



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_55131
Title of the Manuscript:	Biological Effects of Global System of Mobile Communication- A Review
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The work provide a short review of the biological effects of Global System of Mobile Communication handset based on available literature and the standards for radiofrequency of the National and International Agencies in conjunction with the International Committee for Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP). The values of the dose received by a biological tissue determined by the use of the GSM system are compared with the standards proposed by above mentioned agencies. In the actual context of the increasing of the pollutant agents of all kinds, the study is very welcoming. However the authors must work hardly to improve their manuscript.	
Minor REVISION comments	The author used some times long sentences, difficult to be followed. The formulation of some sentences is very complicate. The data presented in tables are no referenced.	
Optional/General comments	The text contains many general affirmations which don't bring significant information. The method used for the measurement of different parameters as the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR), Power Density, Radio Frequency Field Dose, etc., are not presented in the text. There are few references.	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mihai Todica
Department, University & Country	"Babes-Bolyai" University, Romania