



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_52487
Title of the Manuscript:	THE HIGH WATER PLANTS WATER WATER ROAD IN CLEANING
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Better reference the introduction. There is a whole paragraph without a reference. Better define in the methods the research design, the evaluated variables, the statistical tests used and the level of significance. Add photo author / source As the method is unclear, what do the table values represent? averages? how many measures were taken / repetitions? No references from the last five years. Update	
Minor REVISION comments	See comments	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Junir Antonio Lutinski
Department, University & Country	Universidade Comunitária da Região de Chapecó , Brazil