



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_63185
Title of the Manuscript:	INVESTIGATION OF THE GEOTECHNICAL PROPERTIES OF MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE INCINERATION BOTTOM ASH TO DETERMINE ITS SUITABILITY AS SUB-BASE MATERIAL FOR ROAD CONSTRUCTION IN PORT HARCOURT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Although my research direction is rock mechanics rather than soil mechanics, in my opinion the research content of this article has a good research background and valuable application. The soil properties of incineration bottom ash and its applicability as base and base materials were evaluated by the mechanical properties of laboratory tests. The paper is well written and the research results have potential application value.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	However, there are some shortcomings in the paper structure. It is necessary for the authors to adjust the paper chapters (too many chapters) to make the paper structure more concise, and to make the experimental data and discussion or analysis more closely combined. The introduction is too complicated. The author knows that the abstract should be condensed appropriately to highlight the main conclusions, important achievements, innovation and application value of the paper, which will attract more readers to participate in the discussion of the paper and provide opportunities for the promotion and application of new research results.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	None	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Cheng Yun Shaanxi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Xi'an University of Architecture and Technology, China</b>