



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_54339
Title of the Manuscript:	HIV disclosure in children in this millenium – Should it still be an issue?
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The article is extremely relevant to care for family members of children and adolescents living with HIV / AIDS.</p> <p>METHODOLOGY: The article explicitly presents the necessary elements such as study design, study population, time of study, instruments used, how data analysis and ethical care was carried out. The description of the methodology allows another researcher to be able to reproduce the experiments.</p> <p>RESULTS The data are well controlled. Authors shouldn't provide relevant and current references during discussion. the article has few recent updates (less than 5 years of publication). Discussion and conclusions are based on actual facts and figures. The statiscal analyses were necessary for this paper. It's were sufficient and appropriate statistical analyses for paper's aim.</p> <p>CONCLUSION The conclusion adequately shows suitably the synthesis of the research results based on the date and other researchs.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	It's Important included most current references.	
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Leonara Maria Souza da Silva
Department, University & Country	Universidade Federal da Bahia, Brazil