

1           **Effects of Propagation Media and Branch Orientation on Rooting of Guava**  
2    **(*Psidium guajava L*) Shoots Propagated by Air Layering in a Sub-tropical Environment.**

3

4

---

5    **ABSTRACT**

6    Guava (*Psidium guajava L*) is a tropical fruit rich in vitamins and beneficial phytochemicals  
7    and thus often referred to as a super fruit. Despite the potential nutritional benefits of guava  
8    fruit, it has received little research to facilitate its wide-scale production in the Kingdom of  
9    Eswatini. This study was conducted with the aim of determining the effects of media and  
10   branch orientation on rooting of guava branches propagated by air layering. Media treatments  
11   used included vermiculite, compost, top soil, and media mix which was a mixture of top soil,  
12   pine sawdust and sand. Branches that were oriented towards the North East to South East and  
13   South West to North West directions in relation to the sun were selected for air layering. The  
14   experiment was a factorial arrangement laid out in a split plot design where there were 5  
15   replications per treatment. Vermiculite wrapped branches showed the best adventitious root  
16   formation in relation to root length, root volume, root mass, rooting percentage and root  
17   number in comparison to other media treatments. North East to South East oriented branches  
18   produced superior adventitious root development than North West to South West orientated  
19   branches. Wrapping branches with vermiculite in combination with the selection of North  
20   East to South East oriented branches enhanced adventitious root development in air layered  
21   guava branches. It is recommended that farmers who wish to produce guavas of desired  
22   quality by air layering may select branches with the greatest exposure to the sun in the North  
23   East to South East directions using vermiculite or alternatively compost in the absence of  
24   vermiculite as propagation media.

25 **Keywords:** Guava. . Vegetative propagation. Air layering. Rooting

---

26

27

## 28 **1. INTRODUCTION.**

29 Guavas are plants in the Myrtaceae family, genus *Psidium*, which contains about 100  
30 species of tropical shrubs and small trees. The most frequently eaten species, and the one  
31 often simply referred to as "the guava", is the Apple Guava (*Psidium guajava*). Guava plants  
32 have tough dark leaves that are opposite, simple, elliptic to ovate and 5–15 centimetres (2.0–  
33 5.9 in) long [1]. The flowers are white, with five petals and numerous stamens. Guava fruits  
34 are usually 4 to 12 centimeters long, are round or oval depending on the species [2]. The  
35 outer skin of guavas may be rough, often with a bitter taste, or soft and sweet. Varying  
36 between species, the skin can be of any thickness, usually green before maturity, but becomes  
37 yellow, maroon, or green when ripe [3].

38 Asexual propagation involves taking a part of one parent plant and causing it to regenerate  
39 itself into a new plant. The resulting new plant is genetically identical to its parent. Asexual  
40 propagation involves the vegetative parts of a plant: stems, roots, or leaves [4]. Advantages of  
41 asexual propagation are that it may be easier and faster in woody perennials such as guava, it  
42 may be the only way to perpetuate some cultivars and it bypasses the juvenile characteristics  
43 of certain species [5].

44 As guava will not breed true to type from seed, trees for fruit production are usually  
45 vegetatively propagated by air layering or budding. Air layering of guava trees should be  
46 done in full sun and trees should be away from buildings to prevent shading [4; 6; 7].

47 The process of removing a section of the trunk of a tree to create another tree is known as air  
48 layering. Layering is a method of vegetative propagation in which a branch is induced to  
49 **grow** roots before it is separated from the parent plant [8]. Before the branch is removed it is  
50 girdled and then sealed with plastic or other media, and the girdled section is allowed to root.  
51 After rooting the branch is removed from the tree [4; 9].

52 Adventitious roots are roots that arise at sites other than their usual sites such as roots  
53 originating on stems or leaves [10,11; 4]. **Parrota** [12] offered a more technical definition,  
54 reporting that adventitious roots arise from “buds in parenchyma tissue not directly associated  
55 with apical meristems and in places not dictated by their normal phyllotactic pattern.” **Bita et**  
56 **al.** [13] lands somewhere between the previous two definitions, reporting that adventitious  
57 roots are roots that arise on parts of the plant not originating from the embryonic root; that is,  
58 the roots arise on parts of the shoot. In plant propagation, roots that form on stem or leaf  
59 cuttings are adventitious, as well as those generated from air layering and tissue culture [14].

60 Guava is on the priority list of the Taiwan Technical Mission (**ICDF**) Fruit Tree Project in  
61 the Kingdom of Eswatini. The country is faced with problems of food and nutritional  
62 insecurity and fruit production needs to be increased to partially address this problem. There  
63 is little information on the appropriate asexual production techniques (air layering) to produce  
64 guavas on a large scale that can be of the required standard quality. Asexual propagation  
65 enables reproduction of the qualities desired by the consumer. Seed propagated guava plants  
66 tend to yield fruits of variable size and quality. This study sought to provide a guide to  
67 growers who are desirous of producing guavas of acceptable quality through air layering.

68

## 69 **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS.**

70 **2.1 Site description:** The experiment was carried out in the orchard of the Department of  
71 Agricultural Research and Specialist Services at the Malkerns Research Station, in the  
72 Kingdom of Eswatini (Swaziland). The average summer maximum temperature is 27°C and  
73 15°C in winter. The area is found in the Middleveld of Eswatini, 21°34'S and 31°12'E at an  
74 altitude of 750 m above sea level. The annual precipitation is about 800 mm, with most  
75 rainfall occurring between October and April. The soils are mostly sandy loam [15].

76 **2.2 Soil Analysis:** Soil samples were taken from the experimental site using the zigzag  
77 method, four point determinations [16]. The samples were taken to the Department of  
78 Agricultural Research and Specialist Services at Malkerns, soil testing unit laboratory, for  
79 analysis of phosphorus, potassium, exchangeable acidity and pH. Similar analysis were  
80 performed on the vermiculite and compost used in this experiment in order to help in  
81 subsequent interpretation of results.

82 **2.3 Experimental Design:** Eight trees were subjected to the air layering technique. Air  
83 layering was performed on sixty four branches. Thirty two branches were facing the South  
84 West to South East side and another thirty two branches were facing the North east to North  
85 West side and selection was in relation to the positioning of the sun. Propagation procedure  
86 was carried out on the 19<sup>th</sup> of October 2013. The branches were factorially arranged with  
87 growing media and branch selection as factors and laid out in split-plot design with five  
88 replications. The experiment was carried out for 12 weeks and data was collected at the end  
89 of the rooting period.

90 **2.4 Air Layering Procedure:** Sorensen [5] reported that the process of air layering is a four  
91 step process that starts by choosing a suitable branch and followed by gathering the necessary  
92 materials. After the material has been gathered, girdling of the selected branch is done,

93 followed by a waiting period of a minimum of 12 weeks for rooting to occur and finally  
94 harvesting and transplanting of rooted branches thus;

95 Step 1: Choosing a suitable branch

96 The first step was to select appropriate branches. A suitable branch is usually no smaller than  
97 pencil thickness and not much larger than finger thickness. Side branches were cut. Air  
98 layering was done in the spring or early summer when the plant was actively growing.

99 Step 2: Gathering the materials

100 The next step was to gather the necessary materials. Materials used were;

101 Media namely vermiculite, pre-mixed media, compost and top soil

102 Other materials included:

103 1) Clear plastic wrap

104 2) Twine

105 3) A sharp knife or razor blade

106 4) Seradix (Bayer, Pretoria, South Africa) rooting hormone namely Seradix no3 for  
107 woody perennials such as guava for purposes of this study.

108 Step 3: Girdling procedure.

109 A section of bark around the stem was removed, a process known as girdling. Cutting off the  
110 bark was done to remove the tissues that transport sugars throughout the plant (the phloem),  
111 while leaving the water transporting tissue on the interior of the stem (the xylem). Girdling  
112 the branch produces two effects, the accumulation of sugars at the wound which stimulates

113 the formation of roots, and while leaving the xylem intact means the branch will still have a  
114 constant supply of water.

115 Two cuts around the circumference of the branch were made. A knife was used since guava  
116 has a relatively tougher bark. Once the two cuts around the stem were made, a third cut was  
117 made straight down the stem, connecting the two circular cuts. The bark was then peeled off  
118 and the phloem (which was usually green) was completely removed. Every remaining green  
119 tissue was shaved off leaving the white xylem exposed.

120 The top of the wound was brushed with rooting hormone (Seradix no.3). A small handful of  
121 growing media was applied and excess water squeezed out since media had to be moist, but  
122 not dripping wet. Media was then wrapped around the girdled area and secured with a twine.  
123 The media was then tightly wrapped with plastic wrap and secured with two twist ties, at the  
124 top and bottom (Plate 1).



125

126 **Plate1:** An air layered West facing branch with top soil as a medium of rooting.

127 Step 4: Harvesting and transplanting

128 After mass of roots were seen through the plastic wrap, it was time to harvest the new plant.

129 The branch was unwrapped, leaving the media in place (Plate 2). The branch was then cut

130 where the original wound was made.



131

132 **Plate 2:** An unwrapped vermiculite, North East to South East oriented rooted branch.

133

134 **2.5 Treatments:** Factor 1, Main plot: media

135 There were four media treatments. The treatments were as follows: Vermiculite.

136 Ordinary top soil (sandy- loam)

137 Compost

138 Pre mixed media; pine sawdust, river sand and top soil (1:1:1)

139 Factor 2, Subplot: branch selection according to light intensity.

140 1. South West to South East facing branches.

141 2. North East to North West facing branches.

142

143 **Treatment Combination:** Table 1 shows the treatment combinations applied on each air  
144 layered branch. It also depicts the treatment combination codes.

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153 **Table 1: Description of treatment combinations**

Treatment combination code	Treatment combination
<b>1</b>	Vermiculite + South East to North East oriented branch.
<b>2</b>	Top soil + South East to North East oriented branch.
<b>3</b>	Compost + South East to North East oriented branch.
<b>4</b>	Media mix + South East to North East oriented branch.
<b>5</b>	Vermiculite + North West to South West



	oriented branch.
6	Top soil + North West to South West oriented branch.
7	Compost + North West to South West oriented branch.
8	Media mix + North West to South West oriented branch.

154

155

156

157

158

159 Replications: there were 5 replications of each treatment to reduce error.

160 **2.6 Data Collection:** Data was collected once after rooting had occurred. Parameters  
 161 recorded during data collection were volume of roots in terms of water displacement, mass of  
 162 roots (fresh mass and dry mass), the length of roots, root number and rooting percentage.

163 **Root Volume:** Fully rooted marcots were sampled and roots, leaves and stems cut-off. A 100  
 164 ml beaker was filled with water and the volume of water recorded. The roots were then  
 165 dipped into the beaker and the new volume of water was determined. Volume of roots was  
 166 determined by the volume of water displaced by the roots.

167

168 **Fresh and Dry Masses:** Eight rooted air layered branches were sampled per tree at harvest.  
169 The plants were harvested; fresh mass or marketable yield was measured using a balance.  
170 This was done individually for roots, stems and leaves. To determine the dry masses these  
171 plants were oven dried at 70°C for 48 h [17].

172

173 **Average Root Length:** Three individual roots were selected and their lengths determined  
174 and average root length was determined by adding the three root lengths and dividing the  
175 value by three. The ruler used was a 30 cm ruler.

176 **Number of Roots:** This was determined by counting the number of roots that had been  
177 formed after root initiation.

178 **Rooting Percentage:** This was determined by visually assessing branches that had rooted  
179 over the total number of branches air layered among the various treatments used and  
180 multiplied by 100.

181

182 **2.7 Statistical Analysis:** The data was subjected to Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) using  
183 MStat-C statistical package [18]. Where significant differences were detected means were  
184 separated using the Duncan's New Multiple Range (DNMRT) [19].

185

### 186 **3.0 RESULTS.**

187 **3.1 Media Analysis Results:** Results of media analysis for pH, available potassium and  
188 available phosphorus are shown in Table 2.

189 **Table 2: Media analysis results**

Sample name	pH (H <sub>2</sub> O)	Available K mg/kg	Available P mg/kg
1. Vermiculite	7.3	141	5
2. Top soil	6.3	435	10
3. Compost	6.3	776	18
4. Pine bark	5.9	256	6
5. Sand	6.3	50	4

191

192

193

194

195

196

197 **3.1 Root Volume:** Root volume varied significantly ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) among media treatments  
 198 (Table 3). Vermiculite, wrapped branches rooted significantly higher ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) than the other  
 199 treatments in terms of root volume (Table 4). This was followed by compost wrapped  
 200 branches which were not significantly different from top soil treated branches (Table 4).  
 201 Media mix wrapped branches rooted significantly lower ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) than the other media  
 202 treatments.

203 North East to South East oriented branches resulted in significantly ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) higher root  
 204 volume when compared with South West to North West oriented branches across all the  
 205 media (Table 3, 5).

206 **3.2 Root Length:** Root length varied significantly ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) among treatments (Table 3).  
207 Vermiculite wrapped branches led to significantly higher root length in comparison with  
208 other media treatments used (Table 4). These were followed by top soil wrapped branches  
209 which however were not significantly ( $P > 0.05$ ) different in terms of root length as compared  
210 with media mix, top soil or compost wrapped branches. .

211 North East to South East oriented branches resulted in significantly ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) higher root  
212 length when compared with South West to North West oriented branches across the media  
213 treatments (Table 3, 5).

214 **3.3 Root Fresh Mass:** Root fresh mass varied significantly ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) among media  
215 treatments with the highest fresh root mass being obtained from vermiculite (Table 3, 4).  
216 Compost wrapped branches ranked second followed by media mix and top soil wrapped  
217 branches that were not significantly ( $P > 0.05$ ) different from each other in terms of fresh root  
218 mass obtained (Table 4).

219 North East to South East oriented branches resulted in significantly ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) higher root  
220 fresh mass when compared with South West to North West oriented branches across the  
221 media treatments (Table 3, 5).

222 **3.4 Root Dry Mass:** Vermiculite wrapped branches led to significantly ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) higher dry  
223 root mass in comparison with all the other media treatments used (Table 3, 4). These were  
224 followed by compost wrapped branches which were not so significantly ( $P > 0.05$ ) different  
225 from vermiculite and top soil wrapped branches in terms of dry root mass but significantly  
226 different from media mix treated branches (Table 4).

227 North East to South East oriented branches resulted in significantly ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) higher root dry  
228 mass when compared with South West to North West oriented branches across the media  
229 treatments (Table 3, 5).

230 **3.5 Root Number:** Number of roots of vermiculite treated branches was significantly ( $P \leq$   
231  $0.05$ ) higher when compared with other media treatments (Table 3, 4). Top soil wrapped  
232 branches ranked second but was not significantly different in terms of root number from  
233 compost and media mix air layered branches (Table 4).

234 North East to South East oriented branches resulted in significantly ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) higher root  
235 number when compared with South West to North West oriented branches across media  
236 treatments (Table 3, 5).

237 **3.6 Rooting Percentage:** Rooting percentage was significantly ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) higher in branches  
238 treated with vermiculite media as compared with the other media treatments (Table 5 ). Top  
239 soil and compost treated branches ranked second in terms of the percentage of rooted marcots  
240 and were not significantly ( $p > 0.05$ ) different from each other (Table 5). Percentage of rooted  
241 branches was lower in media mix wrapped branches and significantly ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) lower when  
242 compared with other media treatments.

243 North East to South East oriented branches resulted in significantly ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) ) higher rooting  
244 percentage when compared with South West to North West oriented branches across the  
245 media treatments (Table 5).

246 Overall east oriented branches had higher values of growth parameters than west oriented  
247 branches (Table 6). On the other hand the medium vermiculite followed in decreasing order  
248 by compost, top soil and lastly media mix had higher values of growth parameters (Table 6).

249

250

251

252

253

254

#### 255 4.0 DISCUSSION

256 The results indicate that vermiculite wrapped branches produced adventitious roots of a larger  
257 volume compared to media mix, top soil and compost. Root length of the adventitious roots  
258 formed were longer in vermiculite media treated branches. This superior performance of  
259 vermiculite was also evident in rooting percentage, root mass and root number of marcots  
260 formed. The second best performing media was compost in terms of root mass, root number  
261 and root volume and topsoil ranked second in terms of rooting percentage. Second best root  
262 length was observed in media mix wrapped branches even though it was the worst  
263 performing media in terms of root volume, root mass, rooting percentage and root number.

264 The success of vermiculite can be attributed to the physical and chemical properties of  
265 vermiculite that enhance adventitious root development during plant propagation. Parrotta  
266 [12] reported that vermiculite improves aeration and promotes greater penetration of roots  
267 thus the reason why vermiculite wrapped air layered branches were denser and longer  
268 compared to the other media used in this study. In their study Bita et al. [13] reported that  
269 there was a positive correlation between percentage proportion of vermiculite and total  
270 porosity. Mishra et al. [8] noted that for successful air layering of woody perennials such as  
271 litchi, media used should be loose and more porous to allow for denser and longer roots that

272 can be able to withstand transition from air layering stage to further transplanting on natural  
273 soil for establishment of fruit trees.

274 Vermiculite's chemical property of possessing relatively high cation exchange capacity  
275 makes it to hold and make available to the growing plant ammonium, potassium, calcium and  
276 magnesium [12]. The presence of these elements creates a micro-climate that is favourable  
277 for adventitious root development making vermiculite more superior to top-soil, media mix  
278 and compost.

279 Media mix wrapped branches were less superior compared to other media treatments. This  
280 media was a mixture of sand, topsoil, and pine bark and the individual properties of the media  
281 mix components had an impact on the rooting of branches. Sand decreased the cation  
282 exchange capacity of the media mix and the top soil used was of a clay loam texture. One  
283 property of clay loam soil is that it is slowly impermeable thus the reason why the roots  
284 formed from this media were shorter and less dense. Also the reduction of the cation  
285 exchange capacity by sand made media mix to have compromised ability to hold and make  
286 available to the growing plant ammonium, potassium, calcium and magnesium which are  
287 cations essential for adventitious root formation in air layering [4; 7]. It should be noted  
288 though that the sand component in the media mix enhanced longer length root formation but  
289 not as long as vermiculite wrapped branches due to the presence of top soil which  
290 compromised impermeability of roots through the media mix.

291 South East to North East oriented branches had the greatest adventitious root development in  
292 terms of root length, volume, fresh and dry mass together with rooting percentage and root  
293 number as compared to South West to North West oriented branches. These results are in  
294 agreement with those of [Mishra et al. \[8\]](#) on litchi and [Kong \[20\]](#) who reported that branches

295 should be oriented on South East to North East facing orientations where there is greater  
296 exposure to sunlight.

## 297 **5.0 Conclusion**

298 The results indicated a significant influence of propagation media on root formation on guava  
299 branches propagated by air layering. Vermiculite media gave rise to longer roots, greater root  
300 volume, mass and number together with higher rooting percentage. There was also a  
301 significant influence of branch orientation in relation to the sun on root development of guava  
302 branches propagated by air layering. North East to South East oriented branches produced  
303 adventitious roots of greater length, volume, mass, number and rooting percentage when  
304 compared with South West and North West oriented branches. Interaction between media and  
305 branch orientation was significant in all the parameters measured. A combination of  
306 wrapping branches with vermiculite and selecting branches on the South East to North East in  
307 relation to the position of the sun led to superior adventitious root formation in terms of root  
308 length, volume, mass and rooting percentage. An alternative second best combination was  
309 wrapping branches with compost and selecting branches on the South East and North East  
310 orientations.

## 311 **6.0 Recommendations**

312 It appears from this study that the most successful protocol of air layering is selection of  
313 branches from the South East to the North East orientations in relation to the sun since  
314 branches facing this direction have the greatest exposure to the sun and wrapping branches  
315 with vermiculite propagation medium. Further studies need to be carried out on other locally  
316 available media. This study needs to be repeated to compare results in different seasons.

317



318

319 **REFERENCES**

- 320 1. Bourrillion MJ. Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on growth characters of guava  
321 (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. PKM 1. South Indian Horticulture. 2012; 52:183–193.
- 322 2. Anonymous. The Guava tree. 2013. Accessed 30 March 2018. Available:  
323 <http://www.guavag.com/home>
- 324 3. Hall JB, Obrien EM, Sinclair FL. *Psidium guajava*: A monograph School of  
325 Agricultural fruit production sciences. 2002. Publication number 19. University of  
326 Wales, Bangor.
- 327 4. Hartmann HT, Kester DE, Davies FT, Geneve RL. Plant Propagation: Principles and  
328 Practices. Seventh ed. Prentice Hall Inc., Upper Saddle River, NJ, USA; 2002.
- 329 5. Sorensen DC. Plant propagation. University of New Hampshire Cooperative  
330 Extension. Resource 003548; 2003.
- 331 6. Crane WM, Balerdi TD. Guava Growing in the Florida Home Landscape. Tropical  
332 Research and Education Center, UF/IFAS Extension, Gainesville, FL 32611; 2006.
- 333 7. Pereira FM, Usman M, Mayer NA, Nachtigal, JC. Advances in guava propagation. Revista  
334 Brasileira de Fruticultura. 2016; 39(4):39-43[http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/0100-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/0100-29452017358)  
335 [29452017358](http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/0100-29452017358).
- 336 8. Mishra DS, Thapa KS, Nimbolkar PK, Tripathi A, Singh SK. Efficiency of different  
337 rooting media and wrapping material on air-layering in litchi (*Litchi chinensis*) cv  
338 ‘Rose Scented’ International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2017; 5 (6): 2004-  
339 <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/03d5/156ab3faf6a0cb9258be9fc6aa27054ab73f>  
340 [.pdf](https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/03d5/156ab3faf6a0cb9258be9fc6aa27054ab73f)
- 341 9. Naithani DC, Nautiyal AR, Rana DK, Deepak MD. Effect of time of air layering, IBA  
342 concentrations, growing media and their interaction on the rooting behaviour of Guava  
343 (*Psidium guajava* L.) under sub-tropical condition of Garhwal Himalaya. International

- 344 Journal of Pure and Applied Bioscience. 2018; 6 (3): 169-180 DOI:  
345 <http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/23207051.6673>
- 346 10. Tchoundjeu Z, Leakey RRB. Vegetative propagation of *Lovoa trichilioides*: Effects of  
347 provenance, substrate, auxins and leaf area. Journal of Tropical Forest Science. 2001; 13 (1):  
348 116-129
- 349 11. Esau K. Anatomy of Seed Plants. Seventh ed. Prentice Hall Inc. Wiley, New York, New  
350 York, USA. 1977.
- 351 12. Parrotta JA. *Psidium guajava* [monograph]. III-4 in Enzyklopädie der Holzgewächse  
352 [Encyclopedia of Woody Plants]. Eco med Verlag, Germany. 2005
- 353 13. Bita W, Mgocheki N, Kamota A. Effect of different vermiculite and pine bark media  
354 substrates mixtures on physical properties and spiral rooting of radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.)  
355 in float tray system. Rhizosphere. 2017; 3 (1): 67-74  
356 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/journal/rhizosphere/vol/3/part/P1>
- 357 14. Jackson MB, Drew MC. Effects of flooding on growth and metabolism of herbaceous  
358 plants, pp.265–294. In Kozlowski, T.T. (Ed.). Flooding and Plant Growth. Academic Press,  
359 New York, NY. 1984.
- 360 15. Murdoch G. Soils and Land Capability in Swaziland. Ministry of Agriculture. Mbabane,  
361 Swaziland. 1970.
- 362 16. Brady N, Weil R. Nature and Properties of Soils. 13th Edition. Prentice Hall, New York,  
363 USA. 2007.
- 364 17. Crozier CR, King LD, Greg DH. Tracing nitrogen movement in production systems in the  
365 North Carolina Piedmont: Analysis of nitrogen pool size. Agron. J. 2004; 86: 642-649.

- 366 18. Nissen O. MStat-C. A micro-computer programmed for the design and management and  
 367 analysis of research experiments. Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan, USA.  
 368 1989.
- 369 19. Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research. 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition.  
 370 John Wiley and Sons. Singapore. 1984.
- 371 20. Kong CJ. Variability in propagation potentials of air layered woody perennials of  
 372 different physiological ages. World Journal Agricultural Science. 2008; 3(5): 576-581.

373 **Table 3 Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the effects of propagation media and**  
 374 **branch orientation on growth parameters of air-layered guava (*Psidium guajava* L.)**  
 375 **branches**

376

377

378

379

380

381

382 A N A L Y S I S O F V A R I A N C E T A B L E

383

384 K	385 Value	386 Source	387 Degrees of Freedom	388 Sum of Squares	389 Mean Square	390 F Value	391 Prob
392 1	393	394 Replication	395 4	396 3.190	397 0.798	398 1.1389	399 0.3586
400 2	401	402 Factor A	403 3	404 59.573	405 19.858	406 28.3593	407 0.0000
408 4	409	410 Factor B	411 1	412 14.762	413 14.762	414 21.0825	415 0.0001
416 6	417	418 AB	419 3	420 10.783	421 3.594	422 5.1331	423 0.0059
424 -7	425	426 Error	427 28	428 19.606	429 0.700		
		430 Total	431 39	432 107.914			

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445 Coefficient of Variation: 21.12%

446 Grand Mean = 2.731    Grand Sum = 218.500    Total Count = 80

447

448

449

450

451

405

406

407

408

409 : Table 4: Effect of propagation media on growth parameters of air- layered guava  
 410 (*Psidium guajava* L) branches.

Media	Root volume (ml)	Root fresh mass (g)	Root dry mass (g)	Root length (cm)	Rooting Percentage (%)	Root Number
Vermiculite	5.750a	8.340a	2.120a	15.69a	92.5b	25.9a
Top soil	3.140bc	3.540bc	1.108b	6.860c	50b	6.4b
Compost	4.380b	5.020b	1.565ab	6.580c	45b	5.4c
Media mix	2.580c	3.330bc	0.508c	6.730c	12c	1.7c

411 Mean values within the same column followed by the same letter are not significantly  
 412 different from each other at  $P \leq 0.05$ . Mean separation by DNMR.T.

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

UNDER PEER REVIEW

433 **Table 5: Effect of branch orientation on growth parameters of air-layered guava**  
 434 **(*Psidium guajava* L) branches.**

Orientation	Root volume (ml)	Root fresh mass (g)	Root dry mass (g)	Root length (cm)	Rooting Percentage (%)	Root Number
South East to North East.	4.57b	5.430b	1.686ab	9.850b	61.250ab	11.7b
South West to North West	3.355bc	4.685bc	0.994bc	8.080bc	38.75b	8.0bc

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445 **Table 6: Effect of the interaction between propagation media and branch orientation**  
 446 **on growth parameters of air-layered guava (*Psidium guajava*) branches.**

Media	Orientation	Root volume (ml)	Root Fresh mass(g)	Root dry mass(g)	Root length (cm)	Rooting Percentage (%)	Root number
Vermiculite	East	6.900a	8.900a	2.646a	16.5a	100a	28a
	West	4.600b	7.780a	2.140ab	14.8a	85ab	23.8ab
Top soil	East	4.100bc	3.980bc	1.426cd	8.180b	60b	8.2b
	West	2.180d	3.100c	0.7900e	5.540bc	40bc	4.6bc
Compost	East	4.900b	5.580b	2.140ab	7.040b	60b	7.2b
	West	3.860bc	4.460bc	0.9900de	6.120bc	30bc	3.6bc
Media mix	East	2.380d	3.260c	0.4140e	7.680b	25bc	3.4bc
	West	2.780cd	3.100c	0.6020e	5.780	0	0

447 Mean values within the same column followed by the same letter are not significantly  
 448 different from each other at  $P \leq 0.05$ . Mean separation by DNMR.

449

450

451

452

UNDER PEER REVIEW