



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_52599
Title of the Manuscript:	APPRAISAL OF DEFECTIVE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES IN BUILDING PROJECTS IN ASABA DELTA STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The study concluded recommending that the designers must take into account maintenance consideration during design and construction stages.	
Minor REVISION comments	It will be good if your completely with the graphs from SPSS. And also if it possible with the newest references (under 5 years before).	
Optional/General comments	Could be accepted	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Danny Faturachman
Department, University & Country	Darma Persada University, Indonesia