



**SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM**

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>Most of the statements made in the paper are merely based on assumptions/conjectures with not detailed mathematical justifications.</p>	<p>Every ting is taken seriously. But I want to know in detail what is not sufficiently given in my paper, - what ? What are the assumptions I have made ? - all assumptions are given under part A. What follöows is clearly given in mathematical form by parts B. C, D, F. They are purely mathematical conclusions thereof and the steps are clearly described (notes in E, G, F are hints to understand the history or the a possible understanding of the text and thus may be wrong). E and G are clearly given as assumptions or proposals. So I do not see any problem with my article as you describe it.</p>