

1 **Effects of Propagation Media and Branch Orientation on Rooting of Guava**
2 **(*Psidium guajava L*) Shoots Propagated by Air Layering in a Sub-tropical Environment.**

3

4

5 **ABSTRACT**

6 Guava (*Psidium guajava L*) is a tropical fruit rich in vitamins and beneficial phytochemicals
7 and thus often referred to as a super fruit. Despite the potential nutritional benefits of guava
8 fruit, it has received little research to facilitate its wide-scale production in the Kingdom of
9 Eswatini. This study was conducted with the aim of determining the effects of media and
10 branch orientation on rooting of guava branches propagated by air layering. Media treatments
11 used included vermiculite, compost, top soil, and media mix which was a mixture of top soil,
12 pine sawdust and sand. Branches that were oriented towards the North East to South East and
13 South West to North West directions in relation to the sun were selected for air layering. The
14 experiment was a factorial arrangement laid out in a split plot design where there were 5
15 replications per treatment. Vermiculite wrapped branches showed the best adventitious root
16 formation in relation to root length, root volume, root mass, rooting percentage and root
17 number in comparison to other media treatments. North East to South East oriented branches
18 produced superior adventitious root development than North West to South West orientated
19 branches. Wrapping branches with vermiculite in combination with the selection of North
20 East to South East oriented branches enhanced adventitious root development in air layered
21 guava branches. It is recommended that farmers who wish to produce guavas of desired
22 quality by air layering may select branches with the greatest exposure to the sun in the North
23 East to South East directions using vermiculite or alternatively compost in the absence of
24 vermiculite as propagation media.

25 **Keywords:** Guava. . Vegetative propagation. Air layering. Rooting

26

27

28 **1. INTRODUCTION.**

29 Guavas are plants in the Myrtaceae family, genus *Psidium*, which contains about 100
30 species of tropical shrubs and small trees. The most frequently eaten species, and the one
31 often simply referred to as "the guava", is the Apple Guava (*Psidium guajava*). Guava plants
32 have tough dark leaves that are opposite, simple, elliptic to ovate and 5–15 centimetres (2.0–
33 5.9 in) long [1]. The flowers are white, with five petals and numerous stamens. Guava fruits
34 are usually 4 to 12 centimeters long, are round or oval depending on the species [2]. The
35 outer skin of guavas may be rough, often with a bitter taste, or soft and sweet. Varying
36 between species, the skin can be of any thickness, usually green before maturity, but becomes
37 yellow, maroon, or green when ripe [3].

38 Asexual propagation involves taking a part of one parent plant and causing it to regenerate
39 itself into a new plant. The resulting new plant is genetically identical to its parent. Asexual
40 propagation involves the vegetative parts of a plant: stems, roots, or leaves [4]. Advantages of
41 asexual propagation are that it may be easier and faster in woody perennials such as guava, it
42 may be the only way to perpetuate some cultivars and it bypasses the juvenile characteristics
43 of certain species [5].

44 As guava will not breed true to type from seed, trees for fruit production are usually
45 vegetatively propagated by air layering or budding. Air layering of guava trees should be
46 done in full sun and trees should be away from buildings to prevent shading [4; 6; 7].

47 The process of removing a section of the trunk of a tree to create another tree is known as air
48 layering. Layering is a method of vegetative propagation in which a branch is induced to
49 **grow** roots before it is separated from the parent plant [8]. Before the branch is removed it is
50 girdled and then sealed with plastic or other media, and the girdled section is allowed to root.
51 After rooting the branch is removed from the tree [4; 9].

52 Adventitious roots are roots that arise at sites other than their usual sites such as roots
53 originating on stems or leaves [10,11; 4]. **Parrota** [12] offered a more technical definition,
54 reporting that adventitious roots arise from “buds in parenchyma tissue not directly associated
55 with apical meristems and in places not dictated by their normal phyllotactic pattern.” **Bita et**
56 **al.** [13] lands somewhere between the previous two definitions, reporting that adventitious
57 roots are roots that arise on parts of the plant not originating from the embryonic root; that is,
58 the roots arise on parts of the shoot. In plant propagation, roots that form on stem or leaf
59 cuttings are adventitious, as well as those generated from air layering and tissue culture [14].

60 Guava is on the priority list of the Taiwan Technical Mission (**ICDF**) Fruit Tree Project in
61 the Kingdom of Eswatini. The country is faced with problems of food and nutritional
62 insecurity and fruit production needs to be increased to partially address this problem. There
63 is little information on the appropriate asexual production techniques (air layering) to produce
64 guavas on a large scale that can be of the required standard quality. Asexual propagation
65 enables reproduction of the qualities desired by the consumer. Seed propagated guava plants
66 tend to yield fruits of variable size and quality. This study sought to provide a guide to
67 growers who are desirous of producing guavas of acceptable quality through air layering.

68

69 **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS.**

70 **2.1 Site description:** The experiment was carried out in the orchard of the Department of
71 Agricultural Research and Specialist Services at the Malkerns Research Station, in the
72 Kingdom of Eswatini (Swaziland). The average summer maximum temperature is 27°C and
73 15°C in winter. The area is found in the Middleveld of Eswatini, 21°34'S and 31°12'E at an
74 altitude of 750 m above sea level. The annual precipitation is about 800 mm, with most
75 rainfall occurring between October and April. The soils are mostly sandy loam [15].

76 **2.2 Soil Analysis:** Soil samples were taken from the experimental site using the zigzag
77 method, four point determinations [16]. The samples were taken to the Department of
78 Agricultural Research and Specialist Services at Malkerns, soil testing unit laboratory, for
79 analysis of phosphorus, potassium, exchangeable acidity and pH. Similar analysis were
80 performed on the vermiculite and compost used in this experiment in order to help in
81 subsequent interpretation of results.

82 **2.3 Experimental Design:** Eight trees were subjected to the air layering technique. Air
83 layering was performed on sixty four branches. Thirty two branches were facing the South
84 West to South East side and another thirty two branches were facing the North east to North
85 West side and selection was in relation to the positioning of the sun. Propagation procedure
86 was carried out on the 19th of October 2013. The branches were factorially arranged with
87 growing media and branch selection as factors and laid out in split-plot design with five
88 replications. The experiment was carried out for 12 weeks and data was collected at the end
89 of the rooting period.

90 **2.4 Air Layering Procedure:** Sorensen [5] reported that the process of air layering is a four
91 step process that starts by choosing a suitable branch and followed by gathering the necessary
92 materials. After the material has been gathered, girdling of the selected branch is done,

93 followed by a waiting period of a minimum of 12 weeks for rooting to occur and finally
94 harvesting and transplanting of rooted branches thus;

95 Step 1: Choosing a suitable branch

96 The first step was to select appropriate branches. A suitable branch is usually no smaller than
97 pencil thickness and not much larger than finger thickness. Side branches were cut. Air
98 layering was done in the spring or early summer when the plant was actively growing.

99 Step 2: Gathering the materials

100 The next step was to gather the necessary materials. Materials used were;

101 Media namely vermiculite, pre-mixed media, compost and top soil

102 Other materials included:

103 1) Clear plastic wrap

104 2) Twine

105 3) A sharp knife or razor blade

106 4) Seradix (Bayer, Pretoria, South Africa) rooting hormone namely Seradix no3 for
107 woody perennials such as guava for purposes of this study.

108 Step 3: Girdling procedure.

109 A section of bark around the stem was removed, a process known as girdling. Cutting off the
110 bark was done to remove the tissues that transport sugars throughout the plant (the phloem),
111 while leaving the water transporting tissue on the interior of the stem (the xylem). Girdling
112 the branch produces two effects, the accumulation of sugars at the wound which stimulates

113 the formation of roots, and while leaving the xylem intact means the branch will still have a
114 constant supply of water.

115 Two cuts around the circumference of the branch were made. A knife was used since guava
116 has a relatively tougher bark. Once the two cuts around the stem were made, a third cut was
117 made straight down the stem, connecting the two circular cuts. The bark was then peeled off
118 and the phloem (which was usually green) was completely removed. Every remaining green
119 tissue was shaved off leaving the white xylem exposed.

120 The top of the wound was brushed with rooting hormone (Seradix no.3). A small handful of
121 growing media was applied and excess water squeezed out since media had to be moist, but
122 not dripping wet. Media was then wrapped around the girdled area and secured with a twine.
123 The media was then tightly wrapped with plastic wrap and secured with two twist ties, at the
124 top and bottom (Plate 1).



125

126 **Plate1:** An air layered West facing branch with top soil as a medium of rooting.

127 Step 4: Harvesting and transplanting

128 After mass of roots were seen through the plastic wrap, it was time to harvest the new plant.

129 The branch was unwrapped, leaving the media in place (Plate 2). The branch was then cut

130 where the original wound was made.



131

132 **Plate 2:** An unwrapped vermiculite, North East to South East oriented rooted branch.

133

134 **2.5 Treatments:** Factor 1, Main plot: media

135 There were four media treatments. The treatments were as follows: Vermiculite.

136 Ordinary top soil (sandy- loam)

137 Compost

138 Pre mixed media; pine sawdust, river sand and top soil (1:1:1)

139 Factor 2, Subplot: branch selection according to light intensity.

140 1. South West to South East facing branches.

141 2. North East to North West facing branches.

142

143 **Treatment Combination:** Table 1 shows the treatment combinations applied on each air
144 layered branch. It also depicts the treatment combination codes.

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153 **Table 1: Description of treatment combinations**

Treatment combination code	Treatment combination
1	Vermiculite + South East to North East oriented branch.
2	Top soil + South East to North East oriented branch.
3	Compost + South East to North East oriented branch.
4	Media mix + South East to North East oriented branch.
5	Vermiculite + North West to South West

	oriented branch.
6	Top soil + North West to South West oriented branch.
7	Compost + North West to South West oriented branch.
8	Media mix + North West to South West oriented branch.

154

155

156

157

158

159 Replications: there were 5 replications of each treatment to reduce error.

160 **2.6 Data Collection:** Data was collected once after rooting had occurred. Parameters
 161 recorded during data collection were volume of roots in terms of water displacement, mass of
 162 roots (fresh mass and dry mass), the length of roots, root number and rooting percentage.

163 **Root Volume:** Fully rooted marcots were sampled and roots, leaves and stems cut-off. A 100
 164 ml beaker was filled with water and the volume of water recorded. The roots were then
 165 dipped into the beaker and the new volume of water was determined. Volume of roots was
 166 determined by the volume of water displaced by the roots.

167

168 **Fresh and Dry Masses:** Eight rooted air layered branches were sampled per tree at harvest.
169 The plants were harvested; fresh mass or marketable yield was measured using a balance.
170 This was done individually for roots, stems and leaves. To determine the dry masses these
171 plants were oven dried at 70°C for 48 h [17].

172

173 **Average Root Length:** Three individual roots were selected and their lengths determined
174 and average root length was determined by adding the three root lengths and dividing the
175 value by three. The ruler used was a 30 cm ruler.

176 **Number of Roots:** This was determined by counting the number of roots that had been
177 formed after root initiation.

178 **Rooting Percentage:** This was determined by visually assessing branches that had rooted
179 over the total number of branches air layered among the various treatments used and
180 multiplied by 100.

181

182 **2.7 Statistical Analysis:** The data was subjected to Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) using
183 MStat-C statistical package [18]. Where significant differences were detected means were
184 separated using the Duncan's New Multiple Range (DNMRT) [19].

185

186 **3.0 RESULTS.**

187 **3.1 Media Analysis Results:** Results of media analysis for pH, available potassium and
188 available phosphorus are shown in Table 2.

189 **Table 2: Media analysis results**

Sample name	pH (H ₂ O)	Available K mg/kg	Available P mg/kg
1.Vermiculite	7.3	141	5
2.Top soil	6.3	435	10
3. Compost	6.3	776	18
4. Pine bark	5.9	256	6
5. Sand	6.3	50	4

191

192

193

194

195

196

197 **3.1 Root Volume:** Root volume varied significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) among media treatments
 198 (Table 3). Vermiculite, wrapped branches rooted significantly higher ($P \leq 0.05$) than the other
 199 treatments in terms of root volume (Table 4). This was followed by compost wrapped
 200 branches which were not significantly different from top soil treated branches (Table 4).
 201 Media mix wrapped branches rooted significantly lower ($P \leq 0.05$) than the other media
 202 treatments.

203 North East to South East oriented branches resulted in significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) higher root
 204 volume when compared with South West to North West oriented branches across all the
 205 media (Table 3, 5).

206 **3.2 Root Length:** Root length varied significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) among treatments (Table 3).
207 Vermiculite wrapped branches led to significantly higher root length in comparison with
208 other media treatments used (Table 4). These were followed by top soil wrapped branches
209 which however were not significantly ($P > 0.05$) different in terms of root length as compared
210 with media mix, top soil or compost wrapped branches. .

211 North East to South East oriented branches resulted in significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) higher root
212 length when compared with South West to North West oriented branches across the media
213 treatments (Table 3, 5).

214 **3.3 Root Fresh Mass:** Root fresh mass varied significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) among media
215 treatments with the highest fresh root mass being obtained from vermiculite (Table 3, 4).
216 Compost wrapped branches ranked second followed by media mix and top soil wrapped
217 branches that were not significantly ($P > 0.05$) different from each other in terms of fresh root
218 mass obtained (Table 4).

219 North East to South East oriented branches resulted in significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) higher root
220 fresh mass when compared with South West to North West oriented branches across the
221 media treatments (Table 3, 5).

222 **3.4 Root Dry Mass:** Vermiculite wrapped branches led to significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) higher dry
223 root mass in comparison with all the other media treatments used (Table 3, 4). These were
224 followed by compost wrapped branches which were not so significantly ($P > 0.05$) different
225 from vermiculite and top soil wrapped branches in terms of dry root mass but significantly
226 different from media mix treated branches (Table 4).

227 North East to South East oriented branches resulted in significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) higher root dry
228 mass when compared with South West to North West oriented branches across the media
229 treatments (Table 3, 5).

230 **3.5 Root Number:** Number of roots of vermiculite treated branches was significantly ($P \leq$
231 0.05) higher when compared with other media treatments (Table 3, 4). Top soil wrapped
232 branches ranked second but was not significantly different in terms of root number from
233 compost and media mix air layered branches (Table 4).

234 North East to South East oriented branches resulted in significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) higher root
235 number when compared with South West to North West oriented branches across media
236 treatments (Table 3, 5).

237 **3.6 Rooting Percentage:** Rooting percentage was significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) higher in branches
238 treated with vermiculite media as compared with the other media treatments (Table 5). Top
239 soil and compost treated branches ranked second in terms of the percentage of rooted marcots
240 and were not significantly ($p > 0.05$) different from each other (Table 5). Percentage of rooted
241 branches was lower in media mix wrapped branches and significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) lower when
242 compared with other media treatments.

243 North East to South East oriented branches resulted in significantly ($P \leq 0.05$)) higher rooting
244 percentage when compared with South West to North West oriented branches across the
245 media treatments (Table 5).

246 Overall east oriented branches had higher values of growth parameters than west oriented
247 branches (Table 6). On the other hand the medium vermiculite followed in decreasing order
248 by compost, top soil and lastly media mix had higher values of growth parameters (Table 6).

249

250

251

252

253

254

255 4.0 DISCUSSION

256 The results indicate that vermiculite wrapped branches produced adventitious roots of a larger
257 volume compared to media mix, top soil and compost. Root length of the adventitious roots
258 formed were longer in vermiculite media treated branches. This superior performance of
259 vermiculite was also evident in rooting percentage, root mass and root number of marcots
260 formed. The second best performing media was compost in terms of root mass, root number
261 and root volume and topsoil ranked second in terms of rooting percentage. Second best root
262 length was observed in media mix wrapped branches even though it was the worst
263 performing media in terms of root volume, root mass, rooting percentage and root number.

264 The success of vermiculite can be attributed to the physical and chemical properties of
265 vermiculite that enhance adventitious root development during plant propagation. Parrotta
266 [12] reported that vermiculite improves aeration and promotes greater penetration of roots
267 thus the reason why vermiculite wrapped air layered branches were denser and longer
268 compared to the other media used in this study. In their study Bita et al. [13] reported that
269 there was a positive correlation between percentage proportion of vermiculite and total
270 porosity. Mishra et al. [8] noted that for successful air layering of woody perennials such as
271 litchi, media used should be loose and more porous to allow for denser and longer roots that

272 can be able to withstand transition from air layering stage to further transplanting on natural
273 soil for establishment of fruit trees.

274 Vermiculite's chemical property of possessing relatively high cation exchange capacity
275 makes it to hold and make available to the growing plant ammonium, potassium, calcium and
276 magnesium [12]. The presence of these elements creates a micro-climate that is favourable
277 for adventitious root development making vermiculite more superior to top-soil, media mix
278 and compost.

279 Media mix wrapped branches were less superior compared to other media treatments. This
280 media was a mixture of sand, topsoil, and pine bark and the individual properties of the media
281 mix components had an impact on the rooting of branches. Sand decreased the cation
282 exchange capacity of the media mix and the top soil used was of a clay loam texture. One
283 property of clay loam soil is that it is slowly impermeable thus the reason why the roots
284 formed from this media were shorter and less dense. Also the reduction of the cation
285 exchange capacity by sand made media mix to have compromised ability to hold and make
286 available to the growing plant ammonium, potassium, calcium and magnesium which are
287 cations essential for adventitious root formation in air layering [4; 7]. It should be noted
288 though that the sand component in the media mix enhanced longer length root formation but
289 not as long as vermiculite wrapped branches due to the presence of top soil which
290 compromised impermeability of roots through the media mix.

291 South East to North East oriented branches had the greatest adventitious root development in
292 terms of root length, volume, fresh and dry mass together with rooting percentage and root
293 number as compared to South West to North West oriented branches. These results are in
294 agreement with those of [Mishra et al. \[8\]](#) on litchi and [Kong \[20\]](#) who reported that branches

295 should be oriented on South East to North East facing orientations where there is greater
296 exposure to sunlight.

297 **5.0 Conclusion**

298 The results indicated a significant influence of propagation media on root formation on guava
299 branches propagated by air layering. Vermiculite media gave rise to longer roots, greater root
300 volume, mass and number together with higher rooting percentage. There was also a
301 significant influence of branch orientation in relation to the sun on root development of guava
302 branches propagated by air layering. North East to South East oriented branches produced
303 adventitious roots of greater length, volume, mass, number and rooting percentage when
304 compared with South West and North West oriented branches. Interaction between media and
305 branch orientation was significant in all the parameters measured. A combination of
306 wrapping branches with vermiculite and selecting branches on the South East to North East in
307 relation to the position of the sun led to superior adventitious root formation in terms of root
308 length, volume, mass and rooting percentage. An alternative second best combination was
309 wrapping branches with compost and selecting branches on the South East and North East
310 orientations.

311 **6.0 Recommendations**

312 It appears from this study that the most successful protocol of air layering is selection of
313 branches from the South East to the North East orientations in relation to the sun since
314 branches facing this direction have the greatest exposure to the sun and wrapping branches
315 with vermiculite propagation medium. Further studies need to be carried out on other locally
316 available media. This study needs to be repeated to compare results in different seasons.

317

318

319 **REFERENCES**

- 320 1. Bourrillion MJ. Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on growth characters of guava
321 (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. PKM 1. South Indian Horticulture. 2012; 52:183–193.
- 322 2. Anonymous. The Guava tree. 2013. Accessed 30 March 2018. Available:
323 <http://www.guavag.com/home>
- 324 3. Hall JB, Obrien EM, Sinclair FL. *Psidium guajava*: A monograph School of
325 Agricultural fruit production sciences. 2002. Publication number 19. University of
326 Wales, Bangor.
- 327 4. Hartmann HT, Kester DE, Davies FT, Geneve RL. Plant Propagation: Principles and
328 Practices. Seventh ed. Prentice Hall Inc., Upper Saddle River, NJ, USA; 2002.
- 329 5. Sorensen DC. Plant propagation. University of New Hampshire Cooperative
330 Extension. Resource 003548; 2003.
- 331 6. Crane WM, Balerdi TD. Guava Growing in the Florida Home Landscape. Tropical
332 Research and Education Center, UF/IFAS Extension, Gainesville, FL 32611; 2006.
- 333 7. Pereira FM, Usman M, Mayer NA, Nachtigal, JC. Advances in guava propagation. Revista
334 Brasileira de Fruticultura. 2016; 39(4):39-43 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/0100-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/0100-29452017358)
335 [29452017358](http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/0100-29452017358).
- 336 8. Mishra DS, Thapa KS, Nimbolkar PK, Tripathi A, Singh SK. Efficiency of different
337 rooting media and wrapping material on air-layering in litchi (*Litchi chinensis*) cv
338 ‘Rose Scented’ International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2017; 5 (6): 2004-
339 2009. <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/03d5/156ab3faf6a0cb9258be9fc6aa27054ab73f>
340 [.pdf](https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/03d5/156ab3faf6a0cb9258be9fc6aa27054ab73f)
- 341 9. Naithani DC, Nautiyal AR, Rana DK, Deepak MD. Effect of time of air layering, IBA
342 concentrations, growing media and their interaction on the rooting behaviour of Guava
343 (*Psidium guajava* L.) under sub-tropical condition of Garhwal Himalaya. International

- 344 Journal of Pure and Applied Bioscience. 2018; 6 (3): 169-180 DOI:
345 <http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/23207051.6673>
- 346 10. Tchoundjeu Z, Leakey RRB. Vegetative propagation of *Lovoa trichilioides*: Effects of
347 provenance, substrate, auxins and leaf area. Journal of Tropical Forest Science. 2001; 13 (1):
348 116-129
- 349 11. Esau K. Anatomy of Seed Plants. Seventh ed. Prentice Hall Inc. Wiley, New York, New
350 York, USA. 1977.
- 351 12. Parrotta JA. *Psidium guajava* [monograph]. III-4 in Enzyklopädie der Holzgewächse
352 [Encyclopedia of Woody Plants]. Eco med Verlag, Germany. 2005
- 353 13. Bitu W, Mgocheki N, Kamota A. Effect of different vermiculite and pine bark media
354 substrates mixtures on physical properties and spiral rooting of radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.)
355 in float tray system. Rhizosphere. 2017; 3 (1): 67-74
356 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/journal/rhizosphere/vol/3/part/P1>
- 357 14. Jackson MB, Drew MC. Effects of flooding on growth and metabolism of herbaceous
358 plants, pp.265–294. In Kozlowski, T.T. (Ed.). Flooding and Plant Growth. Academic Press,
359 New York, NY. 1984.
- 360 15. Murdoch G. Soils and Land Capability in Swaziland. Ministry of Agriculture. Mbabane,
361 Swaziland. 1970.
- 362 16. Brady N, Weil R. Nature and Properties of Soils. 13th Edition. Prentice Hall, New York,
363 USA. 2007.
- 364 17. Crozier CR, King LD, Greg DH. Tracing nitrogen movement in production systems in the
365 North Carolina Piedmont: Analysis of nitrogen pool size. Agron. J. 2004; 86: 642-649.

366 18. Nissen O. MStat-C. A micro-computer programmed for the design and management and
 367 analysis of research experiments. Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan, USA.
 368 1989.

369 19. Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research. 2nd Edition.
 370 John Wiley and Sons. Singapore. 1984.

371 20. Kong CJ. Variability in propagation potentials of air layered woody perennials of
 372 different physiological ages. World Journal Agricultural Science. 2008; 3(5): 576-581.

373 **Table 3 Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the effects of propagation media and**
 374 **branch orientation on growth parameters of air-layered guava (*Psidium guajava* L.)**
 375 **branches**

376

377

378

379

380

381

382 A N A L Y S I S O F V A R I A N C E T A B L E

383

384 K	385 Value	386 Source	387 Degrees of Freedom	388 Sum of Squares	389 Mean Square	390 F Value	391 Prob
392 1	393	394 Replication	395 4	396 3.190	397 0.798	398 1.1389	399 0.3586
400 2	401	402 Factor A	403 3	404 59.573	405 19.858	406 28.3593	407 0.0000
408 4	409	410 Factor B	411 1	412 14.762	413 14.762	414 21.0825	415 0.0001
416 6	417	418 AB	419 3	420 10.783	421 3.594	422 5.1331	423 0.0059
424 -7	425	426 Error	427 28	428 19.606	429 0.700		
		430 Total	431 39	432 107.914			

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

438 Coefficient of Variation: 21.12%

441 Grand Mean = 2.731 Grand Sum = 218.500 Total Count = 80

403

404

405

406

407

408

409 : Table 4: Effect of propagation media on growth parameters of air- layered guava
 410 (*Psidium guajava* L) branches.

Media	Root volume (ml)	Root fresh mass (g)	Root dry mass (g)	Root length (cm)	Rooting Percentage (%)	Root Number
Vermiculite	5.75a	8.34a	2.12a	15.69a	92.5b	25.9a
Top soil	3.14bc	3.54bc	1.11b	6.86c	50b	6.4b
Compost	4.38b	5.02b	1.56ab	6.58c	45b	5.4c
Media mix	2.58c	3.33bc	0.51c	6.73c	12c	1.7c

411 Mean values within the same column followed by the same letter are not significantly
 412 different from each other at $P \leq 0.05$. Mean separation by DNMR.T.

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

UNDER PEER REVIEW

433 **Table 5: Effect of branch orientation on growth parameters of air-layered guava**
 434 **(*Psidium guajava* L) branches.**

Orientation	Root volume (ml)	Root fresh mass (g)	Root dry mass (g)	Root length (cm)	Rooting Percentage (%)	Root Number
South East to North East.	4.57b	5.43b	1.68ab	9.85b	61.25ab	11.7b
South West to North West	3.35bc	4.68bc	0.99bc	8.08bc	38.75b	8.0bc

435

436

437 Mean values within the same column followed by the same letter are not significantly
 438 different from each other at $P \leq 0.05$.

439

440 **Table 6: Effect of the interaction between propagation media and branch orientation**
 441 **on growth parameters of air-layered guava (*Psidium guajava*) branches.**

Media	Orientation	Root volume (ml)	Root Fresh mass(g)	Root dry mass(g)	Root length (cm)	Rooting Percentage (%)	Root number
-------	-------------	------------------------	--------------------------	---------------------	------------------------	------------------------------	----------------

Vermiculite	East	6.900a	8.90a	2.64a	16.5a	10a	28a
	West	4.60b	7.78a	2.14ab	14.8a	85ab	23.8ab
Top soil	East	4.10bc	3.98bc	1.42cd	8.18b	60b	8.2b
	West	2.18d	3.10c	0.79e	5.54bc	40bc	4.6bc
Compost	East	4.90b	5.58b	2.14ab	7.04b	60b	7.2b
	West	3.86bc	4.46bc	0.99de	6.12bc	30bc	3.6bc
Media mix	East	2.38d	3.26c	0.41e	7.68b	25bc	3.4bc
	West	2.78cd	3.10c	0.60e	5.78	0	0

442 Mean values within the same column followed by the same letter are not significantly
 443 different from each other at $P \leq 0.05$. Mean separation by DNMRT.

444

445

446

447

448