



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_59651
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance Enhancement of Induced Draft Counter Flow Wet Cooling Tower with Different Types of Modified Shaped Fill Assembly
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1 - More attention when writing scientific research in the future.</p> <p>2 - Abstract should include the most important conclusions and ratios of improvement.</p> <p>3- The literature review is not describe the problem under discussion but is merely a list of references where the title and other minor details are described.</p> <p>4 - Experimental research should include uncertainty analysis of measuring instruments.</p> <p>5 - Discussion of the results should include the causes of physical change and not limited to the description of the figures.</p> <p>6-The effect of the air flow rate should be studied to see improvements in cooling tower performance resulting from new fill designs.</p> <p>7- In Figure 13, what is the reason for the evaporation losses to decrease at the water inlet temperature 50°C then to increase at a temperature of 60°C and then decrease again?</p> <p>8- Conclusions should be rewritten more clearly and in more detail with numbered paragraphs and must contain ratios and are not generally written.</p> <p>9- Adopting newer references.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hayder Mohammad Jaffal
Department, University & Country	Mustansiriyah University, Iraq