



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_54059
Title of the Manuscript:	FORMATION OF GORDAITE AS A PRODUCT OF FLY ASH DURING HIGH TEMPERATURE OXIDATION OF GALVANIZED STEEL PARTS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The present work described the characterization fly ash during high temperature oxidation of galvanized steel parts. Therefore, the title should be changed to the characterization of fly ash during high temperature oxidation of galvanized steel parts. The gordaite is a product of fly ash, but all discussion as about the characterization of fly ash.</p> <p>The abstract and introduction of the article was clearly written and readily understood. The collected data were thoroughly analysed, well presented in results and discussion.</p> <p>The benefit of product should be stated in the last paragraph of the conclusion.</p> <p>References was up-to date</p>	<p>The title of the paper, as suggested by the reviewer is now "The characterization of fly ash during high temperature oxidation of galvanized steel parts"</p> <p>The benefit of the fly ash is the manufacturing of mixed ash clay bricks which is found to be cheaper, high in structural and aesthetics qualities which has also been added to the last paragraph of the conclusion.</p>
Optional/General comments	Generally, the manuscript can be accepted after subjected for some minor revision before it can be published in a reputable scientific journal.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	