



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_56304
Title of the Manuscript:	Medication Use, Management and Economic Burden of Asthma among Hajj and Umrah Pilgrims
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The study brings an overview of economic burden due to asthma during Haji and Umrah, a period with a high number of pilgrims. Even being a retrospective study, while using data from patient's chart, there should be a registration to the Ethic Board. Please confirm the information. The authors performed a retrospective analysis of patient's charts admitted to ER and hospital, excluding severe patients who needed intensive care. Based on the prescribed medication the authors brought an estimative sum, not including working hours, infrastructure(ward, housing...nutrition, cleaning service etc) and indirect costs of a disease (loss of working hours etc). Some of these limitations have been exposed by the authors. The text is well written and organized, the aim is well exposed and methods are in accordance. I have few suggestions: Title change to Management, medication use and its economic burden...(as the financial evaluation is based on the costs of the medication) Keywords should include the objective of the study: asthma, economic burden</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Even being a retrospective study , while using data from patient's chart, there should be a registration to the Ethic Board.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Silke Weber
Department, University & Country	Unesp - São Paulo State University, Brazil