



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_64206
Title of the Manuscript:	Ethnobotany, Phytochemistry and Pharmacology of Pericopsis laxiflora (Baker) Meeuwen (Leguminosae)
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. The structure of manuscript should be repaired. It should contain part of ethnobotany (traditional uses of plant), phytochemistry (chemical compound inside), pharmacological activity (based on previous study). In this manuscript the explanation about those three parts is very limited.</p> <p>2. Each part mentioned above should be explained clearly and better to include a table to make a reader easy to understand.</p> <p>3. Author should enrich their manuscript by browsing many papers as a reference.</p> <p>4. The English is poor, so it should be proofread by professional.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1. The image quality of chemical structure is poor. Better to make the structure by ChemDraw or the similar application / software.</p> <p>2. The sample image quality is poor.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>1. I think the author need to check the journal guidelines before submission.</p>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muammar Fawwaz
Department, University & Country	Universitas Muslim Indonesia, Indonesia