



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_51607
Title of the Manuscript:	LUMPED ELEMENTS AND ITS EXISTENCE IN QUASI LUMPED ELEMENT RESONATOR ANTENNA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment <i>SUGGESTIONS:</i>	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>"Lumped Element..."</p> <p>EQUATIONS.....</p> <p>FIGURES 1.0 and 1.1.....</p> <p>FIGURE 1.3.....</p>	<p>Observe and avoid the exhaustive use of this term***!!!</p> <p>- Examples:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - ABSTRACT: Used 10 times / 11 lines!! - 1.0 INTRODUCTION: Used 8 times / 10 lines !! - Lines 113 – 129: Used 13 times !! - Lines 146 – 165: Used 8 times !! - CONCLUSION: Lines 338 – 342 : Used 7 times / 5 lines!! <p>- ***{Suggestion: Use pronouns and/or review style.}</p> <p>Review denominators of Equations 2.3 and 2.6 !!! Review Equation 2.5 !!!</p> <p>Centralize blocks specifications / observe (again) exhaustive use of 'lumped element circuits'!</p> <p>Citation of Figure 1.3 without a previous Figure 1.2</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Line {25}.....</p> <p>Line {70}.....</p> <p>Line {91}.....</p> <p>Lines {127, 128}.....</p> <p>References.....</p>	<p>{Quasi Lumped Element Resonator Antenna} : Twice same line!!</p> <p>.....Figure 2.8... inexistent !! possibly Figure 2.3 ...?</p> <p>Review dimensions and position of exponent $e\{j\omega t\}$ and index $i(0)$.</p> <p>Review positions Lines 127/128.</p> <p>Review general punctuation!!</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Observe: Figures 2.0, 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 - Adjust FOCUS !!!!!</p> <p>As far as I could observe, this important article needs a serious general review !!</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Jurandyr Santos Nogueira</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Federal University of Bahia, Brazil</i>