



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_64318
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination of β -carotene and α -tocopherol content in selected fresh and dry vegetables in Butula in Busia County
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In this study, β carotene and α-tocopherol contents of dried and fresh vegetables were compared. However, it is not clear whether some of them are vegetables or food, for example frying spider.</p> <p>It is recommended not to include references in the abstract.</p> <p>Is this study a method validation study?</p> <p>It is not clear whether the preparation of food additives or fresh vegetables and dried vegetables in the paper.</p> <p>The units should be given as $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ instead of $\mu\text{g/g}$.</p> <p>Amounts should be given in either 100 g or grams.</p> <p>the method of β carotene and α-tocopherol has been studied in detail, but the elution time, whether the flow is gradient or isocratic, and the wavelength are not given.</p> <p>It is not understood why Table 2 is given.</p> <p>Tables and figures should be given at the appropriate place in the text or at the end of the text.</p> <p>The flying spider in table 4 is not understood.</p> <p>Some references in the reference list are not given correctly, such as <u>Gomez, M. (1981). Plant foods.3:281.</u></p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Celile Aylin Oluk
Department, University & Country	Turkey