



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_64136
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of different levels of sulphur and potassium and their interactions on different quality and storage parameters of Potato
Type of the Article	Full paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The following comments should be obligatory considered:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In the Introduction section the authors wrote just "Keeping in view of the above facts the present investigation entitled "Influence of different levels of sulphur and potassium on different quality and storage parameters of Potato" was carried out.". In my opinion it is not enough, therefore, the authors should clearly present the aim of this study as well as the research hypothesis considered. 2. In the case of Table 1, the respective measures of the precision should be given. The C.D. acronym should be explained. In addition, the authors should mention whether the included results are the average value and how many times the measurements are repeated 3. The content of P, K and S was given, for example P(0.45%), K(0.52%) and S(0.353%). How were these elements determined because there is no description about the methods used for that. 4. In the case of Tables 2, 3 and 4, the same comment as in the case of Table 1. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>This is an interesting work, well planed and realized, however the description of the methods used for the determination of the content of P, K and S is required. In addition, the authors should write how many times the experiments, which results are included in Table 1, 2, 3 and 4, are carried out/repeated for the independent samples. The precision measures should be given.</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Pawel Pohl</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Wroclaw University Of Science And Technology, Poland</i>