

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_69783
Title of the Manuscript:	Perceptions of Athletes about Usage of Painkiller Medications for Fatigue Slackening during Sport Trainings
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The topic is an excellent & attractive topic.</p> <p>Proofreading is needed.[see suggested corrections], add paragraphs where clarity is needed and then proofread again....</p> <p>Adequate abstract that represents clearly the paper and the objective of the research.</p> <p>The introduction/background is adequate though needing proofreading for clarity of the ideas and needs more attention to keep main ideas clear [see Mark Ups suggestion in corrected manuscript]</p> <p>The literature review is adequate, clear and streamlined. Supported with adequate references.</p> <p>The research Methodology is clear and serves the purpose of the paper. However, suggestions are made to add more clarity and to support approaches selected [see suggestions in corrected manuscript attached]</p> <p>The discussion through the paper is proper and supported with reported research.</p> <p>The following corrections are needed:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Proofreading [see attached corrected manuscript] 2. Review carefully the write up of the references for consistency 	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Hussin Jose Hejase</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Al Maaref University, Lebanon</i>