



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_51965
Title of the Manuscript:	Quality Characterisation of biscuits from blends of Bambara groundnut (<i>Vigna subterranea</i>), Ground bean seed (<i>Macrotyloma</i>) and Moringa seed (<i>Moringa oleifera</i>) flour
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Abstract is informative and is briefly well explained and to know the findings of the research work. • I referred APA method for the references cited in the text and reference section. • Some more references are needed to support the introductory part. • Material and methods have been explained elaboratively and it is up to the information level. • Results and discussions were well explained in the discussion few more references are needed to strengthen the research matter. Such papers are needed to the society to bring the light on the minds of the people to create the awareness with respect to consumption of food. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For the growing population such researches are needed • To create awareness such research papers are needed through government, NGOs. • The text is very poor and improve the language with the aid of English experts and send it to the editorial board for the further process. • References must be according to the journals of the guidelines. 	
Minor REVISION comments	-----	
Optional/General comments	-----	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her



SDI Review Form 1.6

		<i>feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	NINGAPPA.M.ROLLI
Department, University & Country	BLDEA's Degree College Jamkhandi (587301), Karnataka, INDIA