



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_52942
Title of the Manuscript:	Aflatoxin B1 affects Kenyan Markets: how it can be managed
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This article mentions the need to monitor afB1 in industrialized foods, however the aflsafe technology described is for the field. it is not clear to me if this aflsafe technology is being recommended for the industry.</p> <p>Aflsafe technology is being used in Kenya or is a recommendation from the authors</p> <p>If it is used in Kenia, aflsafe could mention how it acts?</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	aflatoxin is misspelled in the keywords	
Optional/General comments	I understand that it is a mini-review but the objective is not clear	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Silvia Denise Peña Betancourt
Department, University & Country	Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana, Mexico