

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7

**ASSESSMENT OF NUTRITIONAL STATUS AND DIETARY PATTERN OF  
DIABETES PATIENTS ATTENDING OUT- PATIENT CLINICS IN ABUJA  
METROPOLIS, FEDERAL CAPITAL TERRITORY**

8 **ABSTRACT**

9 **PURPOSE:** Nutritional status and dietary pattern of 120 randomly selected type-2  
10 diabetic patients of both sexes (age range 18 and 65 years) attending medical outpatient  
11 clinics within Abuja metropolis were assessed. **METHODS:** A cross-sectional survey  
12 involving pre-tested and semi-structured questionnaires was used. Socio-demographic  
13 characteristics, anthropometric measurements, nutritional status and dietary pattern of  
14 the subjects were computed using standard methods. **RESULTS:** The result of socio-  
15 demographic characteristics indicates that 52% are males and 48% are females.  
16 Subjects with primary education constitute 4.2%, about half of them having either  
17 secondary (48.3%) or tertiary (47.5%) education. Most (80.8%) of them are married,  
18 32.5% fell within a monthly income range of ₦50,000 - ₦100,000 (US \$139.07 -  
19 \$278.14). Anthropometric indices showed that the height of 75% of the respondents  
20 was  $159.9 \pm 7.0$  cm, 100% of the respondents' weight, hip circumference, waist-hip  
21 ratio and waist-height ratio are  $72.69 \pm 16.88$  cm,  $104.6 \pm 12.4$  cm,  $0.94 \pm 0.1$  and  $0.61$   
22  $\pm 0.1$  respectively. The nutritional status of the subjects revealed that only 25% fell  
23 within the normal BMI range, with 1.7% being underweight, 20.8% overweight and  
24 half of them (52.5%) obese and out of which 27% are morbid. Their dietary patterns  
25 showed that the food mostly consumed include cereals, processed cereals, legumes,  
26 vegetables, fruits and meat. More than a quarter of the respondents totally avoid sugar  
27 in their diet, while 33.33% rarely eat sugar. Eggs (48.33%) have a moderate frequency  
28 of consumption.

29 **CONCLUSION:** This study concludes that the dietary practices of the respondents  
30 contribute to their poor nutritional status.

31

32 **Keywords:** Nutritional status, Dietary pattern, Semi-structured, Anthropometric,  
33 Morbid

34

35 **INTRODUCTION**

36 Diabetes mellitus is a metabolic disorder characterized by chronic hyperglycaemia with  
37 disturbances of carbohydrate, fat and protein metabolism ensuing from deficiencies in  
38 insulin secretion, insulin action, or both [1]. Insulin is a hormone manufactured by the

39 beta cells of the pancreas, which is essential to make use of glucose from digested food  
40 as an energy source [2].

41 The burden of type 2 diabetes mellitus is becoming an epidemic and is a cause of  
42 morbidity and mortality, especially in the developing world. As at 2013, Africa and  
43 worldwide prevalence of type 2 diabetes mellitus was 20 million and 382 million  
44 respectively; also, a projection of two-fold increase and an increase to 592 million by  
45 2035 has been postulated [3]. The diabetes, an epidemic and non-communicable  
46 disease, is rapidly increasing as a major health problem in sub-Saharan African and the  
47 world. It has been reported that anthropometric parameters such as BMI, waist  
48 circumference (WC), waist hip ratio (WHR), and waist height ratio (WHtR) are  
49 suitable pointers for envisaging incidence of type 2 diabetes in populaces [5]. Research  
50 has indicated that waist circumference or waist-to-hip ratio may be a better indicator of  
51 the risk of developing diabetes than BMI. Such data suggest that the distribution of  
52 body fat is a crucial determinant of risk as these measures reflect abdominal or visceral  
53 obesity [6].

54 The treatment for diabetes requires a multiple approach that would include medical  
55 nutrition therapy, exercise, weight reduction and use of drugs when indicated [7].  
56 Anthropometric parameters are commonly used as research apparatuses to assess the  
57 non-communicable disease risk factors in the populations as they are inexpensive and  
58 easy to monitor at the community level [5].

59 Dietary management has been thought cogent in diabetes care. This is based on the  
60 principle of healthy eating in the background of social, cultural and psychological  
61 influences on food choices [4].

62 Therefore there is a need to investigate the nutritional status and dietary pattern of  
63 diabetes patients attending out-patient clinics in Abuja metropolis, federal capital  
64 territory, Nigeria.

65

66

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 67 Research design

68 The study was a cross-sectional survey involving collection of data on socio-  
69 demographic, dietary pattern and anthropometric parameters.

### 70 Study area

71 The study was carried out in Abuja city centre comprising of Asokoro, Maitama and  
72 Wuse. Abuja lies at latitude 9.07°N and longitude 7.48°E with a land area of 7,753.9  
73 Sq. Km and a population of 3,100,000 (NPC, 2016 projected estimate). The major  
74 people include; Gbagi, Hausa and other ethnic nationals.

### 75 Study population

76 The study population comprised of adult male and female (18 - 65 years) with type-2  
77 diabetic patients attending selected diabetic out-patient clinics within Abuja metropolis.  
78 They were confirmed to be diabetic by a physician for having fasting blood glucose  
79 (FBG)  $\geq$  126mg/dl or casual plasma glucose (CPG)  $\geq$ 200mg/dl or glycated  
80 haemoglobin (HbA1c)  $\geq$  6.5%.

81

### 82 Sample size

83 The sample size was derived using the Dobson's formula [8]

84 
$$n = t^2 \frac{p \times q}{d^2} = 120$$

85 Where n= sample size,  
86 t= Confidence interval at 95% (1.96)  
87 p= prevalence rate (8.5% of 0.085) [8]  
88 q = 1-p (1-0.085 =0.915)  
89 d = desired level of significance (0.05)

$$\begin{aligned} 90 \text{ Therefore } n &= \frac{1.96^2 \times 0.085 \times 0.915}{0.05^2} \\ 91 &= 119.5 \\ 92 &\approx 120 \end{aligned}$$

93 Calculating using a non-response case of 7.5%, and putting design defect at 1.0,

94 Sample size  $\approx$  130

95

## 96 **Sampling**

97 All health facilities in the study area were selected. Number of subjects per health  
98 facility was selected using probability proportional to size. The diabetic subjects per  
99 health facility were selected from all registered diabetic patients using systematic  
100 random sampling.

101

## 102 **Field data collection**

103 A semi-structured validated questionnaire was used to collect respondents' information  
104 on the socio-demographic characteristics as well as their dietary intake [9].

## 105 **Anthropometric measurements and Nutritional Status Determination (using** 106 **MUAC and BMI)**

107 Weight (kg) and height (cm) were taken to the nearest 0.1 using SECA UNICEF  
108 weighing scale and standiometer respectively as described by Pierce *et al* [10].

109 **Waist and Hip circumference:**

110 Waist measurement was taken using a non-stretchy tape at the level of the umbilicus, to  
111 the nearest 0.1cm. Hip measurement was taken using a non-stretchy tape at the highest  
112 point along the hip

113 Mid upper arm circumference (MUAC)

114 MUAC measured using a shakir strip to the nearest 0.1cm. The left upper arm was  
115 measured at the mid-point between the tip of the shoulder and the elbow.

116 *Determination of Body Mass index (BMI)*

117 
$$\text{BMI} = \frac{\text{Weight (Kg)}}{\text{Height (m}^2\text{)}}$$

118

119 *Waist to Hip ratio*

120 
$$\text{WHR} = \frac{\text{Waist circumference}}{\text{Hip circumference}}$$

121

122 *Waist to height ratio*

123 
$$\text{WHtR} = \frac{\text{Waist circumference (cm)}}{\text{Height (cm)}}$$

124

125 The BMI, WHR, WHtR, WC and MUAC were compared to WHO reference standards  
126 to determine the nutritional status of the patients.

127

128

129 **Statistical Analysis**

130 All data were analysed using SPSS version 20 and presented as absolute numbers and  
131 percentages and as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. They were subjected to one way analysis

132 of variance (ANOVA), followed by Duncan Multiple Range Test was used for post hoc  
133 test. Pearson Correlation was carried out for association test. P-value less than 0.05  
134 ( $p < 0.05$ ) was taken as significance.

135

UNDER PEER REVIEW

136 **RESULTS**

137 **Socio-Demographic Characteristics of Type-2 Diabetic Patients Attending Medical**  
138 **Outpatient Department (MOPD) within Abuja Metropolis**

139 The result for the socio-demographic characteristics of Type-2 Diabetic patients  
140 attending Medical Outpatient Department (MOPD) within Abuja Metropolis presented  
141 in Table 1 indicates that 40% of respondents are within the age range of 41 and 45  
142 years; 52% are males and 48% are females; the majority are educated with 95% above  
143 primary education level; 80% are married with 25% each from Hausa/Fulani and Gwari  
144 ethnic groups forming the majority.

145 **Table 1: Socio-Demographic Characteristics of Type-2 Diabetic patients**  
 146 **Attending Medical Outpatient Department (MOPD) within Abuja Metropolis**

<b>Socio-Demographic Characteristics</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percentages (%)</b>
<b>Age (Years)</b>		
Below 30	11	9.20
31-40	24	20.00
41-50	48	40.00
51-60	23	19.20
61 & above	14	11.70
<b>Sex</b>		
Male	62	51.70
Female	58	48.30
<b>Occupational status</b>		
Civil servants	52	43.30
Trader/Farmer/Artisan	35	29.20
House wife	17	14.20
Others	16	13.30
<b>Educational Level</b>		
Primary	5	4.20
Secondary	58	48.30
Tertiary	57	47.50
<b>Marital Status</b>		
Single	11	9.20
Married	97	80.80
Divorced	5	4.20
Widow/Widower	7	5.80
<b>Ethnic group</b>		
Hausa/Fulani	30	25.00
Gwari	30	25.00
Igbo	18	15.00
Yoruba	10	8.30
Idoma	7	33.00
Others	25	20.80
<b>Monthly income (₦)</b>		
<20000 (< \$55.63)	28	23.30
20000-49999 (\$55.63-139.07)	27	22.50
50000-99999 (\$139.07-278.14)	39	32.50
100000-199999 (\$278.14-556.28)	19	15.80
≥200000 (\$556.28)	4	3.30
Undisclosed	3	2.50

147

148

149

150

151

152



153 **Anthropometric parameters of Type-2 Diabetic Patients attending MOPD within**  
154 **Abuja Metropolis**

155 The anthropometric parameters of the participants showed that average height for  
156 75.0% of the patients who are not stunted was  $159.90 \pm 7.03$  (cm); all of them had  
157 normal weight and hip circumference of  $72.69 \pm 16.88$  (kg)  $104.62 \pm 12.35$  (cm)  
158 respectively; WHR revealed 66.67% of them at high risk of being overweight ( $0.94 \pm$   
159  $0.07$ ). Using the student's t-test to check for significance, only hip circumference and  
160 waist-hip ratio showed significance (Table 2 a&b).

161 **Nutritional Status of Type-2 Diabetic Patients attending MOPD within Abuja**  
162 **Metropolis**

163 From Table 3, only 30.65% of the diabetic male patients fall within the normal BMI  
164 range, 22.58% are overweight with the rest of them suffering from obesity. Eight  
165 (27.6%) of the obese are in the morbid class of obesity. The female patients that have  
166 normal BMI accounts for 18.97% of the female population (n=58). 3.45% are  
167 underweight, 18.97% are overweight while the remaining 58.62% are obese. Morbid  
168 obese class among the female patients are 27.0%.

169

170 **Table 2a: Anthropometric Parameters of Type-2 Diabetic Patients attending MOPD within Abuja Metropolis**

Anthropometric indices	Male			Female			All		
	Freq.	%	Mean $\pm$ SD	Freq.	%	Mean $\pm$ SD	Freq.	%	Mean $\pm$ SD
<b>Height (cm)</b>									
< 148	15	24.19	136.60 $\pm$ 8.75	15	25.86	130.87 $\pm$ 11.88	30	25.0	133.73 $\pm$ 10.66
$\geq$ 148	47	75.81	159.70 $\pm$ 6.61	43	74.14	160.12 $\pm$ 7.54	90	75.0	159.90 $\pm$ 7.03
<b>Weight (kg)</b>									
< 45	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0
$\geq$ 45	62	100.00	70.90 $\pm$ 16.69	58	100.00	74.60 $\pm$ 17.02	120	100.00	72.69 $\pm$ 16.88
<b>Waist circ. (cm)</b>									
Normal	33	53.23	84.45 $\pm$ 9.24	11	18.97	73.82 $\pm$ 4.69	44	36.67	81.80 $\pm$ 9.50
Class I	18	29.03	98.21 $\pm$ 2.54	8	13.79	83.75 $\pm$ 2.76	26	21.67	93.76 $\pm$ 7.27
Class II	11	17.74	111.27 $\pm$ 11.45	39	67.24	100.95 $\pm$ 9.06	50	41.67	102.22 $\pm$ 10.45
<b>Hip circ. (cm)</b>									
	62	100.0	102.0 $\pm$ 13.1	58	100.00	107.5 $\pm$ 10.9	120	100.0	104.6 $\pm$ 12.4
<b>Waist/Hip ratio</b>									
Low risk	29	46.77	0.84 $\pm$ 0.06	11	18.97	0.77 $\pm$ 0.03	40	33.33	0.81 $\pm$ 0.05
High risk	33	53.23	0.98 $\pm$ 0.08	47	81.03	0.89 $\pm$ 0.05	80	66.67	0.94 $\pm$ 0.07

171 **Table 2b: Student's t-test to check for Significance at 0.05 Level**

<b>Anthropometric indices</b>	<b>t</b>	<b>Sig. (2-tailed)</b>
<b>Height (cm)</b>	0.612	0.542
<b>Weight (kg)</b>	-1.202	0.232
<b>Waist circ. (cm)</b>	-0.091	0.927
<b>Hip circ. (cm)</b>	-2.499	0.014
<b>Waist/Hip ratio</b>	3.245	0.002
<b>Waist Height Ratio</b>	-0.404	0.867

172 Degree of freedom (df) = 118

173

UNDER PEER REVIEW

174 **Table 3: Nutritional Status of Type-2 Diabetic Patients attending MOPD in Abuja**  
 175 **Metropolis**

Nutritional Status Indicators		Male (n=62)	Female (n=58)	All (n=120)
		F (%)	F (%)	F (%)
<b>BMI (kg/m<sup>2</sup>)</b>	<b>Underweight</b>	0 (0.0)	2 (3.45)	2 (1.7)
	<b>Normal</b>	19 (30.65)	11 (18.97)	30 (25.0)
	<b>Overweight</b>	14 (22.58)	11 (18.97)	25 (20.8)
	<b>Obese</b>	29 (46.8)	34 (58.62)	63 (52.5)
	<b>Obesity Class I</b>	12 (41.4)	13 (38.2)	25 (39.7)
	<b>Obesity Class II</b>	9 (31.0)	12 (35.3)	21 (33.3)
	<b>Morbid Obesity</b>	8 (27.6)	9 (26.4)	17 (27.0)

176 **Dietary Patterns of Type-2 Diabetic Patients attending MOPD in Abuja Metropolis**

177 The dietary pattern of type-2 diabetic patients attending MOPD within Abuja is presented in  
178 Table 4. Foods mostly consumed by the respondents include cereals, processed cereals,  
179 legumes, vegetables, fruits, meat. Eggs have a moderate frequency of consumption as 48.33%  
180 of the respondents rarely consume it. The results revealed that more than a quarter of the  
181 respondents totally avoid sugar in their diet, while 33.33% rarely eat sugar.

182 **Pearson's Correlation between Socio-demographic, Anthropometric and Dietary**  
183 **Practices of the Respondents Attending MOPD in Abuja Metropolis**

184 The result showing the correlation between socio-demographic, anthropometric parameters  
185 and dietary practices of the respondents is presented in the Table 5. Analysis showed some  
186 weak associations between gender and hip circumference ( $r = 0.224$ ,  $p = 0.014$ ), MUAC and  
187 WHR ( $r = 0.214$ ,  $p = 0.019$ ). Weight has a relatively strong association with BMI ( $r = 0.690$ ,  
188  $p = 0.000$ ).

189

190 **Table 4: Dietary Patterns of Type-2 Diabetic Patients attending MOPD in Abuja**  
 191 **Metropolis**

DIETS		Male (n=62)		Female (n=58)		All (n=120)	
		Freq.	%	Freq.	%	Freq.	%
Cereals	Daily	24	38.71	24	41.38	48	40.00
	Often	28	45.16	26	44.83	54	45.00
	Rarely	9	14.52	8	13.79	17	14.17
	Never	1	0.83	0	0.00	1	0.83
Processed cereals	Daily	9	14.52	9	15.52	18	15.00
	Often	41	66.13	34	58.62	75	62.50
	Rarely	6	9.68	12	20.68	18	15.00
Beverages	Daily	6	9.68	3	5.17	9	7.50
	Daily	11	17.74	6	10.34	17	14.17
	Often	22	35.48	29	50.00	51	42.50
	Rarely	22	35.48	20	34.48	42	35.00
Sugar	Daily	7	11.29	3	5.17	10	8.33
	Daily	5	8.06	5	8.62	10	8.33
	Often	8	12.90	10	17.24	18	15.00
	Rarely	23	37.10	29	50.00	52	43.33
Legumes	Daily	26	41.94	14	24.14	40	33.33
	Daily	15	24.19	16	27.59	31	25.83
	Often	39	62.90	35	60.34	74	61.67
	Rarely	8	12.90	7	12.07	15	12.50
Vegetables	Daily	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
	Daily	27	43.55	24	41.38	51	42.50
	Often	33	53.23	32	55.17	65	54.17
	Rarely	2	3.23	2	3.45	4	3.33
Fruits	Daily	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
	Daily	26	41.94	23	39.66	49	40.83
	Often	30	48.39	28	48.28	58	48.33
	Rarely	6	9.68	7	12.07	13	10.83
Meat	Daily	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
	Daily	19	30.65	25	43.10	44	36.67
	Often	36	58.06	29	50.00	65	54.17
	Rarely	7	11.29	4	6.90	11	9.17
Milk	Daily	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
	Daily	6	9.68	7	12.07	13	10.83
	Often	36	58.09	38	65.52	74	61.67
	Rarely	20	32.26	12	20.69	32	26.67
Eggs	Daily	0	0.00	1	1.72	1	0.83
	Daily	9	14.52	10	17.24	19	15.83
	Often	26	41.94	33	56.90	58	48.33
	Rarely	26	41.94	14	24.14	40	33.33
	Never	1	0.83	1	1.72	2	1.67

192

193

194 **Table 5: Pearson’s Correlation between Socio-demographic, Anthropometric**  
 195 **parameters and Dietary Practices of the Respondents Attending MOPD in Abuja**  
 196 **Metropolis**

Parameter	Correlation (PC)	Significance
Age/Household size	0.222	0.020*
Gender/Hip Circum.	0.224	0.014*
MUAC/WHR	0.214	0.019*

197 \* Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level

## 200 DISCUSSION

201 Diabetes, an important public health problem, has been listed as one of the four priority non-  
 202 communicable diseases (NCDs) targeted for action by world leaders due to the number of  
 203 cases and its prevalence being on steady increase over the past few decades [11]; with Type-2  
 204 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) reported to be one of the most common endocrine disorders,  
 205 affecting almost 6% of the world’s population [12].

206 The result of this study showed that majority of these participants (85, 70.83%) are of ages 41  
 207 years and above because diabetes affect people mostly as they are aging due to some factors  
 208 even though the diabetes is affecting the youths in recent times [4]; Majority are married;  
 209 fifty-two (52) of them are civil servants which may be attributed to sedentary lifestyle like  
 210 sitting down at a spot for hours and those that are housewives (17) probably stay at home, eat  
 211 several times, take more sweets, do not exercise which could lead to an increase in their  
 212 blood sugar level and eventually diabetes. Most of them also (115) had at least secondary and  
 213 or tertiary level of education, showing that they are learned, literate, able to read and write  
 214 and as well answer questions being asked during the data collection via the questionnaire.

215 The number of respondents who are Hausa/Fulanis and Gwari were greater than those who  
216 are of other ethnic group because of the hospitals are located in their environment. The  
217 average monthly income for the respondents fell in medium class with 39 of them earning  
218 between #50,000.00 and #100,000.00 (139.07 and 278.14 US Dollar equivalent).

219 Studies have reported that the management of diabetes mellitus (DM) largely depends on  
220 patients' capability to self-care in their daily lives, and therefore, patient education is always  
221 considered an essential element of DM management [13, 14].

222 Anthropometry is a simple, inexpensive and non-invasive marker of obesity [15]. All of the  
223 respondents have WC and WHR above normal range. High WHRs may increase the risk of  
224 developing conditions associated with being overweight, including heart disease and T2DM.  
225 This may be the case even if other measures of being overweight, such as BMI are in normal  
226 range [16]. Central obesity, as measured by WHR, is significantly and independently  
227 associated with T2DM. Results for WHR showed that 33.3% were at low risk and 66.7%  
228 high risk. A genetic predisposition to higher WHR has been shown to be associated with  
229 increased risk of T2DM and coronary heart disease [17].

230 Obesity is an established risk factor for T2DM and cardiovascular disease (CVD) [18, 19].  
231 Increasing prevalence of obesity is parallel to increasing number of persons with T2DM [20-  
232 22]. Measures of obesity (BMI, WC, and WHR) are associated with the risk of developing  
233 T2DM [23]. In this study, respondents that are either overweight or obese constitute 73.3% of  
234 the population of type-2 diabetic patients assessed. In obesity classification, 27.0% of the  
235 obese individuals are in the morbid obese class. Obese persons with T2DM are at greater risk  
236 for diabetic complications than their non-obese counterparts [24]. Obesity has been shown  
237 from a recent study to be associated with the presence of cardiovascular complications,  
238 particularly among T2DM women [25]. The WC measurements showed that 21.7% and



239 41.7% of the diabetic patients were in Class I and Class II respectively. Abdominal fat is  
240 more associated with the risk of developing type-2 diabetes than BMI. This is founded on the  
241 rationale that increased visceral adipose tissue is linked with a variety of metabolic  
242 abnormalities, including decreased glucose tolerance, reduced insulin sensitivity and  
243 dyslipidemia, which are risk factors for type-2 diabetes and CVD [26].

244 Nutritional status has gained significant usage in assessment of health status of diabetic  
245 patients. Food consumption can be greatly influenced by economic status of an individual  
246 and/or the environment. Although genes play an important role in determining food intake  
247 and energy metabolism, lifestyle and environmental factors may play a principal role in  
248 pathophysiology of obesity. Poor and unregulated diet consumption can cause increased  
249 weight high BMI which have been independently associated with increased diabetes risk.  
250 Therefore preventing weight gain and/or reducing body weight may be important in reducing  
251 risk of developing diabetes [27].

252 Diet is one of the lifestyle interventions in the management and treatment of persons  
253 diagnosed with diabetes mellitus [28]. A healthy and adequate diet must meet the body's  
254 energy need provide a minimum of all the required nutrients. Individuals suffering from  
255 T2DM may sometimes be restricted from certain foods. Diet can significantly impact on  
256 health. Foods often consumed by majority of the diabetic patients in our study include whole  
257 cereals, processed cereals, beverages, legumes, vegetables, fruits, meat, milk, eggs. Sugar is  
258 rarely consumed among them.

## 259 **CONCLUSION**

260 This study concludes that the present dietary practices of the diabetic patients contribute to  
261 poor nutritional status of the diabetic patients.

262

263 **Conflict of Interest**

264 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

265

266 **Ethical Approval**

267 Ethical approval obtained from **Federal Capital Development Authority** (FCDA) Health  
268 Secretariat after following their procedure. Health facility approval was sought from the head  
269 of each HFs involved after obtaining ethical approval

270

271 **Informed consent**

272 Informed consent was obtained from the type-2 diabetic patients willing to participate in the  
273 study.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

274 **References**

- 275 1. WHO, *Screening for type 2 diabetes: report of a World Health*  
276 *Organization and International Diabetes Federation meeting.* 2003,  
277 Geneva: World Health Organization.
- 278 2. Itoh, Y., *et al.*, *Free fatty acids regulate insulin secretion from*  
279 *pancreatic  $\beta$  cells through GPR40.* Nature, 2003. **422**(6928): p. 173.
- 280 3. IDF, *IDF diabetes atlas.* Brussels: International Diabetes Federation,  
281 2013.
- 282 4. Worku, A., S.M. Abebe, and M.M. Wassie, *Dietary practice and*  
283 *associated factors among type 2 diabetic patients: a cross sectional*  
284 *hospital based study, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.* SpringerPlus, 2015. **4**(1):  
285 p. 15.
- 286 5. Himabindu, Y., *et al.*, *Correlations between anthropometry and lipid*  
287 *profile in type 2 diabetics.* Indian journal of endocrinology and  
288 metabolism, 2013. **17**(4): p. 727.
- 289 6. Steyn, N.P., *et al.*, *Diet, nutrition and the prevention of type 2*  
290 *diabetes.* Public health nutrition, 2004. **7**(1a): p. 147-165.
- 291 7. ADA, *American Diabetes Association: Standards of medical care in*  
292 *diabetes-2013.* Diabetes care, 2013. **36**(Supplement 1): p. S11-S66.
- 293 8. Ehiaghe, A.F., *et al.*, *Socio-Economic Status and Hemoglobin*  
294 *Concentration of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus Patients Attending Diabetic*  
295 *Clinic in Benin City, Nigeria.* Open Journal of Pathology, 2013. **3**(04):  
296 p. 139.
- 297 9. Jacques PF, Tucker KL. Are dietary patterns useful for understanding  
298 the role of diet in chronic disease. The American journal of clinical  
299 nutrition. 2001.
- 300 10. Pierce, B.L., *et al.*, *Elevated biomarkers of inflammation are*  
301 *associated with reduced survival among breast cancer patients.* Journal  
302 of Clinical Oncology, 2009. **27**(21): p. 3437.
- 303 11. WHO, *Global report on diabetes.* 2016.
- 304 12. Firouzi, S., M.Y. Barakatun-Nisak, and K.N. Azmi, *Nutritional status,*  
305 *glycemic control and its associated risk factors among a sample of*  
306 *type 2 diabetic individuals, a pilot study.* Journal of research in  
307 medical sciences: the official journal of Isfahan University of  
308 Medical Sciences, 2015. **20**(1): p. 40.
- 309 13. Duke, S.A.S., S. Colagiuri, and R. Colagiuri, *Individual patient*  
310 *education for people with type 2 diabetes mellitus.* Cochrane database  
311 of systematic reviews, 2009(1).
- 312 14. McPherson, M.L., *et al.*, *Association between diabetes patients'*  
313 *knowledge about medications and their blood glucose control.* Research  
314 in Social and Administrative Pharmacy, 2008. **4**(1): p. 37-45.
- 315 15. Adamu, L.H., *et al.*, *Neck circumference: An upcoming tool of adiposity*  
316 *indices.* Nigerian Journal of Basic and Clinical Sciences, 2013. **10**(2):  
317 p. 82.

- 318 16. Schmidt, M. I., *et al.*, *Association of waist-hip ratio with diabetes*  
319 *mellitus: strength and possible modifiers*. *Diabetes care*, 1992. **15**(7):  
320 p. 912-914.
- 321 17. Emdin, C.A., *et al.*, *Genetic association of waist-to-hip ratio with*  
322 *cardiometabolic traits, type 2 diabetes, and coronary heart disease*.  
323 *Jama*, 2017. **317**(6): p. 626-634.
- 324 18. Haffner, S.M., *Abdominal obesity, insulin resistance, and*  
325 *cardiovascular risk in pre-diabetes and type 2 diabetes*. *European*  
326 *Heart Journal Supplements*, 2006. **8**(suppl\_B): p. B20-B25.
- 327 19. Pearson-Stuttard, J., *et al.*, *Worldwide burden of cancer attributable*  
328 *to diabetes and high body-mass index: a comparative risk assessment*.  
329 *The Lancet Diabetes & Endocrinology*, 2018. **6**(6): p. e6-e15.
- 330 20. Hu, L., *et al.*, *Prevalence of overweight, obesity, abdominal obesity*  
331 *and obesity-related risk factors in southern China*. *PloS one*, 2017.  
332 **12**(9): p. e0183934.
- 333 21. Scheen, A.J. and L.F. Van Gaal, *Combating the dual burden: therapeutic*  
334 *targeting of common pathways in obesity and type 2 diabetes*. *The*  
335 *lancet Diabetes & endocrinology*, 2014. **2**(11): p. 911-922.
- 336 22. Bhupathiraju, S.N. and F.B. Hu, *Epidemiology of obesity and diabetes*  
337 *and their cardiovascular complications*. *Circulation research*, 2016.  
338 **118**(11): p. 1723-1735.
- 339 23. Anjana, M., *et al.*, *Visceral and central abdominal fat and*  
340 *anthropometry in relation to diabetes in Asian Indians*. *Diabetes care*,  
341 2004. **27**(12): p. 2948-2953.
- 342 24. Riobó Serván, P., *Obesity and diabetes*. *Nutricion hospitalaria*, 2013.  
343 **28**(5).
- 344 25. Sallam, R.M., *et al.*, *Gender-Specific profiles of cardiovascular*  
345 *disease in type 2 diabetes mellitus: A cross-sectional study*. *Journal*  
346 *of Nature and Science of Medicine*, 2018. **1**(2): p. 74.
- 347 26. Bello-Sani, F., A. Bakari, and F. Anumah, *Dyslipidaemia in persons*  
348 *with type 2 diabetes mellitus in Kaduna, Nigeria*. *International*  
349 *Journal of Diabetes and Metabolism*, 2007. **15**(1): p. 9.
- 350 27. Kuwahara, K., *et al.*, *Body mass index trajectory patterns and changes*  
351 *in visceral fat and glucose metabolism before the onset of type 2*  
352 *diabetes*. *Scientific reports*, 2017. **7**: p. 43521.
- 353 28. Whittaker, A., *et al.*, *A khorasan wheat-based replacement diet*  
354 *improves risk profile of patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus*  
355 *(T2DM): a randomized crossover trial*. *European journal of nutrition*,  
356 2017. **56**(3): p. 1191-1200.

357