

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Pathogen Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPR_70956
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of cooked Tetracarpidium conophorum seed ethanol extract on hematological and histopathological profile in Swiss albino mice infected with Plasmodium berghei
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	None	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1- It is preferable to rewrite the title to improve its meaning and strength and I suggest to be "Hematological and Histopathological Profiles of Swiss Albino Mice Infected with <i>Plasmodium berghei</i> upon treating them with Cooked Ethanolic Extract of <i>Tetracarpidium conophorum</i> Seed".</p> <p>2- I read another paper about using the same seed extract but in a raw form since 2017 entitled "Effects of Raw Ethanolic Seed Extract of <i>Tetracarpidium conophorum</i> on Heamatological and Histopathological Parameters in Swiss Albino Mice Infected with <i>Plasmodium berghei</i>" and you used the same extract in cooked form why? Also, why don't you make another experiment on Raw extract versus your experiment on cooked extract under the same conditions? I think by this method you will able to detect the advantages of cooked on raw seed extract and be the first one to mention such these results. So, please, I need from you to explain this point.</p> <p>3- About your comments on histopathological plates of kidney, you mentioned the word hepatocytes in different occasion and kindly, I ask you to revise your writing about kidney either in comments on plates or in discussion.</p> <p>4- Other comments related to writing such as spelling of some words and using of definite and indefinite articles and other writing issues that I refer to them in the original manuscript.</p> <p>5- As a general comment, you should use the full name of the <i>Plasmodium berghei</i> and <i>Tetracarpidium conophorum</i> once at the first occasion of writing them, after that you should write them as <i>P. berghei</i> and <i>T. conophorum</i></p>	
Optional/General comments	None	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ahmed Mahmoud Mostafa Soliman
Department, University & Country	Animal Health Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Giza, Egypt