



**SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1**

**PART 1:**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Medicine and Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_43226
Title of the Manuscript:	STUDIES ON PREECLAMPTIC PREGNANT WOMEN IN OWERRI, NIGERIA
New Title of the Manuscript:	TRACE ELEMENTS, ANTIOXIDANTS, URIC ACID, C-REACTIVE PROTEINS AND ESTROGEN LEVELS OF PREECLAMPTIC PREGNANT WOMEN IN OWERRI, NIGERIA
Type of Article:	Original research paper

**PART 2:**

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>The abstract is still not present in the structured form highlighting the background in few lines. The author has started the abstract straight away with what they have done in the study. Overall language of the manuscript must be rephrased.</p> <p>The proper definition of preeclampsia is not illustrated: like the author has stated: <b><u>"It has been reported that in preeclampsia, the systolic BP is 140 mmHg and diastolic BP 90 mmHg in a woman with previously normal blood pressure and with proteinuria 0.3 gm in a 24-hour urine collection or equal to 1+ or 100 mg/dl by dipstick response [4]"</u></b>. There is a proper definition given by American College of Obstetricians and Gynecology (ACOG) which can be incorporated. Serum Copper, Zinc and Trace elements estimation methods has not been clearly defined. The author has stated as written in the kits [with no description about the method employed for the detection and kit name].</p> <p>In the results section, the bar diagram should be used to compare the means between the cases and controls which has not been shown.</p> <p>Discussion must be rephrased with special focus only on the important findings of the study needs to be discussed.</p> <p>Conclusion must be short, highlighting the major important findings drawn with some recommendation for the future.</p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<i>Apeksha Niraula</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>B. P. Koirala Institute of Health Sciences, Nepal</i>