



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_51666
Title of the Manuscript:	Quality Effectiveness Analysis Assessment of Physics Teaching Materials-Oriented ICARE Method on Student Cognitive Mastery Based Experiment Skill Level
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This is quite an interesting paper. The following are my suggestions/comments aimed at improving the overall quality of the final output.</p> <p>The title of this study, to me, looks somehow clumsy and need some kind of modification. You need to check the proper usage of this key words "Quality; Effectiveness; Analysis; Assessment" and kindly reframe the title accordingly. Also, author(s) may need to include salient findings (including data) in the results section.</p> <p>Also, the use of the acronym "ICARE", I would like to say that if it is not a universally acceptable acronym, you may not need to include it in the title without providing the meaning.</p> <p>In the abstract, the aim of the study (being a qualitative survey) needs to be reconstruct. I think it should be "The aim of the study was to describe....."</p> <p>In the review of the stages of ICARE learning, these stages need to be supported with some relevant references to support the information provided on each stage. In the first usage of the acronym ICARE, author(s) needs to write each of the word in upper case and not in lower case as presented in this study. From line 72, author(s) needs to add the meaning of the acronym "ICARE" before it can be freely used in this study.</p> <p>Moreover, there is need for author(s) to rearrange the order of references cited that are more than two especially in parentheses, the year must be ordered in ascending order like 2010 must come before 2017 and for other years of publications (for example in line 128-129, 2016 should come before 2017, 2018 etc).</p> <p>Author(s) needs to include the procedure employed in selecting respondents for this study.</p> <p>Finally, author(s) may include clear and concise recommendations emanating from this research findings after the conclusion in the conclusion section or in a separate section after conclusion section.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Nil	
Optional/General comments	Nil	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Olutosin A. Otekunrin</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Federal University of Agriculture, Nigeria</i>