



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_67642
Title of the Manuscript:	To Evaluate The Frequency Of Diabetes Mellitus Patients With Hepatitis C At Tertiary Hospital Of Sindh, Pakistan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What were the diagnostic criteria for hepatitis C and diabetes used in this study? 2. What is the basis for the description in the introduction that "hepatitis C is an airborne disease"? Also, is hepatitis C the main cause of liver cancer? 3. What are the references on which the arguments in the introduction are based? Please indicate the location of the references cited in the paper, and clarify the correspondence between the references and the content of the paper. 4. Please explain the specific calculation method or formula of the sample size in the paper, and also describe the reagents used in the diagnosis and other relevant details. 5. Is the type of descriptive cross-sectional study a census or sample survey? What is the specific method of sampling? Is it random? 6. All acronyms mentioned in the paper must be given their full English names when they appear for the first time 7. The description in the discussion "These diabetic people were totally satisfied on the diets not on any medications" was inconsistent with the data shown in the table. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The purpose of this study needs further clarification. 2. The sample size in this study was calculated to be 374 people, but how many patients were actually included in the study? 3. What is the expected rate of diabetes in patients with hepatitis C? 	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A diagram is recommended to further describe the data. 2. What is the specific number of patients with hepatitis C and diabetes? It can be represented graphically. 3. Please also chart the distribution of the number of newly diagnosed diabetics. 4. The advantages and disadvantages of this study and the further research direction can be discussed. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Junping Zhang
Department, University & Country	Tianjin University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, China