



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Physical and Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOPACS_64531
Title of the Manuscript:	About the Origin of the Dark Energy and the Zero Mass/Energy Universe
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript studies the origin of dark energy and zero mass/energy universe. But, I cannot find the motivation behind the study, which is one of the most important parts of a scientific article. Inclusion of a literature on the previous related works in the introduction part will make the manuscript perfect. There are several recent papers, which study the origin/source of DE, some of which are</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.newast.2020.101542 2. https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219887821500262 3. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10773-017-3417-4 4. https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/9840351 5. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.021102 <p>Similarly, a literature on zero mass/energy universe should be added. What is the motivation behind using GEM theory? What is the significance and future scope (if any) of the study?</p> <p>The manuscript can be accepted for publication if the above points are reflected in the manuscript.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Spelling mistakes can be seen, e.g. In page 3, under the "Note", nuklear and negati9ve, in page 6, under "2. Note, (e1)", "th" should be "the". Grammar should be rechecked.</p>	
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Pheiroijam Suranjoy Singh</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Bodoland University, India</i>