



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Geographical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJGR_67003
Title of the Manuscript:	Implications of philately in promoting the protected natural areas (VII): Retezat National Park
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Observe the following considerations throughout the text:</p> <p>Fi. 1a - The image is too small. It is not possible to see exactly where the park is in the image. Perhaps putting your outline in another color will help.</p> <p>Fig. 2 - Cannot read the names in the image.</p> <p>The methodology is very generic and not very informative. It is necessary to better describe how the data were collected and when they were collected.</p> <p>Authors should make it clear that figures 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16 are part of the appendix.</p> <p>Figure 10 a is cut and its caption too.</p> <p>The title of the article is Implications of philately in the promotion of protected natural areas. However, the authors do not discuss this in the text. The authors only describe the analyzed materials and make a general and uninformative conclusion.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Katia Kopp</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Praça Universitária s/n. Setor Universitário, Brazil</i>