



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Orthopaedic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORR_54402
Title of the Manuscript:	Tarsometatarsal Lisfranc Injuries: Evaluation and Management
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract is promising but when we read the article there are only 200 words talking about results; is discouraging.</p> <p>Is a well structured and well written paper, but there is not the explanation or the results.</p> <p>Results section is very poor and is the main weakness of the paper. It must be improved.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Review the grammar. Word can help you.</p> <p>Figure 1 does not represent a complete Lisfranc articulation as you explain in text</p> <p>Add some references in the classification</p> <p>Results must be expressed with DE or averages, not only with general data.</p> <p>You show only 10 cases; think in to add a table showing all the cases as a descriptive study.</p> <p>Results section is limited to 200 words. All the text seems a review of general principles of Lisfranc injuries treatment.</p>	
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rafael Sanjuan-Cervero
Department, University & Country	Spain