

## Original Research Article

Evaluation of pollen and chemical composition of honey samples sourced from open markets in Anambra State, Nigeria to ascertain their authenticity

### ABSTRACT

**Aims:** To ascertain the predominant honey plants that served as major sources of nectar and or pollen to the honeybees and to determine the quantitative presence of some physico-chemical components of the honey samples.

**Study design:** The honey samples were collected from the various locations based on purposive sampling.

**Place and Duration of Study:** The samples were collected from seven towns in three Local Government Areas of Anambra State as follows; Ukpokwu, Usumenyi and Ezinifite (Nnewi South LGA), Nnokwa, Alor and Nnobi (Idemmili South LGA) and Ezinifite (Aguata LGA) between January and April, 2013.

**Methodology:** The honey samples were dissolved in warm (40°C) acidified water and subsequently subjected to acetolysis treatment. The recovered residues were suspended in glycerol-alcohol mixture in vials from where samples were collected for routine pollen count and identification. The chemical analysis was carried out according to the analysis of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists with four replicates. The pollen data were converted to percentage, while data from chemical parameters were converted to mean and standard deviation.

**Results:** A total of 67 pollen types belonging to 39 families were identified. The honey samples were grouped into two based on the botanical origin: three monofloral and four polyfloral honeys. The predominant honey plants include *Hymenocardia acida*, Combretaceae/Melastomataceae, *Lannea* sp., *Alchornea cordifolia* and *Phyllanthus muellerianus*. The chemical analysis showed that the values of all the parameters (moisture, pH, Sucrose, Protein, Hydroxymethylfurfural, etc) tested were within the acceptable limits of international honey standard. However, the sum of glucose and fructose in three honey samples did not meet the 60g/100g recommended as minimum limit for blossom honeys.

**Conclusion:** The chemical analysis showed that the honey samples contained acceptable standard concentrations of all the physicochemical parameters (such as HMF, protein, moisture, sucrose, etc.) tested with exception of the sum of glucose and fructose which did not meet the standard in some samples. The predominant honey plants that served as sources of nectar and pollen in the to the bees include *Hymenocardia acida*, *Lannea* sp., *Phyllanthus muellerianus* and members of the Combretaceae/Melastomataceae families.

**Key Words:** honey, chemical composition, monoflora honey, polyfloral honey, HMF, pollen, nectar

45

46

47

48

49

50

## 51 INTRODUCTION

52 Honey is a highly delicious and sweetened valuable natural product savored for its nutritional, medicinal  
53 and other enumerable health benefits. It also serves industrial purposes such as in the confectionary and  
54 pharmaceutical industries for production of valuable products [19]. It is an important source of nutrients in  
55 human diets, a preferred table sweetener in most homes. It is affordable and its production is widely  
56 spread not only across the different eco-vegetation zones of Nigeria, but the world over. Honey is an  
57 exceptionally heterogeneous viscous liquid characterized by varied physicochemical, sensory, nutritional  
58 and epitherapeutic properties.

59 These characteristic properties of honey are attributed to the presence in honey of sugars (mono-  
60 , bi-, tri- and polysaccharides), protein, mineral salts, moisture, flavonoids, hydrogen peroxide, phenols,  
61 HMF, vitamins, organic acids and electrical conductivity among other constituents [9,18]. Some of these  
62 phyto-constituents are central to the bioactive, antioxidant, antimicrobial, therapeutic and wound healing  
63 potentials credited to honeys [7,14]. In fact, the medicinal and healing effects associated with Manuka,  
64 Tuelang and other honeys may be attributed to the presence of phenolic acids, flavonoids and  
65 anthocyanin inherent in the honey types [6, 31]. Generally, the composition of honey is determined to a  
66 large extent by the botanical (sources of nectar, extrafloral nectar and pollen grains) and geographical  
67 sources as well as climate, soil, honey bee species and other environmental variables surrounding their  
68 production [29].

69 In addition to the knowledge of physicochemical parameters, the characterization of the pollen  
70 spectrum of honey makes the honey attract premium price in the international market and guide the ability  
71 to make informed choices by consumers, especially individuals allergic to certain pollen types [25].  
72 Because of the importance of honey to health and the associated commercial benefits, it becomes  
73 imperative to determine the geographical and botanical origin of the honey so as to differentiate honey  
74 produced in different regions and vegetation sources of the world [35]. Studies on pollen analysis of  
75 honeys in Nigeria have shown that each ecological region has characteristic honey plants that are  
76 sources of nectar and pollen as well as some species that are commonly distributed across most  
77 ecological zones of the country [2,4,32,42,43]. Such characteristic plants peculiar to a particular  
78 ecological zone can be used as botanical markers to differentiate honey from the different vegetation  
79 regions. Good knowledge of these honey plants are important because the present day natural  
80 vegetation in the forests and bushland thickets are being demolished indiscriminately due to agricultural  
81 expansion, urbanization and industrial establishments. The knowledge provided by pollen analysis may  
82 help in apicultural sustenance by reforestation or re-establishment of such known apicultural plants for  
83 increased production of honey.

84 In the past, pollen analysis was the main focus of honey analysis, but recently, other methods  
85 such as determination of the physicochemical parameters, DNA method, biomarkers and mineral content  
86 have been widely used either alone or in various combinations [51,57]. In this study the honey analysis  
87 will be based on the pollen analysis and chemical composition of the honey samples. In Nigeria, several  
88 studies have been published on pollen analysis and especially, physicochemical and metal analyses in  
89 order to evaluate the constituent, purity and plants used as sources of nectar and pollen of honey from  
90 different regions by the honeybees in different eco-regions of Nigeria. In Anambra State, there are few  
91 literatures on pollen and physicochemical analyses of honey produced in the state, particularly with  
92 respect to the pollen spectra of honeys [45,47,48]. The main objectives of this work were to ascertain the  
93 predominant pollen types and chemical composition of the honey samples from Anambra State. This will  
94 provide additional information on melissopalynological research and chemical characterization of honeys  
95 from the State regarding the sources of nectar and pollen foraged by *Apis mellifera* and whether the  
96 quality of honey produced is according to the Codex Alimentarius Commission [22] and EU Council [26].

## 97 MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 98 Honey sample collection

99 The study was carried out in Anambra State and the honey samples were sourced from seven towns in  
100 three Local Government Areas (LGA) of the state as follows; Ukpok, Usumenyi and Ezinifite in Nnewi  
101 South LGA, Nnokwa, Alor and Nnobi in Idemmili South LGA and Ezinifite in Aguata LGA between  
102 January and April, 2013. The samples were labelled accordingly and kept at room temperature in the  
103 Laboratory prior to analysis. The chemical analyses of the samples were carried out in Devine  
104 Laboratory, 12 Ibagwa Road, Nsukka with four replicates, while the pollen analyses were done in the  
105 Environment and Palynology Research Unit, Department of Plant Science and Biotechnology, University  
106 of Nigeria, Nsukka, all in Enugu State, Nigeria.

### 107 Pollen analysis

108 Ten grams of the agitated honey sample were diluted with 35 mls of acidified warm (40°C) water (3 ml  
109 Conc. H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and 997 ml distilled water) to dissolve the colloidal matters and sugars. The sample was  
110 centrifuged at 2000 rpm for ten minutes to recover the residue and then acetolysed [39,42]. The  
111 recovered polliniferous residues were suspended in 2 ml of glycerol-alcohol in vials from where samples  
112 were taken for routine pollen count and identification under the light microscope at X400 magnification.  
113 Routine pollen counts were done on the entire area (484 cm<sup>2</sup>) of the cover slip and identification of pollen  
114 grains was aided by photomicrographs in Bonnefille and Riolett [16], Y'bert [55], APLF [10] and pollen  
115 slides in the Environment and Palynology Research Unit, Department of Plant Science and  
116 Biotechnology, University of Nigeria, Nsukka.

### 117 Physicochemical parameters

#### 118 Determination of proximate components

119 The honey samples were analyzed for percentage crude protein, moisture, ash, fibre and fat contents.  
120 The % crude protein was calculated as Nitrogen (N x 6.25) by Kjeldahl's method. All analyses were  
121 carried out according to the methods of Association of Official Analytical Chemists [9,44].

#### 122 Determination of pH

123 The pH of the honey sample was measured with a pH meter (Hi 8519 Hanna Instrument). The instrument  
124 was standardized with buffer solutions of pH4, pH7 and pH 10. It was then washed with distilled water,  
125 dried and immersed in the honey sample until the reading stabilized and was recorded.

#### 126 **Determination of free acidity.**

127 Ten grams of the honey sample was dissolved in 75ml of distilled water and stirred properly until a  
128 homogeneous mixture was obtained. Two drops of phenolphthalein indicator were added to the mixture  
129 and titrated with 0.1M sodium hydroxide till the first persistent pink colour. The amount of milliliters of 0.1M  
130 sodium hydroxide used was recorded as the titre value. The free acidity which is expressed in  
131 milliequivalents of acid per kilogram of honey was calculated as titre value x molarity of NaOH x 4.6 /  
132 weight of honey sample used.

133

#### 134 **Determination of HMF**

135 Five grams of honey were measured into 50ml volumetric flask containing 25mls of distilled water. 0.5ml  
136 of Carrez solution 1 ( $K_4Fe(CN)_6 \cdot 3H_2O$  15%w/v) and 1.25ml of Carrez solution 2 ( $Zn(CH_3COO)_2 \cdot 2H_2O$ )  
137 30% w/v were mixed and diluted to volume with distilled water. It was filtered and 4ml of the filtrate was  
138 pipetted into one test tube and 4 ml of 0.27 sodium bisulfate into another and were mixed thoroughly and  
139 the absorbance was measured against reference at 284nm and 336nm. HMF in mg/100g honey =  $(A_{284} -$   
140  $A_{336}) \times 14.97$ .

#### 141 **Carbohydrate content**

142 The percentage carbohydrate content was determined by subtracting the percentage values of the  
143 proximate parameters from 100 %.

144 Carbohydrate = 100% - % (moisture + fat + protein + ash).

#### 145 **Data analyses**

146 Pollen counts were converted to percentage based on the total pollen count from each samples while  
147 data from chemical analysis were converted to mean and standard deviation using IBM SPSS Statistic  
148 20 package.

#### 149 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

## 150 **Pollen analysis**

151 The results of the pollen analysis of honey samples from Anambra State showed that a total of 67 pollen  
152 types belonging to 39 families were identified from both nectariferous and non-nectariferous plants. This  
153 is an indication that the honeys were produced from a wide range of plant sources. In Nigeria and other  
154 West African countries and environment, tropical lowland rainforests and forest-savanna mosaic  
155 woodland vegetations are known to be refuge to abundant and diversity of melliferous plant species of all  
156 habits which serve as sources of nectar, extrafloral nectar and pollen to honeybees [52]. This aptly is  
157 demonstrated in the level of pollen abundance and diversity recorded in this study. These results are  
158 comparable to the findings of some investigations conducted in honey samples from southeast, Nigeria  
159 [3,41,44]. From the results of pollen spectrum, the honey samples can be grouped into two on the bases  
160 of their botanical origin. The first group of honey samples were categorized as unifloral honeys in  
161 accordance to Codex Alimentarius and EU standard designation [37]. This was because each of the  
162 honey samples had one predominant pollen type with percentage pollen  $\geq 45$ .

163 In the unifloral honeys, Combretaceae-Melastomataceae was predominant ( $\geq 45$ ) in honey  
164 sample from Ukpok and *Hymenocardia acida* predominant ( $\geq 45$ ) in honey samples from Osumenyi and  
165 Ezinifite 1 samples (Table 1). Combretaceae-Melastomataceae comprised complex plants species most  
166 of which are trees and lianas of forest and savanna woodlands, while *Hymenocardia acida* is mostly  
167 associated with woodland savanna vegetation. Both group of plants are commonly distributed in the study  
168 areas. The rest of the honey samples examined were polyfloral honeys because percentage pollen type  
169 of contributing plants ranged from Secondary (16 – 45%) to minor pollen ( $\leq 3\%$ ) [37] (Table 1). The  
170 production of uniflora honeys from wild honeybees is usually rare because most honeys derived from the  
171 wild are usually multifloral due to the diversity of plant species readily available to the honeybees to select  
172 as pollen and nectar sources. Similar unifloral honeys have also been reported in melissopaynological  
173 studies conducted on wild honeys from five states of Nigeria [44]. The production of such unifloral honeys  
174 may be attributed to the local abundance of Combretaceae-Melastomataceae and *Hymenocardia acida* in  
175 the vegetation and occurrence their flowering periods which usually coincided with major active periods of  
176 honeybees as well as their selective preference as major sources of nectar and pollen. Incidentally,

177 *Hymenocardia acida* and members of Combretaceae-Melastomataceae are nectariferous and  
178 polliniferous plants and have been generally identified in honeys analysed from this region.

179 The honey sample from Nnokwa was commonly dominated by pollen types of *Elaeis guineensis*  
180 followed by *Irvingia gabonensis* and *Alchornea cordifolia*. The major honey plants recorded out of the 19  
181 pollen types include *Elaeis guineensis*, *Irvingia gabonensis*, *Phyllanthus muellerianus*, *Nauclea latifolia*,  
182 Combretaceae-Melastomataceae, *Parkia biglobosa* and *Crossopteryx febrifuga* (Table 1). In that of Alor  
183 honey sampl, 24 pollen types were recorded with pollen types of Combretaceae-Melastomataceae  
184 families predominating followed by those of *Lannea* sp., *Hymenocardia acida*, *Phyllanthus muellerianus*,  
185 *Nauclea latifolia* and *Citrus sinensis*. The nectariferous plants suspected to be main sources of nectar  
186 include Combretaceae-Melastomataceae, *Lannea* sp., *Phyllanthus muellerianus*, *Citrus sinensis*,  
187 *Syzygium guineense*, *Parkia biglobosa*, *Pentaclethra macrophylla*, *Senna* sp., and *Parinari* sp. *Alchornea*  
188 *cordifolia*, Poaceae, *Elaeis guineensis* and *Nauclea latifoila* were among the important sources of the  
189 honey pollen (Table 1).

190 The sample from Nnobi was characterized by pollen types arising from Combretaceae-  
191 Melastomataceae, *Lannea* sp., *Phyllanthus muellerianus*, *Syzygium guineense*, *Prosopis africana*,  
192 *Alchornea cordifolia*, *Parinari curatelifolia*, *Senna* sp. and *Hymenocardia acida*. Although 21 pollen types  
193 were identified, these plants constituted the major sources of nectar and pollen for the honeybees (Table  
194 1). The plants sources of the pollen grains identified in these locations are characteristic members of the  
195 flora of the study areas [33]. Comparatively, similar pollen types have been reported in a study by Agwu  
196 and Njokuocha [3] in honey samples from Anambra State.

197 A total of 30 pollen types were identified in the honey sample from Ukpor. The most common  
198 plant sources of nectar and pollen recorded were Combretaceae-Melastomataceae, *Lannea acida*,  
199 *Blighia sapida*, *Hymenocardia acida*, Poaceae, *Palisota hirsuta*, *Bombax buonopozense*, *Prosopis*  
200 *africana* and *Phyllanthus muellerianus* (Table 1). In the honey sample from Osumenyi, only 18 pollen  
201 types were identified and of these the major plant sources of nectar and pollen include members of  
202 Combretaceae-Melastomataceae, *Hymenocardia acida*, *Elaeis guineensis*, *Bridelia feruginea*, *Prosopis*  
203 *africana* and *Parkia biglobosa* (Table 1). For Ezinifite 1, a total of 23 pollen types were recorded and the

204 major pollen and nectar sources include *Hymenocardia acida*, *Crossopteryx febrifuga*, *Allophyllus* sp.,  
205 *Senna* sp., Combretaceae-Melastomataceae, *Lannea* sp., *Parinari* sp. and *Psorospermum* sp..  
206 Commonly recorded in this honey sample were pollen grains of anaemophilous plants such as Poaceae,  
207 Moraceae, *Alchornea cordifolia*, *Pinus* sp., Cyperaceae and Amaranthaceae-Chenopodiaceae (Table 1).  
208 In Ezinifite II, 42 pollen types were recorded from the honey sample. The most predominant nectariferous  
209 and polliniferous plants include Combretaceae-Melastomataceae, *Pterocarpus* sp., *Psorospermum* sp.,  
210 *Piliostigma thonningii*, *Hymenocardia acida*, *Afzelia africana*, *Lannea* sp., *Elaeis guineensis*, *Phyllanthus*  
211 *muellerianus* and *Mangifera indica* (Table 1). Similar findings in pollen characteristics have been reported  
212 by Agwu and Njokuocha [3] and in honeys collected from the forest-savanna vegetation of southeastern,  
213 Nigeria by Njokuocha and Nnamani [42].

214 The plant taxa identified in this study reflected to a large extent the characteristic flora existing in  
215 the patches of lowland rainforest and forest-savanna vegetation associated with the study areas. The  
216 characteristic taxa of the lowland rainforest recorded in the analyzed honey samples were *Elaeis*  
217 *guineensis*, *Alchornea cordifolia*, *Bombax buonopozense*, *Irvingia gabonensis*, *Canarium sweinfurthii*,  
218 Moraceae, *Pentaclethra macrophylla*, *Olax* sp. and *Brachystegia euricoma*. Similarly, the characteristic  
219 elements of the forest savanna mosaic vegetation associated with the study area which were identified in  
220 the study include Combretaceae-Melastomataceae, *Hymenocardia acida*, *Syzygium guineense*,  
221 *Phyllanthus muellerianus*, *Piliostigma thonningii*, *Crossopteryx febrifuga*, *Nauclea latifolia*, *Parkia*  
222 *biglobosa*, *Crossopteryx febrifuga*, *Bridelia ferruginea*, *Spondias mombin*, *Lannea* sp. and *Bligia sapida*  
223 among others [49]. Related studies associating honey pollen with the floristic composition of the study  
224 area have been reported by previous authors in Nigeria [32,42]. Evidence of anthropogenic activities such  
225 as changes in landscape and existence of exotic flora in the study environment were clearly  
226 demonstrated by the presence of pollen grains of *Citrus sinensis*, *Mangifera indica*, *Pinus* sp., *Senna* sp.,  
227 *Delonix regia*, *Triumfetta rhumbiodes*, *Casuarina equisetifolia* and *Manihot esculenta* which occurred in  
228 noticeable quantity in the honey samples. Similar findings have also been reported by Njokuocha and  
229 Ekweozor [41] and Njokuocha and Nnamani [42].

230



231 Table 1. The dominant pollen types in the honey samples according to the percentage frequency  
 232 (Predominant pollen =  $\geq 45\%$ ; secondary pollen = 16 – 44%; important minor pollen = 3 – 15%; minor  
 233 pollen =  $\leq 3$ )

Family	Taxon	% frequency of dominant pollen types in the honey samples/location						
		Ukpor	Osumenyi	Ezinifite 1	Nnokwa	Alor	Nnobi	Ezinifite 11
Anacardiaceae	<i>Lannea</i> sp.	2.0	1.0	6.0	0	22.2	18.0	5.88
	<i>Mangifera indica</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.25
Arecaceae	<i>Elaeis guineensis</i>	2.0	0	0	23.2	0	0	3.42
Chrysobalanaceae	<i>Parinari</i> sp.	0	1.0	2.0	0	0	0	0
Combretaceae/ Melastomataceae		64	11.6	18.0	2.0	36	36.0	10.97
Euphorbiaceae	<i>Alchornea cordifolia</i>	2.0	1.0	0	8.4	0	3.6	0
Fabaceae	<i>Afzelia Africana</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.34
	<i>Prosopis Africana</i>	2.8	0	0	0	0	6.5	0
	<i>Piliostigma thonningii</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.50
	<i>Pterocarpus</i> sp.	0	0	0	0	0	0	23.52
	<i>Senna</i> sp.	0	7.0	2.5	0	0	0	0
Hymenocardiaceae	<i>Hymenocardia acida</i>	4.0	72.0	54.0	0	16.0	2.8	7.55
Hypericaceae	<i>Psorospermum</i> sp.	0	0	2.0	0	0	0	18.27
Irvingiaceae	<i>Irvingia gabonensis</i>	0	0	0	15.0	0	0	0
Moraceae		0	1.0	0	4.1	0	0	0
Myrtaceae	<i>Syzygium guineense</i>	2.0	0	0	6.0	0	10.2	0
Phyllanthaceae	<i>Phyllanthus muellerianus</i>	2.9	0	0	2.7	11.6	12.3	2.67
Rubiaceae	<i>Crossopteryx febrifuga</i>	0	0	2.0	0	8.0	4.1	0
	<i>Nauclea latifolia</i>	0	0	0	3.6	0	0	0
Rutaceae	<i>Citrus sinensis</i>	0	0	0	0	3.5	0	0
Sapindaceae	<i>Allophyllus</i> sp.	0	0	5.0	0	0	0	0

235

**236 Physicochemical analysis**

237 The results of the proximate analysis showed that the parameters tested in the honey samples conformed  
238 with the standards of EU and Codex recommendations for honey produced from nectariferous plants  
239 [22,26] (Table 2). These findings are also comparable to the works of previous authors not only in Nigeria  
240 [4,19,40,43], but some other parts of the world [12,18]. The variations observed in the values of the  
241 moisture, crude protein, ash, pH and free acidity contents of the honeys sourced from different locations  
242 of the study area may be attributed to the differences in microclimate and soil properties on which the  
243 vegetation of the of the areas depend on for sustenance [21]. The low moisture content, the acidic and  
244 free acidity levels of the samples indicate that the honeys have potential for long shelf life and strong  
245 inhibitory property against microbial activity. The acidity in honey is attributed to the presence of organic  
246 acids such as gluconic acid and inorganic ions [12]. The considerably low free acidity especially in  
247 samples from Ezinifite II and Nnokwa is a good indication of good quality honey because high acidity has  
248 been reported to facilitate the breakdown of hexoses to hydroxymethylfurfural [5], therefore the level of  
249 acidity recorded is an indication of freshness of the honeys.

250 The ash content of the honey samples was within the permissible limit (0.6 %) from nectariferous  
251 plants [22]. Ash content of honey is an indication of the mineral concentration [8]. Quantitatively the ash  
252 content of honey is dependent on the soil properties and climatic factors of the honey region of origin. It is  
253 also used as quality index for determination of the botanical origin of honey [53]. The study showed that  
254 the honey samples contained a considerable percentage of protein in the range of  $0.76 \pm 0.01$  in honey  
255 from Ezinifite II to  $1.67 \pm 0.01$  in honey sample from Ezinifite I. This range is considerably higher than the  
256 rough limit of 0.5g /100g of blossom honey, but far below the recommended daily intake of protein [17].  
257 Protein is a very important dietary component, the presence of which may lead to a food to be considered  
258 not only as possible source of protein but an essential dietary product. Honey protein is mostly derived  
259 from enzymes introduced into the honey by the honeybees such as diastase, invertase, glucose oxidase,  
260 catalase and amino acids [19]. Pollen grains which are ever present in considerable quantity and diversity  
261 in honeys have been reported to be rich protein natural foods of bees; hence they are important sources  
262 of protein in honey. Considerable literature on the physicochemical components of honey in Nigeria and

263 other regions have reported considerable but variable quantity of protein in honey produced from both  
 264 wild and domestic apiary [17,40,43].

265  
 266 Table 2 Proximate composition of the honey samples from Anambra State (Mean  $\pm$  standard deviation)

	Parameters				
Source location	Moisture (%)	Crude protein (%)	Ash (%)	pH	Free acidity (Meq/kg)
Ukpor	15.63 $\pm$ 0.03	1.63 $\pm$ 0.01	0.56 $\pm$ 0.01	3.4 $\pm$ 0.2	40.10 $\pm$ 0.01
Osumenyi	16.29 $\pm$ 0.01	1.58 $\pm$ 0.02	0.59 $\pm$ 0.02	3.5 $\pm$ 0.1	35.0 $\pm$ 2
Ezinifite I	15.78 $\pm$ 0.01	1.67 $\pm$ 0.01	0.86 $\pm$ 0.02	3.7 $\pm$ 0.2	37.0 $\pm$ 1
Nnokwa	16.22 $\pm$ 0.01	1.5 $\pm$ 0.01	0.53 $\pm$ 0.01	3.6 $\pm$ 0.1	15.0 $\pm$ 2
Alor	17.51 $\pm$ 0.02	1.54 $\pm$ 0.02	0.56 $\pm$ 0.01	3.3 $\pm$ 0.2	40.1 $\pm$ 0.02
Nnobi	17.63 $\pm$ 0.01	1.49 $\pm$ 0.01	0.51 $\pm$ 0.01	3.7 $\pm$ 0.1	31.0 $\pm$ 0.8
Ezinifite II	16.43 $\pm$ 0.01	0.76 $\pm$ 0.01	0.06 $\pm$ 0.01	3.5 $\pm$ 0.1	0.05 $\pm$ 0.02

267  
 268 Studies have shown that about 80% honey is composed of sugars; and of this glucose and  
 269 fructose constitute the highest proportion of the sugar components. In the present study, the percentage  
 270 concentration of glucose and fructose is high and in conformity with the general observation regarding  
 271 their dominant percentage proportion in comparison to other sugars in honeys (Table 3). Similar findings  
 272 have been reported in honey samples from Nigeria [19,36], Turkey [20], Egypt [27] and Tunisia [18]. The  
 273 sum of glucose and fructose in a honey is an important factor for assessing honey quality. According to  
 274 Codex Alimentarius Commission [22] and EU Council [26] regarding good quality honey, the sum of  
 275 glucose and fructose must be equal or higher than 60g/100g of honey. However, not all the honey  
 276 samples met the limits of recommended international standard.

277 The samples from Alor, Ukpor and Osumenyi did not meet the minimum limit set by codex. but for  
 278 honey samples from Ezinifite I, Nnokwa, Nnobi and Ezinifite II the sum of glucose and fructose were  
 279 within the acceptable international standard (Table 3). These findings are comparable to those reported  
 280 by other authors [20,46]. The low values obtained in the sum of glucose and fructose in honey samples  
 281 from Alor, Ukpor and Osumenyi may be attributed to the nature of nectar sugars, types of enzymes

282 deposited by the honeybees and the extent of maturity of the honey samples prior to their harvest. In the  
283 assessment of good quality honey, it is expected that the value of fructose should be greater than that of  
284 glucose [56]. This is in conformity with the results of the present study. This factor also become valuable  
285 when considering the fructose/glucose ratio which is an important criterion when considering the  
286 crystallization rate of the honey. The study showed that the ratio of fructose/glucose in all the samples  
287 were within the range of 1.0 to 1.45 acceptable optimum limit [19,38]. Honey within such fructose/glucose  
288 ratio range has very low rate of crystallization and therefore remains in liquid form. Equally influencing the  
289 rate of honey crystallization is the glucose/water ratio balance. High glucose and lower water ratio leads  
290 to high rate of crystallization, while the reverse leads to low rate of crystallization [15].

291 The sucrose content of the analyzed honey samples varied from  $1.11 \pm 0.01$  in honey sample  
292 from Osumenyi to  $2.04 \pm 0.02$  in honey sample from Ezinifite II (Table 3). According to laid down  
293 international honey standard, the sucrose content of a good quality honey should not exceed 5g/100g of  
294 honey [22,26]. This indicates that sucrose content of all the honey samples were with the acceptable  
295 limits of international standard. It has also been pointed out that even in honey that contains an active  
296 sucrose converting enzymes, the sucrose level can never be zero [54]. The findings in this study is  
297 comparable to that published by Aljohar *et al.* [6], Czipa *et al.* [23] and Njokuocha [44]. But the  
298 percentage values of sucrose in this study was lower than that reported by Aino [4], Nweze *et al.* [46] and  
299 Boussaid *et al.* [18].

300 HMF is one of the important quality criterion used in determining the freshness and purity of  
301 honey. It is an indication of overheating or exposure to high temperature and poor storage condition such  
302 as prolonged storage under high temperature. The results of the present study showed that the HMF  
303 values of the honey samples is very low ranging from  $0.38 \pm 0.02$  in Ukpor sample to  $3.89 \pm 0.02$  in  
304 Ezinifite 11 sample. These results are below the limits of 40mg/kg set by Codex Alimentarius Commission  
305 [22] for honeys from tropical areas like Nigeria. This shows that the honey samples analyzed in this study  
306 may be regarded as being fresh and pure. This finding compared favourably with those of Aljohar *et al.*  
307 [6], Czipa *et al.* [23] and Njokuocha [44]. However, the HMF values are lower compared to the higher

308 values reported by Njokuocha and Osayi [43] and Boussaid *et al.* [18]. HMF is formed during acid-  
309 catalyzed breakdown of hexose and decomposition of 3-deoxosone in Maillard reaction [28].

310 There is correlation between HMF formation and some honey characteristics such as pH, free  
311 acid content, total acidity, lactone and mineral contents as well as floral sources of the honey [50].  
312 Important factors that leads to the HMF formation are heating of sugars from breakdown of hexoses  
313 under acidic condition at high temperatures and from oligo- and polysaccharides that can produce  
314 hexoses when hydrolyzed [11]. Under certain conditions, HMF may have positive or negative effect on  
315 human health. The consumption of HMF in honey and other food products may cause mutagenic,  
316 genotoxic, organotoxic, DNA damaging and enzyme inhibiting effects [30]. But where HMF occurs in the  
317 form of 5-sulfoxymethylfurfural it has such benefits as anti-oxidative, anti-allergic, anti-inflammatory and  
318 anti-sickling effects, among others on human health [1,34,58].

319 Table 3 The sugar and carbohydrate content of the honey samples from Anabra State

	Parameters 0							
Source location	Glucose (g/100g)	Fructose (g/100g)	Fructose + glucose	Fructose/ Glucose ratio	Glucose/ Water ratio	Sucrose (g/100g)	HMF (mg/100g)	Carbohydrate (%)
Ukpor	25.26 ± 0.01	26.78 ± 0.01	52.04 ± 0.05	1.06 ± 0.03	1.62 ± 0.01	1.21 ± 0.01	0.38 ± 0.02	82.51 ± 0.01
Osumenyi	25.41 ± 0.01	26.35 ± 0.03	51.76 ± 0.02	1.04 ± 0.01	1.56 ± 0.01	1.11 ± 0.01	0.43 ± 0.02	80.69 ± 0.01
Ezinifite I	27.41 ± 0.02	33.49 ± 0.02	60.9 ± 0.08	1.22 ± 0.01	1.74 ± 0.01	1.90 ± 0.01	0.43 ± 0.02	81.69 ± 0.01
Nnokwa	30.01 ± 0.01	31.27 ± 0.02	61.28 ± 0.02	1.04 ± 0.01	1.85 ± 0.01	1.90 ± 0.05	0.43 ± 0.02	81.75 ± 0.01
Alor	28.03 ± 0.02	28.46 ± 0.01	56.49 ± 0.01	1.02 ± 0.01	1.6 ± 0.08	1.64 ± 0.02	0.58 ± 0.01	80.39 ± 0.02
Nnobi	27.11 ± 0.01	34.97 ± 0.01	62.08 ± 0.02	1.29 ± 0.01	1.54 ± 0.01	1.77 ± 0.01	0.52 ± 0.01	80.37 ± 0.01
Ezinifite II	36.22 ± 0.02	43.87 ± 0.02	80.09 ± 0.01	1.21 ± 0.01	2.21 ± 0.04	2.04 ± 0.02	3.89 ± 0.02	82.75 ± 0.01

321 Of all the components of honey, carbohydrate constitutes the highest percentage, comprising  
 322 about 95 - 98% of dry weight of honey [19,20]. Fructose and glucose are the main constituents of  
 323 carbohydrate found in honey. At least about 22 more complex sugars are present in small amount in  
 324 honeys, and they include monosaccharide, disaccharides, trisaccharides and oligosaccharides formed  
 325 during the process of honey ripening by the interactions of honeybee enzymes, acids and temperature  
 326 [13]. The carbohydrate content of the honey samples analyzed in this study ranged from 80.37% to  
 327 82.75% in honey samples from Nnobi and Ezinifite II respectively. Similar findings have been reported by  
 328 Buba *et al.* [19].

### 329 Conclusion

330 The analysis of the honey samples revealed that the honey samples are fresh and genuine based on the  
 331 values of the tested chemical parameters which were within the limits of international acceptable limits.  
 332 The pollen spectrum of the honey samples indicated that the honeys were formed from diverse plants  
 333 sources, although three of the honey samples (from Ukpok, Osumenyi and Ezinifete) are monofloral,  
 334 while four samples (from Nnokwa, Alor, Nnobi and Ezinifite II) are multifloral honeys. The common honey  
 335 plants identified almost across the samples includes *Hymenocardia acida*,  
 336 Combretaceae/Melastomataceae, *Lannea* sp., *Alchornea cordifolia* and *Phyllanthus muellerianus*.

### 337 References

- 340 1. Abdulmalik, O., Safo, M. K., Chen, Q., Yang, J., Brugnara, C., Ohene-Frempong, K., Abraham, D.  
 341 J., and Asakura, T. 5-hydroxymethyl-2-furfural modifies intracellular sickle haemoglobin and  
 342 inhibits sickling of red blood cells. *British Journal of Haematology*, 2005;128 (4): 552 – 561.  
 343 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2141.2004.05332.x>  
 344
- 345 2. Adekanmbi, O. H., Walter, O. J. and Ikegbunam, N. C. Pollen analysis and heavy metals detection in  
 346 honey samples from southern Nigeria. *World News of Natural Sciences*, 2019; 26: 176 – 190.  
 347
- 348 3. Agwu, C. O. C. and Njokuocha, R. C. Pollen analysis of honey and the biological effects  
 349 of honey as a rooting medium. *Nigerian Journal of Botany*, 2004; 17: 74 – 82.  
 350
- 351 4. Aina, D. O. Pollen and physicochemical characterization of honey samples from Ankpa Local  
 352 Government Area of Kogi State, Nigeria. *FUTO Journal Series (FUTOJNLS)*, 2016;2 (2):160 –  
 353 172.  
 354
- 355 5. Ajlouni, S. and Sujirapinyokul, P. Hydroxymethylfurfuraldehyde and amylase contents  
 356 in Australian honey. *Food Chemistry*, 2010;119: 1000 – 1005.

- 357  
358 6. Aljohar, H. I., Maher, H. M., Albagami, J., Al-Mehaizie, M., Orfali, R., Orfali, R. and Alrubia, S.  
359 Physical and chemical screening of honey samples available in the Saudi market: An important  
360 aspect in the authentication process and quality assessment. *Saudi Pharmaceutical Journal*,  
361 2018;26: 932 – 942.  
362
- 363 7. Alvarez-Suarez, J., Tulipani, R. S., Bertoli, E. and Battino, M. Contribution of honey in  
364 nutrition and human health: A review. *Mediterranean Journal of Nutrition and Metabolism*, 2010;  
365 3: 15 – 23.  
366
- 367 8. Anyansola, A. A. and Banjo, A. D. Physico-chemical evaluation of authenticity of honey marketed in  
368 southwestern Nigeria. *Journal of Basic and Applied Scientific Research*, 2011;1(12): 3339 –  
369 3344.  
370
- 371 9. AOAC *Official Methods Analysis of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists*. 18<sup>th</sup>  
372 Edition, Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC), Maryland, USA. 2005.  
373
- 374 10. APLF. *Pollen et Spore d'Afrique Tropicale*. Trauax et Documents de Geographic Tropicale, CEGET,  
375 Association des Planetariums de Langue Francaise (APLF), Talence 16. 1974; 283p.  
376
- 377 11. Arribas-Lorenzo, G. and Morales, F. J. Estimation of dietary intake of 5-Hydroxymethylfurfural and  
378 related substances from coffee to Spanish population. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 2010;  
379 48:644 - 649  
380
- 381 12. Azonwade, F. E., Paraiso, A., Dossa, C. P. A., Dougnon, V. T., N'tcha, C., Mousse, W. and Baba-  
382 Moussa, L. Physicochemical characteristics and microbiological quality of honey produced in  
383 Benin. *Journal of Food Quality*, 2018;2018: 1 – 13.  
384
- 385 13. Ball, D. W. The chemical composition of honey. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 2007;84 (10):  
386 1643 – 1646.  
387
- 388 14. Battino, M., Alvarez-Suarez, J. M. and Giampieri, F. Honey as a source of dietary  
389 antioxidants: Structures, bioavailability and evidence of protective effects against human chronic  
390 diseases. *Curriculum Medical Chemistry*, 2013;20: 621 – 638.  
391
- 392 15. Bhandari, B., D'Arcy, B. and Kelly, C. Rheology and crystallization of kinetics of honey: Present  
393 status. *International Journal of Food Properties*, 1999;2 (3): 217 – 226. Doi:  
394 10.1080/10942919909524606  
395
- 396 16. Bonnefille, R. and Riolett, G. *Pollen de Savanes d'Afrique Orientale*. Centre National de la Recherche  
397 Scientifique, Paris. 1980;144p.  
398
- 399 17. Bogdanov, S., Jurendic, T., Sieber, R. and Gallmann, P. Honey for nutrition and health: A  
400 review. *Journal of the American College Nutrition*, 2008;27(6): 677 – 689. Doi:  
401 10.1080/07315724.2008.10719745  
402
- 403 18. Boussaid, A., Chouaibi, M., Rezig, L. Hellal, R., Donsi, F., Ferrari, G. and Hamdi, S. Physicochemical  
404 and bioactive properties of six honey samples from various floral origins from Tunisia. *Arabian*  
405 *Journal of Chemistry*, 2018;11: 265 – 274.  
406

- 407 19. Buba, F., Gidado, A. and Shugaba, A. Analysis of Biochemical composition of honey sample from  
408 North-East Nigeria. *Biochemistry and Analytical Biochemistry*, 2013;2(3): 139. Doi: 10.4172/2161-  
409 1009.1000139.
- 410  
411 20. Can, Z., Yildiz, O., Sahin, H., Turumtay, E. A., Silici, S. and Kolayli, S. An investigation of Turkish  
412 honeys: Their physico-chemical properties, antioxidant capacities and phenolic profiles. *Food*  
413 *Chemistry*, 2015; 180: 133 – 141.
- 414  
415 21. Cimpoi, C., Hosu, C., Miclaus, V. and Puscas, A. Determination of the floral origin of some  
416 Romanian honeys on the basis of physical and biochemical properties. *Spectrochimica Acta-Part*  
417 *A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy*, 2013;100:149 – 154.
- 418  
419 22. Codex Alimentarius Commission *Revised codex standard for honey*. Codex STANS 12-1981,  
420 Riv.1(1987). Rev.2(2001); FAO/WHO.
- 421  
422 23. Czipa, N., Phillips, C. J. C. and Kovacs, B. Composition of acacia honeys following processing,  
423 storage and adulteration. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 2019;56 (3): 1245 -1255.  
424 Doi.org/10.1007/s13197-019-03587-y
- 425  
426 24. Dafni, H., Lensky, Y. and Fahn, A. Flower and nectar characteristics of nine species of Labiatae and  
427 their influence on honey visits. *Journal of Apicultural Research*, 1988; 27(2): 103 – 114.
- 428  
429 25. De Alda-Garcilope, C., Gallego-Picó, A., Bravo-Yagüe, J. C., Garcinuño-Martínez, R. M. and  
430 Fernandez-Hernando, P. Characterization of Spanish honeys with protected designation of origin  
431 “Miel de Granada” according to their mineral content. *Food Chemistry*, 2012;135: 1785 – 1788.
- 432  
433 26. EU Council. Council Directive 2001/110/EC of 20 December, 2001 relating to honey. *Official Journal*  
434 *of the European Commission*, 2002; L10: 47 – 52.
- 435  
436 27. El Sohaimy, S. A., Masry, S. H. D. and Shehata, M. G. Physicochemical characteristics  
437 of honey from different origin. *Annals of Agricultural Science*, 2015;60 (2): 279 – 287.  
438 Doi.org/10.1016/j.aogas.2015.10.015
- 439  
440 28. Fallico, B., Arena, E. and Zappala, M. Degradation of 5-Hydroxymethylfurfural in honey. *Journal of*  
441 *Food Science*, 2008;73: C625 – C631.
- 442  
443 29. Francisco, J. H., Terrab, A., Gonzalez, A. G. and Diez, M. J. Characterization of Moroccan  
444 unifloral honeys using multivariate analysis. *Journal of European Food Research and*  
445 *Technology*, 2003;218: 88 – 95.
- 446  
447  
448 30. Høie, A. H., Svendsen, C., Brunborg, G., Glatt, H., Alexander, J., Meinel, W. and Husøy, T.  
449 Genotoxicity of three food processing contaminants in transgenic mice expressing human  
450 sulfotransferases IA1 and IA2 as assessed by the in vivo alkaline single cell gel electrophoresis  
451 assay. *Environmental Molecular Mutagenesis*, 2015;56:709 – 714.
- 452  
453 31. Hussein, S., Yusoff, K., Makpol, S. and Yusof, Y. Antioxidant capacities and total phenolic  
454 content increase with gamma irradiation in two types of Malaysian honey. *Molecules*, 2011; 16  
455 (19): 6378 – 6395.
- 456  
457



- 458 32. Kayode, J. and Oyeyemi, S. D. Pollen analysis of *Apis mellifera* honey collected from  
459 Nigeria. *American Journal of Agriculture and Forestry*, 2014;2 (5): 226 – 231. Doi:  
460 10.11648/j.ajaf.20140205.13  
461
- 462 33. Keay, R. W. J., Onochie, C. F. A. and Stanfield, D. P. *Nigerian Trees vol 1 & 2*. Federal  
463 Government of Nigeria Press, Lagos. 1964.  
464
- 465 34. Kitts, D. D., Chen, X-M. and Jing, H. Demonstration of antioxidant and anti-inflammatory bioactivities  
466 from sugar-amino acid Maillard reaction products. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*,  
467 2012;60: 6718 – 6727.  
468
- 469 35. La Serna Ramos, I. E. and Gómez Ferreras, C. An example of the role of exotic flora in  
470 the geographical characterization of honey: *Schinus molle* L. in the Canary Islands (Spain).  
471 *Grana*, 2011;50: 136 – 149.  
472
- 473 36. Lawal, O. O., Oboh, E. O., Basse, S. C. and Obeten, O. O. Composition of sugars in honey  
474 produced in the South-South and South-West regions of Nigeria. *International Journal of*  
475 *Sciences*, 2017;6(8): 179 – 184.  
476
- 477 37. Louveaux, J., Maurizio, A. and Vorwohl, G. Methods of melissopalynology. *Bee World*, 1978; 59: 139 –  
478 157.  
479
- 480 38. Ma, Y., Zhang, B., Li, H., Li, Y., Li, J., Wang, H. and Deng, Z. Chemical molecular  
481 dynamics analysis of crystallization properties of honey. *International Journal of Food Properties*,  
482 2017;20 (4): 725 – 733. Doi: 10.1080/10942912.2016.1178282  
483
- 484 39. Moore, P. D. and Webb, J. A. *An Illustrated Guide to Pollen Analysis*. 1<sup>st</sup> Edition, Hodder and  
485 Stoughtonin, London, 1978; 133p.  
486
- 487 40. Ndife, J., Abioye, L. and Dandago, M. Quality assessment of Nigerian honey sourced from different  
488 floral locations. *Nigerian Food Journal*, 2014;32(2): 48 – 55.  
489
- 490 41. Njokuocha, R. C. and Ekweozo, C. C. Pollen contents of commercial honeys of Opi, Nsukka, Enugu  
491 State, Nigeria. *Plant Product Research Journal*, 2007;11: 5 – 11.  
492
- 493 42. Njokuocha, R. C. and Nnamani, N. A. Palynological analysis of seven samples of honey from the  
494 rainforest-savannah vegetation of east-middle belts of Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Botany*, 2009;  
495 22(1): 189 – 202.  
496
- 497 43. Njokuocha, R. C. and Osayi, E. E. Physicochemical assessment and pollen analysis of honey from  
498 Nsukka, Enugu State, Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Botany*, 2015; 28(1): 95 – 107.  
499
- 500 44. Njokuocha, R. C. Melissopalynological and biochemical evaluation of the authenticity of  
501 *Apis mellifera adansonii* honeys obtained from five states of Nigeria. *Animal Research*  
502 *International*, 2019;16 (3): 3463 – 3477.  
503
- 504 45. Nwakpu, P. E., Iyaka, S. A. and Okwuru, V. M. Microscopical analysis and quality status  
505 of honey from southeastern Nigeria. *Journal of Agriculture and Social Research (JARS)*, 2007; 7  
506 (2): 46 – 57.  
507
- 508 46. Nweze, J. A., Okafor, J. I., Nweze, E. I. and Nweze, J. E. Evaluation of physicochemical

- 509 and antioxidant properties of two stingless bee honeys: A comparison with *Apis mellifera* honey  
510 from Nsukka, Nigeria. *BMC Research Notes*, 2017; 10:566. Doi: 10.1186/s13104-017-2884-2.  
511
- 512 47. Nwoko, C. I. A., Nkwoada, A.U, Ubeh, E.O. and Njoku, A. Characterization of Selected  
513 Honey in South-East Nigeria: Theoretical Translation. *International Journal of Environment,*  
514 *Agriculture and Biotechnology*, 2017;2 (2): 695 – 700. Doi.org/10.22161/ijeab/2.2.16.  
515
- 516 48. Obiegbuna, J., Osajiele, B. and Ishiwu, C. N. Quality Evaluation of Awka Market Honey  
517 and Honey from Beekeepers in Two Floral Regions of Anambra State, Nigeria. *American Journal*  
518 *of Food Science and Technology*, 2017;5 (4): 149 – 155. DOI: 10.12691/ajfst-5-4-5  
519
- 520 49. Okereke, C. N., Nnabude, P. C., Mbaekwe, E. I., Ekwealor, K. U., Uhuo, C. A. and Nwanchor, K.  
521 C. The use of ecological methods in vegetative studies of plant species and abundance in  
522 south-eastern, Nigeria. *African Journal of Plant Sciences*, 2014;8 (9): 441 – 449. Doi:  
523 10.5897/AJPS2014.1201  
524
- 525 50. Shapla, U. M., Solayman, Md., Alam, N., Khalil, Md. I. and Gan, S. H.  
526 5-Hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF) levels in honey and other food products: Effects on bees and  
527 human health. *Chemistry Central Journal*, 2018; 12: 35. Doi.org/10.1186/s13065-018-0408-3  
528
- 529 51. Stanimirova, I., Ustun, B., Cajka, T., Riddelva, K., Hajslova, J., Buydens, L. M. C. and Walczak,  
530 B. Tracing the geographical origin of honey based on volatile compounds profiles assessment  
531 using pattern recognition techniques. *Food Chemistry*, 2010; 118: 171 – 176.  
532
- 533 52. Steentoft, M. *Flowering Plants in West Africa*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.  
534 1988; 344pp.  
535
- 536 53. Vanhanen, L. P., Emmertz, A. and Savage, G. P. Mineral analysis of mono-floral New  
537 Zealand honey. *Food Chemistry*, 2011;128 (1): 236 – 240.  
538
- 539 54. White, J. W. and Doner, L. W. Honey composition and properties: Beekeeping in the  
540 United States. *Agricultural Handbook*, 1980; No. 335, Revised October, 82 – 91.  
541
- 542 55. Y'bert, J. P. *Atlas de Pollen de Cote D'Ivoire*. Official de la Redurche Scientifique et Technique  
543 Outremer, Paris. 1979.  
544
- 545 56. Zafar, A., Safdar, M., Siddiqui, N., Mumtaz, A., Hameed, T. and Sial, M. U. Chemical analysis and  
546 sensory evaluation of branded honey collected from Islamabad and Rawalpindi market. *Journal of*  
547 *Agricultural Research*, 2008;2: 86 – 91.  
548
- 549 57. Zakaria, A., Shakaff, A.Y. M., Masnan, M. J., Ahmad, M. N., Adom, A. H., Jaafar, M. N., Ghani,  
550 S. A., Abdullah, A. H., Aziz, A. H. A., Kamarudin, L. N., Subari, N. and Fikri, N. A. A biomimetic  
551 sensor for the classification of honeys of different floral origin and the detection of adulteration.  
552 *Sensor*, 2011;11: 7799 – 7822.  
553
- 554 58. Zhao, L., Chen, J., Su, J., Li, L., Hu. S., Li, B., Zhang, X., Xu, Z. and Chen, T. *In vitro*  
555 antioxidant and antiproliferative activities of 5-hydroxymethylfurfural. *Journal of Agriculture and*  
556 *Food Chemistry*, 2013; 61 (44):10604-11. doi: 10.1021/jf403098y.  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561