



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_67675
Title of the Manuscript:	VIBRIO FLUVIALIS – UNUSUAL CASE OF CELLULITIS LEADING TO SEPSIS
Type of the Article	Case study

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Please add more details on how you identify the particular pathogen. There are no any tests or methods provided on how you confirm this is <i>V. fluvialis</i>? Have you used Bergey's manual? You can generate another figure or table with Gram-staining and other biochemical tests used for identification and characterization of bacteria.</p> <p>Does the farmer aware of the use of his figures in your publication?</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Abstract should be rewritten in a clear way. There is nothing mentioned about the pathogen side.</li> <li>2. Figures should be modified (i.e., group the figures with a common legend, adding arrow heads for specific symptoms)</li> <li>3. Discussion can be better with more literature regards to <i>V. fluvialis</i> case studies around the world.</li> <li>4. Conclusion is not crisp. It should be a concise statement of what you achieve by this study. But your conclusion is vague. Please write it in a more clear and precise way.</li> <li>5. References need formatting with a particular style</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>Please proofread and check the grammar and formatting options. The writing has a lot of jargons. Try to simplify as much as possible to make it clear to a non-specialist.</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Hajarooba Gnanagobal</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Memorial University of Newfoundland, Canada</b>