



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Cancer and Tumor International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_60250
Title of the Manuscript:	Body mass index and clinical outcomes in Egyptian women with breast cancer: a multi-institutional study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The scope of the study is interesting and topical but some methodological flaws should be addressed before the paper can be considered for publication</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Introduction section requires more detailed review of the literature that would be helpful for the reader to see how this study adds value to the current evidence base. Reference to systematic reviews, meta-analysis and other pertinent literature is needed. 2. Further information/detail is required in the methods section. It is unclear how patients were approached for the study and what specific inclusions/exclusion criteria were chosen. 3. There is no evidence of a power calculation or justification for the number of participants recruited. 4. The discussion requires more critical information about the strengths and weaknesses of the study. 5. The conclusions of the paper are poorly justified with statistical analysis. 	
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Odynets Tetiana</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Khortytsia National Academy, Ukraine</i>