



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_63098
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of mathematics teachers' knowledge at UNRWA schools in Gaza Strip about the action research concepts and process
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Abstract is too long, so it must be shortened again and contains only important things. 2. The problem statement may be combined with the introduction so that the sentence needs to be shortened so that it only contains important parts. 3. The subtitles in the article simply use an introduction, theoretical study, methodology, research results, discussion, and conclusions. so that the contents of the article contain enough important points. 4. Writing a bibliography must be in accordance with good and correct writing rules 	
Minor REVISION comments	The content of the article should be no more than 20 pages. less is better.	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In general the article is good and the content is correct. However, the abstract and the content is too long. My suggestion is that the findings or discussion of findings should be discussed in more and more detail, rather than abstracts, introductions or theoretical studies. 2. The language of the article is good, coherent, and interesting. 	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Indah Suciati
Department, University & Country	Alkhairaat University, Indonesia