



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Environment &amp; Ecology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_47879
Title of the Manuscript:	SOIL BASED HEAVY METALS ORIGINATING FROM ANTHROPOGENIC ACTIVITIES ON FLORISTIC COMPOSITION OF SOME SELECTED SITES IN KADUNA NORTHERN GUINEA SAVANNA OF NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>General Comment</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In line 168. I suggest the author to include sub-title "conclusion" then followed by conclusion paragraph below the conclusion sub-title.</li> <li>In the map line 64. Author inserted Nigeria map showed Kaduna state as a study area, I recommend the author to modify the map and show study area inside the Kaduna state</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Specific comments</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In line 37 the unit of atomic density 5g/cm<sup>3</sup> supposed to be 5g/cm<sup>3</sup>. Number 3 should be written in superscript.</li> <li>Author mixed capital letter and small letter especially in title of table and figures. For example, in line 181 and line 186 the word originating starting with small letter, it supposed to be in capital letter. Also, in line 192 the title of table 2 there is mixing of capital and small letter. Author should check and do necessary correction</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p><b>Conclusion:</b> The paper is well written, and it has all the necessary aspect of scientific paper. I recommend this paper to be accepted for publication subject to minor corrections.</p>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Yusuf Ismail Ally Koleleni</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>University of Dar es Salaam, Tanzania</i></b>