused on the isolation of *Escherichia coli* from raw beef (*Bos taurus*) retailed in Otuoke market, its biochemical identification, pathogenicity testing and antibiogram. Total 90 raw beef samples were collected from three retail points (30 samples per point) over 3 months and cultured on Eosin-Methylene Blue (EMB) agar for the elucidation of *E. coli*. Conventional biochemical tests were performed on isolates to identify *E. coli*. The isolates were subjected to Congo-red assay to test for pathogenicity and the agar-diffusion assay to test sensitivity to commonly utilized antibiotics. A total of 51 samples (56%) were contaminated with *E. coli* of which 24 samples (26.6%) had to mean aerobic bacteria counts greater than 5.0 log cfu/gm which is above the European Commission Regulation No. 2073/2005 guideline for fresh beef. All *E. coli* isolates tested positive to the Congo-red assay thus indicating their potential pathogenicity. Antimicrobial sensitivity assay indicates the resistance of isolates to Tetracycline (60%), Erythromycin (80%) and Amoxicillin (85%). However, the isolates were sensitive to Nitrofurantoin (90%), Gentamicin (78%) and Ciprofloxacin (82%). The results obtained highlights the high level of contamination by potentially pathogenic *E. coli* in retailed fresh meats which are highly resistant to some of the commonly used antibiotics. The results obtained from this study is of public health significance as it indicates possible risks of infection to people through the consumption of inadequately cooked meat or the cross-contamination of other food items by the meat products which may lead to outbreaks of food poisoning.

**Keywords: Coliform; Meat; Antibiogram**

**INTRODUCTION**

*Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) is a normal flora of the intestinal tract of humans and many healthy animals but can be found in soil, water and vegetation [1]. Worldwide, *E. coli* is the most common causative agent of food and water-borne human diarrhoea, causing numerous deaths in children under five years of age in developing countries [2]. A variety of foods and food products are associated with food poisonings by *E. coli*. However, approximately 52% is through the consumption of beef and bovine products [3]. After consumption, *E. coli* proliferate in the human body and can cause several illnesses including hemolytic uremic syndrome, which can be fatal, with a mortality rate of 2-10% [4]. The potential pathogenicity of *E. coli* makes its presence in food of high concern. Furthermore, *E. coli* is an indicator organism whose

40 presence in food may indicate inadequate handling and possible contamination by faecal matter. Thus,  
41 its detection in food is important in determining sanitary indices [5].

42 There is an increase worldwide in the rate of resistance of *E. coli* to numerous antibiotics and this is of  
43 growing concern as this leads to complications in the treatment of infections [6]. Research has indicated  
44 the ability of normal intestinal microflora, specifically commensal *E. coli* strains to serve as a reservoir of  
45 resistance genes under specific conditions that can be transferred to other pathogenic organisms [7].  
46 This growing problem of antibiotics resistance by *E. coli* is of public health concern especially with curli-  
47 producing *E. coli* which can secrete extracellular adhesive amyloid fibres termed curli which is utilized by  
48 the organism in adhesion to surfaces, as a structural scaffold and for cell-cell or cell-surface interactions  
49 which all promote biofilm formation and thus pathogenicity [8,9]. Because of lack of information, meat  
50 and meat products are usually not adequately cooked, therefore increasing the risk of transfer of these  
51 bacterial pathogens to the finished product or through cross-contamination with raw meat. There are  
52 far-reaching consequences in the consumption of beef products contaminated by pathogenic *E. coli*  
53 which is resistant to commonly administered antibiotics as this will contribute to disease burden,  
54 reduction of productivity due to ill health and high morbidity and mortality rates especially in rural areas  
55 without access to adequate health care services.

56 This study was aimed at evaluating the rate of contamination of raw beef retailed in Otuoke, Nigeria by  
57 *E. coli*, investigation of their pathogenicity and susceptibility/resistance to commonly used antibiotics.

## 58 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### 59 **Samples**

60 A total of 90 beef (*Bos taurus*) samples were collected between August and October 2018 from three  
61 retail points (30 samples per point) in Otuoke Market, Bayelsa State of Nigeria. All samples were  
62 collected randomly and placed individually in sterile plastic bags to prevent cross-contamination. They  
63 were immediately transported to the Microbiology laboratory of the Federal University Otuoke for  
64 analysis.

### 65 **Isolation and conventional identification of *E. coli***

66 Isolation, identification and enumeration of *E. coli* were undertaken by classical plating methods [10].  
67 10g of each meat sample was homogenized for 2 minutes in 90ml of normal saline solution (pH 7.2).  
68 Ten-fold serial dilution was undertaken using normal saline up to  $10^{-3}$ . A volume of 0.1ml inoculum was  
69 introduced onto Eosin-Methylene Blue (EMB) agar by the spread plate technique in duplicates. After  
70 inoculation, the plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours.

71 The occurrence of typical colonial morphology of *E. coli* on EMB agar (greenish colonies with a metallic  
72 sheen) gives preliminary identification and such colonies were counted using a colony counter. Resultant  
73 colonies were sub-cultured onto nutrient agar for subsequent biochemical testing [11].

### 74 **In-vitro pathogenic test of *E. coli***

75 The pathogenicity of isolated *E. coli* was investigated by the Congo-red binding assay [12]. The isolates  
76 were inoculated on Congo-red medium and incubated overnight. Colonies that produced an intense  
77 brick red/orange colour were considered as positive while colonies exhibiting a greyish/white  
78 appearance were recorded as negative.

79 **Antibiogram of *E. coli* isolates**

80 The susceptibility of the *E. coli* isolates to commonly utilized antibiotics (Tetracycline, erythromycin,  
81 amoxicillin, nitrofurantoin, gentamicin and ciprofloxacin) was analyzed using the agar-diffusion assay  
82 [13]. Isolates adjusted to the Mac-Farlands standard was inoculated onto Muller-Hinton agar by the  
83 spread plate method in duplicates. Antibiotics discs were placed onto the inoculated plates and  
84 incubated overnight at 37°C. After overnight incubation, resulting zones of inhibition was measured  
85 with the aid of a calibrated ruler and classified as sensitive, intermediate or resistant.

86 **Statistical analysis**

87 Chi-square test was employed in the comparison of microbial load and antimicrobial  
88 sensitivity/resistance. P-value of <0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

89 **RESULTS**

90 A total of 51 *E. coli* isolates were obtained from the 90 beef samples. Identification of the isolates was  
91 based on their colonial morphology comprising of bluish-green colonies with a metallic sheen and  
92 biochemical testing. The average count of *E. coli* on the samples was  $2.31 \pm 0.12 \log_{10}$ cfu/gm. However,  
93 24 samples (26.6%) had total counts exceeding 5.0  $\log_{10}$  cfu/gm which is above the European  
94 Commission Regulation No. 2073/2005 guideline for fresh beef [14].

95 Upon culturing on Congo-red media, all isolates (100%) were positive to the Congo-red binding assay,  
96 producing an intense orange/brick-red colour on Congo-red media, thus showing their potential  
97 pathogenicity.

98 Antimicrobial susceptibility assay showed that isolated *E. coli* had high resistance to Tetracycline (60%),  
99 Erythromycin (80%) and Amoxicillin (85%). However, sensitivity to Nitrofurantoin (90%), Gentamicin  
100 (78%) and Ciprofloxacin (82%) were recorded (p<0.05) by the isolates.

101 Table 1. Antibiogram of *E. coli* isolates

Antibiotics	Sensitive	Intermediate	Resistant
Tetracycline	20	1	30
Erythromycin	10	1	40
Amoxicillin	7	0	44
Nitrofurantoin	45	1	5
Gentamicin	39	1	11
Ciprofloxacin	41	1	9

102

103 **Discussions**

104 In the present study, *E. coli* was isolated from beef samples. The bacteria occurred in 51 (56%) of  
105 samples, thus indicating gross contamination. This value is comparable to previous studies [15] that  
106 reported values of 67.5% in retail beef from South Africa and 65% [16] in open butcher shops in Saudi  
107 Arabia but lower than a study in Nigeria which had contamination rates of 85.65% [17]. The high rate of  
108 contamination of the samples may point to the use of water that is not sufficiently clean/portable and  
109 unsanitary measures during slaughter and meat preparation [18]. Furthermore, of the contaminated  
110 samples, 24 (26.6%) had a coliform count greater than 5.0  $\log_{10}$  cfu/gm. These values exceed the

111 maximum permissible limit for fresh beef as set out in European Commission Regulation No. 2073/2005  
112 [14]. This means that the beef samples are unacceptable for consumption even when adequately  
113 cooked. Such beef cannot be accepted for trade within the European Union.

114 Many pathogenic organisms can produce functional amyloids termed curli that is utilized in adhesion  
115 and biofilm formation, thus promoting pathogenicity [19]. The congo-red dye can be used in binding  
116 curled *E. coli* without inhibiting growth [19]. Therefore, the ability of the organisms to bind congo-red  
117 dye on Congo-red media indicates their pathogenicity. All *E. coli* isolated from retail fresh beef in Otuoke  
118 Nigeria were able to form brick-red colouration on Congo-red media, thus indicating their potential  
119 pathogenicity. This corresponds to another finding that extrapolated pathogenicity through the congo-  
120 red binding assay [18].

121 Results from the agar-diffusion assay using 6 antibiotics indicates rising resistance by the *E. coli* isolates  
122 to conventionally utilized first-line antibiotics. Resistance ranged from 60% in tetracycline to 80% in  
123 erythromycin and 85% in amoxicillin. These high rates of resistance are of a public health concern as  
124 organisms with resistant plasmids and genes can pass on the resistance to previously susceptible  
125 organisms [20] thus, leading to a clone of multi-drug resistant superbugs which are difficult to control.  
126 Conversely, the antibiotics; nitrofurantoin, gentamicin and ciprofloxacin proved to be effective in the  
127 inhibiting the growth of *E. coli*. These results are in line with the findings of [1] who recorded resistance  
128 to tetracycline (72.6%), erythromycin (89.4%) and amoxicillin (86.0%) in *E. coli* isolated from clinical  
129 samples in Ethiopia while the isolates were sensitive to nitrofurantoin (96.4%), gentamicin (79.6%) and  
130 ciprofloxacin (79.6%).

131

## 132 **Conclusion**

133 In this study, *E. coli* was isolated from retail raw beef, using eosin-methylene blue agar. The  
134 contamination rate of the samples was 56%, with 26.6% of the samples having microbial loads above 5.0  
135 log cfu/gm, exceeding the maximum acceptable limit as set by European Commission Regulation No.  
136 2073/2005 for fresh beef and all the *E. coli* isolates were potentially pathogenic based on the Congo-red  
137 assay. Furthermore, the isolates proved resistant to some of the antibiotics assayed (Tetracycline,  
138 Erythromycin and Amoxicillin), thus indicating that foodborne infections/intoxications resulting from the  
139 consumption of such beef in the raw or undercooked state may be difficult to treat using conventional  
140 antibiotics. Therefore, there is need for public health intervention and adequate sanitary measures to be  
141 employed at the point of meat slaughter and retail to minimize contamination of meat products by  
142 pathogenic *E. coli* and the use of portable water in all steps of meat processing.

143

## 144 **Highlights**

- 145 • There are potentially pathogenic *E. coli* present in raw beef retailed in the market.
- 146 • These pathogens are resistant to some commercially available antibiotics.
- 147 • Consumption of inadequately cooked beef can pose a risk to health, leading to possible food  
148 poisoning.

149 **References**

- 150 [1]. Kibret, M. and Abera, B. (2011). Antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of *E. coli* from clinical sources in  
151 northeast Ethiopia. *African Health Sciences*; 11(S1): S40 - S45
- 152 [2]. Turner SM, Scott-Tucker A, Cooper LM, Henderson IR. Weapons of mass destruction: virulence  
153 factors of the global killer enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli*. *FEMS Microbial Letters*. 2006; 263(1): 10-20.
- 154 [3]. World Health Organization. 1997. Consultation on prevention and control of enterohaemorrhagic  
155 *Escherichia coli* (EHEC) infections. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.
- 156 [4]. Law D (2000) Virulence factors of *E. coli* O157 and other Shiga – toxin-producing *E. coli* - A review. *J.*  
157 *Appl. Microbiol* 88: 729 – 745.
- 158 [5]. Sherikar A. T., V. N. Bachil and D. C. Thaplial (2011) Textbook of Elements of Veterinary Public  
159 Health. Indian Council of Agriculture Research, New Delhi.
- 160 [6]. Dromigny JA, Nabeth P, Juergens-Behr A, Perrier-Gros-Claude JD. Risk factors for antibiotic resistant  
161 *Escherichia coli* isolated from community-acquired urinary tract infections in Dakar, Senegal. *J*  
162 *Antimicrobial Chemother*. 2005;56: 236-239.
- 163 [7]. Ajiboye R., O. Solberg, B. Lee, E. Raphael, C. DebRoy and L. Riley (2009) Global spread of mobile  
164 antimicrobial drug resistance determinant in human and animal *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* strains  
165 causing community-Acquired infections. *Clin. Infect Dis*. 49(3): 365-371.
- 166 [8]. Chapman MR, Robinson LS, Pinkner JS, Roth R, Heuser J, Hammar M, et al. Role of *Escherichia coli*  
167 *curli* operons in directing amyloid fiber formation. *Science*. 2002; 295(5556):851–5.
- 168 [9]. Barnhart MM, Chapman MR. *Curli* biogenesis and function. *Annu Rev Microbiol*. 2006; 60:131–47.
- 169 [10]. BAM (1998) Bacteriological Analytical Manual, 8th edition publication by FDA, U.S.
- 170 [11]. Islam, M.M., Islam, M.N., Sharifuzzaman and Fakhruzzaman, M. (2014). Isolation and identification  
171 of *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* from poultry litter and feed. *International Journal of Natural and*  
172 *Social Sciences*. 1: 1-7
- 173 [12]. Agarwal R. K., K. N. Bhilegaokar, D. K. Singh, A. Kumar and R. S. Ratore (2003) Laboratory manual  
174 for the isolation and identification of food borne Pathogens. 1st Edn. ICAR, New Delhi, pp. 55-69.
- 175 [13]. Zige, D.V. and Anumudu, C.K. (2019). Prevalence and multi-drug resistance pattern of food  
176 poisoning enteric bacteria associated with diarrhoea patients. *American Journal of Biomedical and life*  
177 *Sciences*. 7(3):63-67
- 178 [14]. European Commission (2005). Commission Regulation (EC) No 2073/2005 on microbiological  
179 criteria for foodstuffs.
- 180 [15]. Tanih NF, Sekwadi E, Ndip RN, Bessong PO (2015) Detection of pathogenic *Escherichia coli* and  
181 *Staphylococcus aureus* from cattle and pigs slaughtered in abattoirs in Vhembe District, South Africa.  
182 *Scientific World Journal* 2015: 1-8

- 183 [16]. Iyer A, Kumosani T, Yaghmoor S, Barbour E, Azhar E, Harakeh S (2013) *Escherichia coli* and  
184 *Salmonella* spp. in meat in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. *The Journal of Infection in Developing Countries* 11 :  
185 812-818.
- 186 [17]. Enabulele SA, Uraih N (2009) Enterohaemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O157: H7 Prevalence in meat  
187 and vegetables sold in Benin City, Nigeria. *African Journal of Microbiology Research* 5: 276-279.
- 188 [18]. Shekh CS, Deshmukh VV, Waghmare RN, NM Markandeya and Vaidya MS (2013) Isolation of  
189 pathogenic *E. coli* from buffalo meat sold in Parbhani city, Maharashtra, India, *Vet. World* 6(5):277-279
- 190 [19]. Reichhardt C, Jacobson AN, Maher MC, Uang J, McCrate OA, Eckart M, et al. (2015) Congo Red  
191 Interactions with Curli-Producing *E. coli* and Native Curli Amyloid Fibers. *PLoS ONE* 10(10): e0140388.
- 192 [20]. Lerminiaux, N.A. and Cameron, A.D.S. (2019). Horizontal transfer of antibiotic resistance genes in  
193 clinical environments. *Canadian journal of Microbiology* 65(1): 34-44.

UNDER PEER REVIEW