



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_49759
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of A period of Magnesium Supplementation on Muscle Strength and Resistance of Bodybuilders
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Line 137 - Reference Line 146 - Reference Line 158 - 'p less than 0.05 (p<0.05)' Mg and M2 were used repeatedly in parenthesis. Since you are not using the abbreviation alone, there is no point putting it over and over again	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	What is the effect of this supplement on doping test? A line or two should be added about its acceptability or otherwise.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> There was no mention of taking informed consent from the subjects. No ethical approval was sought for the study (or if sought, it was not stated).	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	John Onimisi Ogedengbe
Department, University & Country	University of Abuja, Nigeria