



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>                        |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_JEAI_55847  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | EVALUATION OF GENETIC DIVERSITY OF SPRING ONIONS (ALLIUMFISTLOSUM) BASED ON DNA MARKERS. |
| Type of the Article      |  |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                     | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments |   |   |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      | <p>Concise the Introduction part in one or two paras, don't make any subheadings, it becomes cumbersome.</p> <p>In the introduction, author (s) have wrote a lot things reg. origin, classification, distribution etc, without quoting a reference, plz incorporate</p> <p>Same thing has been done in the M&amp;Ms, concise it again 2 to 3 sub headings<br/>What is the source of material used in the study , is a released varieties/germplasm lines/ advances breeding lines/landraces.</p> <p>Gel pics presented in the paper are not clear, author (s) are requested to incorporate a better Gel pic</p> <p>Discussion part is very weak, author (s) are requested to revise the discussion part and support/contradict your results with the latest browsed literatute</p> <p>From the last 6 years not even a single reference has been quoted by the author (s), so it is requested to incorporate latest refernces</p> <p>Future implication from the current study is altogether missed in the manuscript both in the abstract part and at the end as well.</p> |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    | Paper should be accepted only after major revision  |   |



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                                       |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Name:                            | <b><i>Asif Mohd Iqbal Qureshi</i></b> |
| Department, University & Country | <b><i>India</i></b>                   |