



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_67336
Title of the Manuscript:	Design of Affordable Solar Photovoltaic Systems in Nigeria: A Cost Implication Analysis.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>In this article, the authors claim to propose a solar system model for Nigeria and then evaluate its economic benefits. However, nowhere in this article is there a suggested model and description of this model. If the authors intend to propose a model, they must first review the literature in detail and propose a model based on it or based on data collected from a survey. In other words, their proposed model should be supported either by the research literature or by a survey data.</p> <p>On the other hand, they evaluate a series of economic metrics without first explaining what those metrics are and, secondly, how does the related data (presented in tables and figures, such as Tables 4 and 5 and Figure 1) have been collected?</p> <p>Introduction of the article is designed as if solar systems are the main problem of the article, while the economic evaluation of solar systems is the research problem of this article. Therefore, they should state in the introduction why the economic evaluation of these systems is important and then explain the objectives of this article.</p> <p>References are so old, and the most recent reference is for 2013. In the literature review section, the authors should review the economic evaluation models of the solar system and update the sources used in this article.</p> <p>The description of tables should be placed before the table not after the table.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>There are some editing errors in the main text such as the table numbers that I have highlighted them in the main text.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Saeed Nosratabadi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Szent István University, Hungary</b>