



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAVS_56188
Title of the Manuscript:	HAEMATOLOGICAL RESPONSE AND SENSORY CHARACTERISTICS OF RABBITS FED DIETS CONTAINING GRADED LEVELS OF RICE OFFAL TREATED WITH RUMEN FILTRATE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>I commend authors' work which is original and innovative; fighting against food insecurity and environmental pollution. Tasks have been diligently executed and sections of manuscript well-developed. To improve on the quality of paper, I'll suggest the following points of revision;</p> <p>Title: The working title doesn't fall within the scope of the study and needs to be revised. Study also involved analysis of biochemical parameters which never featured in title</p> <p>Introduction: Similar observation as above; study aim needs to be revised while taking into consideration all set objectives (biochemical analyses inclusive).</p> <p>Material & methods: The first paragraph under section should be treated as a subsection with the title "study setting (location)"</p> <p>Sensory analyses: Authors need to justify the implication of "untrained assessors" in the evaluation process and the reliability of obtained data. Are we likely to obtain similar results with "trained assessors"?</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tabé Franklin Nyenty
Department, University & Country	University Of Yaounde 1, Cameroon