



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_67415
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>NIGERIA'S WORKING POOR HOUSEHOLDS: CHARACTERIZING FACTORS AND PROPOSALS FOR SOCIAL WELFARE PROGRAMS</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>It is a good study, very well documented and with up-to-date references on the subject.</p> <p>However, it is suggested to review the manuscript and improve the writing of the paragraphs.</p> <p>Although the topic is very interesting and important, too much information is given that makes it difficult to read and understand the ideas. It is suggested that the authors synthesize some paragraphs by joining the background of the literature with the results obtained and thus reduce the length of the document, which in my opinion is too long.</p> <p>It is also suggested, in the conclusion, to add the factors that characterize working poor households in Nigeria and that were obtained from the results of this research.</p> <p>It is suggested a careful review the references, there are authors cited in the text that do not appear in the references. (Kim, 1998; Moscovici, 1987; Dunga, 2017)</p> <p>Some questions are asked of the authors throughout the text</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Eugenia Henriquez D'Aquino</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Chile, Chile</b>