



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_64891
Title of the Manuscript:	COVID-19: Impact-Losses, Reboot-Gain and Agriculture
Type of the Article	Opinion Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>the article refers to a problem of greater importance in the world. It is very pertinent although you need to review some aspects. For example, I suggest:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Indicate territorial reference: India? The world? • Review general writing and use of colloquial expressions. • The problem in relation to agriculture can be formulated more precisely from the beginning • (in the 2nd and 3rd paragraph loses centrality among the references to the outbreaks of infectious diseases) • Specify the meaning of the acronyms. • Greater precision is suggested in reference to the <u>problems</u> faced by agriculture, in what place and in what period. • It is also suggested to develop and specify in greater depth the <u>potentialities</u> of agriculture (where, and how it could be achieved). Regarding <u>policies</u>, it is not specified why the existing policies are not adequate. It is only mentioned that "never got much attention". It is suggested to indicate precisely why the policies are failing, or what aspects need to be reviewed or reformulated. And in what sense, that is, what policies does the agriculture need in India. <p>The sentence: "Parallel to this, Government should also need to reframe policies related to agriculture as this sector is highly important and much affected due to any outbreak but never got much attention" is repeated.</p> <p>The sentence that begins with "Secondly, everyone was afraid ..." does not have a previous sentence in which the first sentence is indicated (First,....)</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Separating the text with subtitles could be clarifying for the reader. The Abstract should be separated to the rest of the body text.</p>	
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>María Victoria Deux Marzi</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Universidad Nacional de Rosario, Argentina</i>